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Rules - 11-9-20

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm going
to call the Rules Committee to order and
request that Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel
lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.
Mike, would you call the roll
please.
MR. PULITZER: You want the Rules
Committee?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yes.

MR. PULITZER: Rules Committee
roll call. Legislator Siela Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

Here.

MR. PULITZER: Ranking Member
Kevan Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.

MR. PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Steven
Rhoads.
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LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Vice Chairman
Howard Kopel.
MR. PULITZER: Chairman Richard Nicolello.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum sir.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is the inspector general here, Jodi Franzese? Before I call any of the contracts I wanted to know if there are contracts that still require additional investigation or the like from you?

MS. FRANZESE: Presiding Officer, we had six contracts that during our review we needed additional information for. For example, things like missing forms or missing information on the forms. I believe that four have been taken care of. We are waiting on one response from 141-20 Westchester Institute. We're still waiting on that if that helps.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's the
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only one you're still waiting for a response on?

MS. FRANZESE: Yes. 141-2020.
And 155-2020 there was a response received from the vendor in response to our discovery of some news articles that we sent as part of our form and I forwarded that to -- I'm going to forward that maybe to Majority counsel so you can take a look at that and assess it. Other than that we are in a good place.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: With
respect to 155-20 do you still have outstanding questions or are you satisfied with it besides the Majority counsel.

MS. FRANZESE: There's explanations but the question of whether or not the explanations are enough to deserve a county contract we actually just received the responses so we are assessing it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm not going to call that one now either. So then if something develops during the course of the day then we can call it and we will at that time.
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MS. FRANZESE: That's great. I
will certainly let you know.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. We will proceed to the contracts portion of the Rules Committee. First off is -- I'm going to call all of these contracts at once. For the record A-44 of 2020 A-45, A-49, A-50. $A-53, A-54, A-56, A-57, A-64, A-66$ and $A-69$.

These are resolutions authorizing the commissioner of shared services to award and execute purchase blanket purchase orders for contracts between the county and All Island Equipment Corporation, Global Fueling Systems, Inc., Hinck Electrical Contracting, Jamaica Ash and Rubbish Removal, Contemporary Computer Services, Barnwell House of Tires, 3 Gals Industrial, Tek84 and AC Lighting.

$$
E-140, E-142, E-143, E-144, E-145
$$

$$
E-147, E-148, E-149, E-150, E-151, E-152,
$$

$$
E-154, E-156 . \quad U-4, U-5 \text { and } U-6 .
$$

These are resolutions authorizing
the county executive to execute personal services agreements or amendments to personal service agreements between the county and $N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rules - 11-9-20 } \\
& \text { and P Engineering Architecture and Land } \\
& \text { Surveying, Ventek, Inc., Aciss Systems, EEO } \\
& \text { Consultants, M and J Engineering, Marcum } \\
& \text { Technology, Liro GIS, Svam International, De } \\
& \text { Bruin Surveying and Engineering, Lockwood, } \\
& \text { Kessler and Bartlett, Party Up Productions, } \\
& \text { All County Towing and Recovery, Raj } \\
& \text { Technologies, Forensic Anthropology Services } \\
& \text { L.L.C., David Lynn and Richard Serchuk. } \\
& \text { Lastly, E-153 of } 2020 \text { is a } \\
& \text { resolution authorizing the county executive to } \\
& \text { execute a use and occupancy agreement between } \\
& \text { the county of Nassau and Globall Soccer } \\
& \text { Consulting, Inc. } \\
& \text { Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton makes a } \\
& \text { motion that we consider all of these and } \\
& \text { seconded by Legislator Schaefer. All these } \\
& \text { contracts are before us, and I would invite up } \\
& \text { Ken Arnold from the Department of Public } \\
& \text { Works. } \\
& \text { contracts I just want to indicate I'm going to } \\
& \text { ask you about the status of the security } \\
& \text { updates to this building. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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MR. ARNOLD: Yes, thank you. All
the doors were received by our general contractor from the manufacturer. He's fabricating all the door locks and handles and hardware right now. He will be on-site November 16th to start the installation of the interior doors. We're talking three to four days per door and then we'll do the restoration of the walls and anything else that gets touched up right after that.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You will start on those items November 16th?

MR. ARNOLD: November 16th we've been told, yes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do you have a projected completion date?

MR. ARNOLD: There's four doors, four days a door, so probably three weeks.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Also we will be converting, with the exception of a couple of doors, to a key fob system?

MR. ARNOLD: That is correct.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: When do you believe the key fob system will be in
Rules - 11-9-20
place?
MR. ARNOLD: There are a number of doors -- the rear door here is in place currently. There are a number of doors around the facility that are ready to be turned on. I believe we're just waiting to get a couple of other doors finished and $I$ think in about the same time frame we should have all those doors activated.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So roughly
three weeks. Anything I missed?
MR. ARNOLD: Just we have to
speak on the next phase of work which is cameras and metal detection. That's the next phase to have a discussion on those points. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So once we pass this phase we can then start moving on to that?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Does
anyone have any questions whether you're on the committee or not for Mr. Arnold? Just so the public knows, we have been talking about this for probably close to a decade.
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MR. ARNOLD: It's a long time coming to get it done.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Ken. Why don't we start with the first two contracts which are A-44 and A-45 All Island Equipment.

MR. ARNOLD: These two contracts supply equipment to the department for our typical operations. A-44 is for three track loaders. They're small pieces of equipment that we use throughout the department to deal with snow removal, our sidewalk work, recharge basins drains. So, All Island was the only bidder on that bid.
A-45 is for pay loaders. Pay
loaders are integral to our operation. This is to buy six pay loaders. We have a fleet of I think around 12 or 14 , $I$ forget the exact number right now. It's about half of our pay loader replacement. Our pay loaders are currently seven years old. So it's time to get them ordered because it takes about a year to get this equipment and this is integral to our snow operation and everything else that
Rules - 11-9-20
our department does.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone have any questions on these? Hearing none, why don't we go to the next which is $A-49$. MR. ARNOLD: A-49 is a contract for field dispenser maintenance and repair. We had three bids. Our annual usage is about $\$ 450,000$. We have about 15 fueling sites. This contract will be used for that operation to make sure all our fueling sites remain in a good state of repair and are working when we need them.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? Go to A-50.
MR. ARNOLD: A-50 is our contract for our vascular or draw bridge maintenance and repair. We have two of those bridges, Bayville and Long Beach. This contract supplements our staff things for things our guys can't address. Most mainly electronic and instrumentation.

Hinck was the single bidder on this project. They specialize on these types of work throughout the county both the state and
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our bridges. This is a very important contract for the department. If something happens these guys respond within three hours and deal with our issues.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have an issue with one component of this which is the appointment of bridge operators. We have a question and it was civil service's question too as to whether it was a title position or whether we could actually contracted for that?

MR. ARNOLD: The reason we did that, and I talked to the president, is, with COVID especially, we wanted to make sure that if we had a bind and we didn't have any operators available that the bridges had manpower. It's not our intention to use that unless there's a dire emergency. But the department has, $I$ forget the exact number, I think 11 bridge operators, and if we did have an outbreak in one of those two facilities we felt that we had to have a supplemental staff in case of an emergency.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO:
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appreciate your response but we actually would probably have to hear from the CSEA as well as have our attorneys just confirm that this is actually something that legally we can do. What I would like to do at this point is table this and we can of course consider this in two weeks or four weeks.

MR. ARNOLD: I just believe the contract that we currently have expires in December at some point. We don't want to have a lapse in service with the contract. I believe two weeks delay would be okay but December is a fickle point for me.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That gives us sufficient time. We will be meeting again on the $23 r d$.

MR. ARNOLD: Understood. That's fine.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We can
have a Rules Committee at that time.
MR. ARNOLD: I realized that might come up today.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
DeRiggi-Whitton.
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LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Ken,
I was also approached by the bridge operator in Bayville just saying that he worried about the manpower that the county has. Two of them are going out for medical reasons and he thinks that we're going to be really down to the bare bones. Do you know what the status is with the operators?

MR. ARNOLD: We've been talking with OMB about getting permission to deal with our bridge operations because a lot of them are of age. We have a couple of newer guys. But a lot of them are at the age where they're getting ready to retire. We've lost a couple of them the last couple of years. It is on our radar to deal with that. This is more to deal with a COVID-type emergency where we didn't have any staff.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I completely understand. It just reminded me of that conversation. So thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It is a concern of ours, however, that a position that is civil service and requires certain
Rules - 11-9-20
requirements that we would be contracting out those positions. So we would A, it's not legal to do that. And B, we want to make sure that every effort was made to hire people through the appropriate channels of civil service. We just have to check on those things.

MR. ARNOLD: Understood.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Motion to
table by Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by
Legislator Schaefer. All in favor of tabling signify by saying aye. Those opposed? It is tabled. Carries unanimously.

Let's go on to the next one A-53.
MR. ARNOLD: A-53 is a rubbish removal contract for county buildings and parks. This contract was split between two vendors. This is the vendor that will do all our building facilities, Jamaica Ash. We had three bid total. Annual usage is about $\$ 3$ million.

## LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any

questions? Let's go to the next one.
MR. ARNOLD: A-57 is our tank

Regal Reporting Service 516-747-7353
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alarm maintenance and repair and installation contract. This is associated with our consent order with tanks. We've had this contract for many years. This is the replacement contract. We have 450 fuel tanks 150 locations. This contract will ensure that we have all working alarms and maintenance back to our central monitoring system in addition. We had three bids. The contracted annual usage is about $\$ 550,000$. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any questions or discussion? Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Are we in pretty good standing now with the tanks? I know a few years back we had some issues with them leaking.

MR. ARNOLD: We're close. Once we get these contracts up and running I'll be much happier. Glen Cove was one of the tanks we had to remove. This body approved that contract. NICE bus facility that job is in final closeout. This is the last bit of work that I have to get the contracts through on.
Rules - 11-9-20

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So

```
we're getting there with them?
```

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anything
else? Let's move on to the next one.
MR. ARNOLD: A-69 or --
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
A-69.
MR. ARNOLD: A-69 is an
electrical supply contract. We had five bids. The bid is being split with Aetna. This vendor will be supplying certain items that they were lowest bidder on. Our facility shop utilized their materials.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions or discussion? No. Let's keep moving. E-140 is the next one, Nelson and Pope.
MR. ARNOLD: E-140 is a design
contract for Long Beach central traffic signal expansion. This is 80 percent reimbursement. We had eight bids. Nelson and Pope was the highest ranked and also the lowest cost. Their MWBE is 18 percent.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions on this one? Or discussion?
MR. ARNOLD: Next one is E-145. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yes. I'm sorry. You're right.

MR. ARNOLD: This is a contract amendment for $M$ and $J$ Engineering. They are one of our on call construction CM contracts. We're adding $\$ 2$ million to the cap. I want to note this is a MWBE firm that we are actually utilizing.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Very
good. Any questions or discussion? Let's go to the next one, 151.

MR. ARNOLD: E-151 is the construction management contract for the Glen Cove bulkhead replacement. We had seven firms submit LKB was the highest technically ranked firm and their MWBE participation is 20 percent.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions or discussion on this one?
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
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spoke with the inspector general and she mentioned that Chesterfield is pretty much approved at this point.

MR. ARNOLD: We're hoping that will be untabled today.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
We're hoping to support that. I know Glen Cove is very glad to have this contract moving.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I don't know that anyone told us we were going to untable Chesterfield.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I don't know if it is or not but $I$ just wanted to say.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All right Ken. Is there anything else on the regular agenda that's yours?

MR. ARNOLD: No. Couple of things on the tabled agenda.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm going to go a little out of order with respect to one contract we're going to call it for a vote now. That would be E-140 of 2020. Any debate
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or discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Who's abstaining? All three members of the Minority? Okay. So it passes by vote of four in the affirmative and three abstaining.

Now we move on to the information technology contracts. First one will be A-54 2020. It's a contract with Contemporary Computer Services, Inc.

MS. STANTON: Nancy Stanton, IT commissioner. A-54 2020 Contemporary Computer Services, Inc. This contract is used to support the needs of several departments IT, clerk, OEM etcetera. We are requesting \$2.5 million over a five year term. This contract allows us to obtain technical expertise for the county's mainframe and maintenance on mainframe equipment. Our approximate run rate is $\$ 350,000$ annually.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions on this one? Move on to the next one which is $\mathrm{E}-148$.

MS. STANTON: I do have E-142.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's you
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also?
MS. STANTON: Yes. We supply the staff for the human services.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let's do E-142 as well now.

MS. STANTON: E-142-20 Ventek, Inc. This amendment is to increase funds by $\$ 220,000$. We are also extending the contract one year through September 19, 2021. This allows time for the RFP to go out. A new RFP to go out. We use this vendor for programing system analysis services at HHS. This vendor is women and minority owned and it's 70 percent state reimbursed.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any questions or discussion? Let's go on to the next contract.

MS. STANTON: 148-2020 Liro GIS. This new contract is for supplemental staffing services for various IT projects. Contract term is three years with two one-year renewals for a total term of five years. We are encumbering $\$ 95,000$ at this time. We currently use this vendor for supplemental
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staffing for $G I S$ tax mapping for the assessment department.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions or discussion? E-149.
MS. STANTON: E-149 2020 SVAM
International. This new contract is for supplemental staffing services for various IT projects. Contract term is three years with two one-year renewals for a total of five years. We are encumbering $\$ 400,000$ at this time. We currently use this vendor for supplemental staffing ERP project, cash bail, treasurer's office, web applications, parks department. And this vendor is also minority owned.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is this a new contract or is it an amendment?

MS. STANTON: This is a new contract.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What were the areas that were covered by this contract? MS. STANTON: Primarily it's Peoplesoft at this time but we are supporting the treasurer's office, some web applications,
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parks department we're building a new system. Those are coming to conclusion. Hopefully the next month or two we'll be letting some people go.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Did you
say cash bail as well?
MS. STANTON: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So you'll
be working with which department? Police.
MS. STANTON: Treasurer.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: How does
that work?
MS. STANTON: They take in cash
bail and we built an application so they can track it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: They're tracking the financial component to it? MS. STANTON: Yes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone else have any questions or discussion? No. Go to the next one.

$$
\text { MS. STANTON: E-150 } 2020 \text { Gayron }
$$

De Bruin. This amendment is to extend the current contract for six months through
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February 20, 2021. We have drafted a new GIS contract and will be sending it to vendor in the next few weeks. DPW recently used this contract for planning census project. This is only an extension of time, no additional funds are needed.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? Nope. 156.
MS. STANTON: E-156 2020 Raj
Technologies. This new contract is for supplemental staffing services, various IT projects. Contract term is three years with two one-year renewals for a total of five years. We are encumbering $\$ 250,000$ at this time. We currently use this vendor to supplement staffing for Peoplesoft as well as the police department and this is a minority-owned vendor as well.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: There are a number of contracts today, seems likes every meeting, for supplemental staffing. Is there any sort of a general master plan for your department to move away from supplemental staffing and to use in-house personnel?
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MS. STANTON: Absolutely. That is the goal. We have reduced it by 50 percent in the last year. We are looking by the end of the year we'll probably be replacing another four or reducing by four.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Good.
MS. STANTON: We're trying very hard.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions or discussion? Do you have any other contracts? That's it?

MS. STANTON: That's it.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Next contract is A-56 2020 with Barnwell House of Tires, Inc.

MR. FIELD: William Field, deputy
inspector from the police department. First contract item A-56-20 is between the county and Barnwell Tires to award and authorize a blanket purchase order for the numerous agencies within the county. The solicitation was advertised in Newsday and posted on the Nassau County bid board. Nine vendors viewed it. Only one vendor bid on the solicitation.
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For the police department's
purposes, they have the capability of providing the police department's fleet services with large quantities of tires, including specialty tires, in a rapid fashion. They're also able to deliver, which is very important. The maximum amount authorized under this blanket purchase order and all renewal options is $\$ 850,000$.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Does this also cover other departments besides the police department?

MR. FIELD: Yes. I believe so.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
particular reason why the police department is taking the lead on this?

MR. FIELD: We use a significant number of the tires in all likelihood.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any questions or debate or discussion? No. Let's go to the next one for the police department A-64.

> MR. FIELD: Item A-64-20 is to authorize and award a blanket purchase order
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for ballistic helmets for the Nassau County Police Department with a contract with 3 Gals Industrial. This solicitation was advertised in Newsday as well and the bid solicitation board. 27 vendors viewed the bid. Two vendors actually did bid. The lowest responsible bidder was 3 Gals Industrial. They are both a women owned and small business.

These helmets will be utilized by the police department's emergency services unit as well as our Bureau of Special Operations. The maximum amount authorized under this purchase order is $\$ 123,500$. It will be funded by the police department's asset forfeiture funds.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What is a ballistic helmet?

MR. FIELD: These are the tactical helmets that the emergency services and bureau of special operations would use on tactical assignments such as an active shooter situation or something there like.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any debate
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or discussion? Any questions? Let's go the next one A-47.

MR. FIELD: Item $A-47-20$ is a new contract for support services between the police department's information technology unit and Marcum Technology Incorporated. The term of this contract is three years with a maximum amount of $\$ 330,000$. The contract does not begin until it's executed.

Marcum Technology will provide the police department's IT department with essential services including database technical assistance, network technical assistance, security and vulnerability assessments as well as remediation assistance. These services will provide the PD's IT unit in not only creating self-sufficiency but also hardening the network to reduce vulnerabilities and provide resilience in the case of there being an unplanned outage.

Much of the department's Cisco device, s including routers and switches, this vendor will be able to assist with. It is a
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sole source purchase. Marcum has been providing the equipment and services to the police department IT for several years under previous purchase orders. With its prior experience and intimate knowledge of the police department's network, Marcum is uniquely qualified to assist with these services. It is a three-year contract for $\$ 330,000$.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any questions or debate? No. We're good. Go on to the next one which $I$ think is $E-154$.

MR. FIELD: E-154-20 is between the county and All County Towing and Recovery. This is an amendment to an existing contract to provided Nassau County Police Department with towing and storage of vehicles. These services need to be available 24-7 to be able to tow, store and release the vehicles.

The purpose of this amendment is to renew and extend the contract for an additional one year and six months through July 15 of 2021. This contractor was selected
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as the highest scoring proposer for their assigned zones.

The county is in the process of issuing a new bid for these services. It is a revenue contract whereas the vendor does pay the county a franchise fee. Although the county is required to pay towing and storage fees when a vehicle is placed on the department hold.

The contract is late. Initially it was due to their collection of insurance and disclosure forms and then was delayed additionally by COVID-19.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. Any questions? Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Why is the new contract preparation? You said there was insurance forms that were delayed and so forth, but why wasn't this advertised for a new contract earlier?

MR. FIELD: I believe my
understanding was there were delays between -originally with getting some of the proper
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paperwork and then COVID.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: That's the part I don't understand, forgive me. You would be getting that kind of paperwork from the successful bidder $I$ would think or from other bidders, right?

MR. FIELD: Correct.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So what I was
asking was, why are we extending this?
Because we don't have a new bid package ready. Why don't we have a new bid package ready or didn't we have one in time so that we could have gotten this out?

MR. FIELD: I'm not 100 percent sure. That's unfortunately why we are in a situation to request the extension.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I get that. You're requesting an extension because you couldn't get the -- nothing to do with you. I understand. Nothing personal. I'm just wondering why, what the delay is. You don't know?

MR. FIELD: The way it was explained to me was it was related to there
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being some delays due to COVID and also some of those disclosures forms. And the hope was that the bid would be out by the end of this year so as we can award it as soon as possible.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I hear you, I don't understand it but we'll leave it. I'm not going to keep on going.

MR. FIELD: I'm sorry if I'm
misunderstanding you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Does
anyone else have any questions or debate or discussion? Is that the last one inspector?

MR. FIELD: Yes, sir.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you very much. Appreciate it. The next contract is relates to the correctional center and involves Tek84.

MR. GOLIO: Captain Michael
Golio, sheriff's department. With me also is Sheriff James Dzurenda who will also speak on this issue.

Item $A-66$ is a request for the purchase of two Tek84 full body contraband
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detection scanners. This is a sole source purchase based on the unique design features and operations of these particular items. It's going to be funded -- for a maximum price of $\$ 278,000$-- will be funded out of the asset forfeiture funds from the sheriff's department.

I think the sheriff wants to speak specifically.

MR. DZURENDA: James Dzurenda for the record. So, the Tek84 are systems that are being used around the country now because they are the lowest transmitting radiation imaging out of any of the other systems out there. The only difference too is that these are portable. The only portable systems in the United States. So we can bring them actually to housing units. They don't have to be a stationary units. Which is going to be a big deal when you're talking about incidents and what happens in a facility with moving dangerous people from one end of the facility to the other in the middle of an incident. The Tek84s are also -- we have them
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being operated in the state already. I went to the New York State sheriffs meeting last week. It seemed like quite a few of the counties already have the Tek84s. And JFK airport is actually going on the Tek84s in another week.

They're a system that's up and coming and it actually has the lowest amount of, like I said, the radiation imaging and it's by far lowest, lower than what the state's health department is requiring out of the statute that went out.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
have any questions specific to these scanners? Sheriff, since you're here, we do have some questions with respect to staffing at the correctional facility. We were informed by president Sullivan that the budgeted amount is 808. That the current staffing level is 748 and that they're probably going to lose 20 more by the end of the year. We're concerned about the staffing levels at the correctional center dipping below where it could be dangerous for the
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correctional officers themselves in many different ways.

When are we going to be able to put a class through to supplement staffing?

MR. DZURENDA: So those numbers
are correct and our numbers of our inmate population are increasing as we speak as well. As we add on housing units to add the additional inmate population it also increases the number of need for staff above the even allotment that we have. Those numbers are unpredictable because, like I said, it's going up by the day. If we go up more -- one housing unit opening needs 20 additional staff to operate when you're talking about seven days a week and three shifts.

The academy class, I got a verbal that looks like we probably can push a class out in March of next year. Like you mentioned, we still have more staff that are retiring, so the numbers are increasing as well.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: If you put a class out in the March you're probably not
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going to get the class actually into the facility until mid summer, correct?

MR. DZURENDA: That is correct. And it's only 40.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is that going to be sufficient time? Is there a possibility -- is there an active list?

MR. DZURENDA: We have an active list. I mean, sufficient time, I mean, I'm trying to get it even sooner. We have a class of correctional officer short right now on our shifts. Two weeks ago we actually had to have correction officers work 24 hours a day straight shifts because we didn't have enough to run the facility.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why would it take until March? We're in November now. Five months away. There's an active list. We have a need there. Why does it take until March?

MR. DZURENDA: We do have an active list. However, we require also the assistance of the Nassau County Police Department because they are the ones that do
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our background checks. They were currently working on a class that's coming in now for the police academy and then we are hoping that we can get assistance as soon as possible thereafter so that we can get the background checks done so we can actually hire 40 and make 40. But we do have enough on the active list now above 40. None to say that any of them will change their mind by the time we ask them but we do have enough as of now for a class.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
else want? Legislator Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Out of
curiosity sheriff and I appreciate you
answering these questions. My understanding is your current head count is 747?

MR. DZURENDA: That is correct. LEGISLATOR RHOADS: And your
budget head count for next year in the budget that we just passed was 808?

MR. DZURENDA: That is correct. LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Do you project a need beyond the budgeted head
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count?
MR. DZURENDA: Right now I would say no but if you predict the way our population numbers are going there have to be. Our population numbers, our inmate population numbers are getting higher.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If that
eventuality comes to pass because it sounds as
though the way the numbers are increasing you're already having a shortage in terms of staffing. If, in fact, those numbers do exceed the budgeted head count of 808 , the need exceeds the budgeted head count of 808 , have there been any conversations within the administration as to how that's going to be handled? Whether you'll be able to bring on additional staff?

MR. DZURENDA: The only
conversations we had on this is we can
reevaluate and discuss at a later date if we think there's going to be a need for additional staff. That has not happened yet but that was brought up to me by OMB.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But
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considering the fact that there's sort of $a$ three month lag time between the time that you actually a bring somebody into a training class, an academy class and then can actually put them out into a unit to actually function, might it not be better to have some of those conversations now as to how we're going to address that since we do seem to see once the courts open up you're going to wind up having -- your head count is going to increase.

MR. DZURENDA: I believe so on
both these questions you asked.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: How would that take place? Are you reaching out to the administration?

MR. DZURENDA: Yes, I have. I did contact the county exec office. We are having plans for a discussion of it. I just don't have dates that they're going to be. But that has happened last week. We have been in contact with each other to discuss potentials for having a class sooner and also if there's any potentials for increasing the
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numbers.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you sheriff.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone else have any questions? Thank you for your responses. And again, we would like to see that class to go out as soon as possible to get those new officers into the jail as soon as possible. Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: How are you doing with the number of COVID cases?

MR. DZURENDA: Right now we have
no officers positive. We have one inmate that's currently positive that's on the isolation period underway to be back into population and we have one deputy sheriff. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Schaefer has a question as well. We have having difficulty hearing you. What we will do is call her.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: I just
wanted to know how many machines that this included. Just one scanner? More than one? MR. DZURENDA: It's two
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scanners. Eventually we probably should go with three. And probably be back here requesting some more seized asset money for three. That would give us one on each floor in our main building so we don't have to bring a portable scanner up in an elevator which could actually cause some potential damage when you take the top of the scanner off. In order to move the scanner through an elevator there are two feet on the top that have to be removed every time. It's not difficult to remove but every time you start altering the equipment it could throw off the accountability of it. Right now it's two and maybe down the road looking for three.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: This amount
includes service for it as well for any limited amount of time?

MR. DZURENDA: Yes, it has a maintenance plan that comes with it. Right now I believe the maintenance plan is two years. But that's all on negotiation on potentials for increase on that if we take the system.
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LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Thank you. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone else? Thank you very much. We appreciate it. Next several contracts are with the district attorney's office, E-143 of 2020. MR. MCMANUS: Bob McManus, district attorney's office. Item E-143-20 is an agreement with Asiss Systems Incorporated that provides the district attorney's office with an investigative case management system
that uniquely integrates with the district
attorney's current Sytech electronic
surveillance systems and Legacy data
collection systems.

Asiss Systems is the sole proprietor of the program software and is the only vendor equipped to provide consultation, installation, migration, conversion, support and maintenance. We have worked with Asiss now for over ten years.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? We're good. Go to the next one.
MR. MCMANUS: Item E-144-20 is a one-year agreement to provide implicit bias

Rules - 11-9-20
training to staff at the district attorney's office. EEO Consults, the vendor, provides diversity and inclusion solutions for organizational cultural assessments, strategic diversity planning, facilitated conversations, employ resource groups and EEO compliance. This organization will provide training to staff employed by our organization.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I see that
they have provided training to college students, law school students, law firms and bar associations?

MR. MCMANUS: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions or discussion? I think we're good. Thank you Bob.

Next up are contract for the parks department, E-152 with Party Up Productions.

MS. KASO: Victoria Kaso with the
Department of Parks. E-152 is a personal services agreement with Party Up Productions to provide event production and development when the parks department holds special events. It's for in the amount of up to

Rules - 11-9-20
$\$ 50,000$ a year only for services that are actually provided.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I guess
the question with the pandemic is, how much need is the county going to have for their services in the short term?

MS. KASO: We don't expect to be using them in the immediate future but we do obviously hope to be able to provide the types of events we have used their services for in past.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The way
it's structured you won't be paying them until
the services are needed?
MS. KASO: No. Only when
services are rendered.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
questions or discussion? E-153.
MS. KASO: E-153 is a use and
occupancy permit with Globall Soccer
Consulting for the Butler building. This is
an extension of their agreement that we
previously had with them. We issued an RFP
last year. We had three respondents. Globall
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was selected as the top bidder or proposer and while we were in the process of negotiations and then also getting the national parks services approval for the agreement this is the extension in the interim.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? Any debate or discussion?
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: So, I know
this has to go through the National Park
Service issue. Do we have the same problem
before where they deny the permit or their
approval and we had to go back for it? What happened in the past?

MS. KASO: Previously there was
an RFP that was issued. Globall was selected. The National Park Service rejected their proposal as too grandiose for the Butler building in the Mitchel Field space. What we will be submitting to them will be a much more scaled down scope of work. So we are hopeful that we will be able to get their approval and move forward with this agreement.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: They had a
prior contract with the county; is that
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right?
MS. KASO: Yes. They've been the permittee there since 2009 I believe.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone else?

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Did the prior contract expire?

MS. KASO: Yes. So, we had an extension that went through March of this year. They were completely closed in April and June. This will be from July of this year through June 30th of next year.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: And the amounts of revenue that's coming in that's different than what we've had previously, correct?

MS. KASO: It's only different for July and August because of obviously they haven't been up to full capacity. So part of the agreement was that they paid $\$ 4,500$ for July and August but going forward they're continuing to pay $\$ 15,000$ which is from their previous agreement. Their new agreement will have updated amounts and updated capital
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improvement investment.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: This
contract here this term is through June 30th of 2021 , correct?

MS. KASO: Correct.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: And there's an option to renew for one year. Will that come before the legislature, the option?

MS. KASO: Does the option come to the legislature? Can you repeat the question?

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Does the option come before the legislature?

MS. KASO: Did it come before the legislature or is it coming before the legislature? Is it? Okay. Looks like it's at the discretion of parks but we do hope to have it solved by then and have the new agreement in place.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other questions Laura?

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: No.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. Legislator Bynoe.
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LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi Tori. How are you? Couple of questions. I wanted to know what's the estimated time for the construction at that site as a part of their plan?

MS. KASO: As part of their new proposal, I do not know that number off the top of my head but $I$ can look to see if I have that in our records somewhere.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Before we come
back to the full leg -- no, we're only
approving this here. I guess my question also is, what's the value that would be added to our county facility based on some of the work that they were doing?

MS. KASO: I can get those
numbers based on what their proposal in the current drafts of the agreement is. But that will be the next agreement that comes to you, not this extension.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Then it goes out to the federal government for the approval, right, for the park service. Is it a requirement that it has local approval
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before it goes to the federal government? MS. KASO: We have to send the agreement to the federal -- to the National Park Service for approval. If there are any substantial changes after that we would have to resubmit it. So, efficiency probably isn't the right word to use in this case but if we can get everybody to sign off at least in theory here and then get the approval from the parks service then we wouldn't have to go back to the federal government.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: That was my question. Just for efficiency, if it went to the federal government and got the approval there then we would know that it meets the needs of the federal government.

MS. KASO: We're currently still in negotiations with Globall. Once we get to a place where we feel it's to the point of National Park Service we will submit it to them right away.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: When you say still in negotiation with them, I would think that if we're here voting on it today that it
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was fully negotiated.
MS. KASO: This is just a one-year extension from the current agreement. The new one will come to you. You'll get another say on it and you'll have everything laid out.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. We will wait for that.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
questions? Thank you. I am going to call the contract E-155 with Realauction.com, L.L.C. The treasurer's office, I believe the treasurer would like to speak on that. Beaumont, how are you?

MR. JEFFERSON: Good afternoon.
Beaumont Jefferson, county treasurer.

> E-155 is a contract to conduct an annual online lien sale. Two vendors responded to this contract. In 2018 we transitioned from a four day in person sale which was held here in the county legislature to a one-day online sale. That sale was conducted by a company by the name of Grant Street. That contract expired and we went out
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to bid this year and two vendors responded. We sell approximately 4,000 parcels which translates to $\$ 40$ million to the county. Tax sale buyers are charged $\$ 20$ fee for a lien certificate purchase and that is split between, the fee is split between the county and the vendor.

Tax sale purchasers are purchasing liens, they're not purchasing the property. They're are purchasing liens. And their investment can return anywhere from one percent to ten percent. No foreclosures can be done on any of those parcels until after two years and any residential property owner can file for a hardship if they cannot pay the liens.

The benefit of the online lien sale going from four days to one day is based on, when we did it back in 2018, was based on savings resources, saving time, saving dollars to the county and it increased our participation and it actually improved the rates the county received.

Initially when we thought about the
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online lien sale it was really for, again, resources, time and to bring more dollars to the county. Now with the COVID, with the pandemic, it's almost necessary to have this sale online.

It also provides tax sale buyers to go online, research the properties before they bid and they can do this 24 hours a day rather than doing all the research on the same day or a few days before and then sitting and bidding. It also reduces collusion.

Some of the things we identified when we first went up with the online lien sale was we needed to protect not only the county but also to protect novice buyers who we knew in Florida and in other states were not familiar with the process and they thought they were actually buying a property or interest in the property.

So, some of the things we have is a registration fee of $\$ 175$ per vendor. That discourages folks who in some states there's no registration fee so folks register and they bid. A lot of those bids end back up with the
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state or the county that's doing the bid.
There's also a minimum deposit of $\$ 5,000$ or ten percent of the total bid. They need a valid tax ID number. They need to submit a $W-9$. Again, so we are aware that those folks are legitimate bidders. Forfeited bids come back to the county.

To prevent any collusion we do not allow the second bidder where someone bids it up high, someone bids lower and then the higher bidder backs out leaving us with the lower bid. Any bids that are forfeited after the sale come back to the county.

The contractor that won the bid was Real Auctions. Real Auctions has been in business for 16 years, 55 employees and they conduct auctions in nine states.

There was some information that was provided to us on Friday and we asked the contractor or the vendor to provide us with some explanations on what was submitted to us by the IG's office.

I spoke to how we discourage fraudulent or novice bids a few minutes ago
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with the registration fee, requiring a minimum deposit, requiring that each vendor submits a valid tax ID number, $W-9$ and how the forfeited bids are actually handled by the county.

So, I believe you guys have this before you but $I$ will just give you the findings. One of the findings was in Orange County rigged online tax auction. Again, these things are not the vendor. These are folks who were really using the online system to game the system and that's why we build in these procedures or policies within to stop this from happening.

In Florida there was a tax lien buyer. The only requirement for the bidder was to have a tax ID number and they did the bidding without a valid tax ID number.

There was some confusion in another county in Florida where you had novice bidders who were actually purchasing a bid on the condo association fee and not on the actual property taxes. On the site they had both property taxes and condo fees which were much lower than property taxes and the bidder
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thought they were actually bidding on the taxes and they were not.

There was also an incident where -it was really the state of New Jersey, and the vendor does provide quite a few counties in New Jersey with services and there was an investigation. An investigation into an insider deal but it was not the vendor involved in that investigation.

The last was a pair of individuals who, and I explained this earlier, who one individual bid it up high, the other individual bid it low and then the higher bidder dropped out. And in the state, this was Wayne County, Michigan, and the vendor advised Wayne County not to do that, actually give it to the second lowest bidder, and they did, and as a result you had one person who decided they were going to bid up high, drop out and would fall to the lowest bidder. We do not do that here in Nassau County. It goes back to the county. I'll stop there. If you have any questions.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We do have
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questions and $I$ will be inviting the inspector general up. First, since I didn't have a motion on this before we're going to have it now. It's E-155, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal
services agreement between the county and Realauction.com, L.L.C.

Moved by Legislator Bynoe.
Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. I know that deputy Presiding Officer Kopel has a question.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thanks
Beaumont. Nice to see you. A couple of questions. First of all, approximately they'll be making approximately about $\$ 45,000$ that's what $I$ see for any of these auctions, right?

MR. BEAUMONT: Yes. They will
get -- it's \$20 a fee.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: The county
gets 45,000 they get 45,000.
MR. JEFFERSON: Exactly.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Historically, what is the discount percentage on these liens
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as they're sold? MR. JEFFERSON: The way the sale --

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I understand how it works. I know what an auction is. But my question is, if you look at the historical discount at which these things are sold pursuant to the auction what would that come to? How much is the county, in other words, giving up in uncollected taxes by selling the lien as opposed to perhaps holding on and foreclosing itself?

MR. JEFFERSON: The average rate that we sell these liens after we split the differential --

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: What do you mean by splitting the differential?

MR. JEFFERSON: The differential. For instance, if you bid ten percent and you win the bid at ten percent you will get five, the county gets five percent. The reason why the lien buyer is buying this is really not to necessarily foreclose on the property but it's the interest they will
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receive.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I understand it's a very, very high rate of interest.

MR. JEFFERSON: The average is
1.98 last year. Before we went to the lien sale, before we went to the online lien sale the average that we were paying the investors was 2.70. So by getting more people in and bidding lower we are now only paying out 1.98 percent and we were at 2.70 .

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You're paying 1.98 percent to whom?

MR. JEFFERSON: This is the
average rate after we sell all the liens at different interest rates --

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: My question is
this. Let's say you take a lien. It's for $\$ 10,000$ let's say, right? You're selling the lien to an investor, correct?

MR. JEFFERSON: Yes.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: What is the
investor paying for that lien? He's paying whatever he bids? Whoever wins the bid?

MR. JEFFERSON: He's paying
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whatever he wins that bid at.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: In other words, he bids $\$ 5,000$ and he's the high bidder he wins it.

MR. JEFFERSON: Right.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So my question
is, if you take the universe of bids, universe of winning bids, that would be a 50 percent discount in my example, correct? 50 percent discount off the face value of the lien?

MR. JEFFERSON: No, that's not
the way the lien sale works. If you bid $\$ 5,000$ at the end of the day the county will recoup that $\$ 5,000$ and they will split the differential, whatever that interest rate is, between the county and the lien buyer.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: In my example then the county would be giving up 25 percent at the end of the day as you put it?

MR. JEFFERSON: No, I don't agree with that. Legislator Kopel, if I sell -- if you come and you invest $\$ 5,000$ to me -- look at it like if you went to the bank and you said here's my $\$ 5,000$ and they're paying you a
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percentage rate. The county still gets back what the taxes due on that property is. What happens is the investor gets a percentage based on what they bid at. So, if you have some underwater properties that no one is interested in and the investor bids ten percent --, but most of the properties in Nassau County when it's all said and done and we do the average we pay at least I'll give you in 2020 we paid 1.98 percent.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm not going
to take up the time. I'll study this on my own. Maybe I'll give you a call. The hardship cases what does happen?

MR. JEFFERSON: With a hardship case if your tax lien is bought by an investor it gives the property owner the ability to claim a hardship and they would go to the hardship review board and it allows them to then make payments, monthly payments into an account. And when they're finished paying the hardship we would then pay off the lien. It gives the property owner more time.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: But ultimately
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rules - 11-9-20 } \\
& \text { they do have to pay the entire balance? } \\
& \text { MR. JEFFERSON: Yes. } \\
& \text { LEGISLATOR KOPEL: With interest } \\
& \text { I suppose? } \\
& \text { MR. JEFFERSON: Yes, they're } \\
& \text { paying with interest. The only difference } \\
& \text { there is that if it remains an outstanding } \\
& \text { tax -- } \\
& \text { LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It's a } \\
& \text { forbearance type of thing. } \\
& \text { MR. BEAUMONT: Right. It remains } \\
& \text { an outstanding tax. } \\
& \text { LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Last } \\
& \text { question. You did mention condo fees. How } \\
& \text { does that get involved in the county lien } \\
& \text { sale? } \\
& \text { MR. BEAUMONT: Condo fees in } \\
& \text { Nassau County we're not selling condo fees. } \\
& \text { That was an example that was given where you } \\
& \text { had folks in Florida who thought they were } \\
& \text { actually buying a property tax lien and they } \\
& \text { were actually purchasing condo fees and they } \\
& \text { were unable to foreclose on the property. } \\
& \text { LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Schaefer has a question.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Are we receiving a lower price per lien than we were previously or than we are currently with this?

MR. JEFFERSON: Yes, Legislator
Schaefer. Going online allowed us to have more participants in the sale and as a result we were paying a lower interest rate to the bidders.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Is that something that was negotiated or is that the fee that they offer?

MR. JEFFERSON: No, that was a benefit of going online. When we did it in person here at the leg building we had anywhere from 30 to 50 participants in the sale. When we go online that number, I don't have it in front of me, but that number doubled. So as a result of having more individuals in the sale it made the bidding more competitive therefore driving down the rate.
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LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Thank you. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other legislators? I'd invite the inspector general's office up. I believe there were concerns raised about this particular contract.

MS. FRANZESE: Thank you
presiding officer. I was listening to Mr. Jefferson. Our office provided to the legislature what we found during our review. I believe that Mr. Jefferson has addressed issues that came up in those news articles that we sent to you and his representations sounded very reasonable. I have no reason to doubt their veracity. At this point $I$ don't have any, I mean our office we don't get to object, we don't have any objection to the contract.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
very much. Appreciate that. Again, any questions? No. We will move on to the next contract.

Next contract which are with the medical examiner's office. $\mathrm{U}-4, \mathrm{U}-5$ and $\mathrm{U}-6$.
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MS. KELLY: I'm Carolyn Kelly.
I'm the deputy medical for laboratories. With respect to the items on the agenda $U-4-20$ is a contract with Forensic Anthropology Services. This is a five-year contract in an amount not to exceed $\$ 9,140$ over the five-year term. This contract was advertised in Newsday. It's for services as needed to identify skeletons that are exhumed or skeletonized remains. Unfortunately, such a case happened recently with an exhumation of a body with connection to MS-13.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? Go to the next one.
MS. KELLY: The next one is
U-5-20. This is also a five-year contract for forensic odontology services. These are dentists that conduct forensic analysis in order to identify mutilated remains through the use of existing dental records. They do a comparison.

This is a five-year contract with Dr. David Lynn. Also not in an amount to exceed \$9,140 over the five-year term broken
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down in an hourly rate that was set forth in the contract.

This contract was advertised in Newsday as well. Previously this has been done as a sole source contract. This time it was advertised in Newsday and the only two bidders were Dr. Lynn and Dr. Serchuk, who will be the next item on the agenda and it's the same type of contract. A five-year contract not to exceed $\$ 9,140$ over the five-year term.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
you covered both. Any questions or discussion? Thank you very much.

Now we will have a vote. Actually before we have a vote on all these contracts I will ask for public comment. Seeing none, contracts that are up for a vote now are $A-44$, $A-45, A-49, A-53, A-57, A-69, E-140, E-145$, $E-151, A-54, E-148, E-149, E-150, E-156, A-56$, $A-64, E-147, E-154, A-66, E-143, E-144, E-142$, $E-152, E-153, E-155, U-4, U-5$ and $U-6$.

Any further debate or discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those

```
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opposed? They all carry unanimously. Rules Committee will go into recess and we will return after the rest of the committees.
(A recess was taken.)
(Committee reconvened at 2:25 p.m.)
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opposed? They all carry unanimously. Rules
Committee will go into recess and we will
return after the rest of the committees.
                            (A recess was taken.)
                            (Committee reconvened at 2:25 p.m.)
```Con路
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have a number of items that the Majority and Minority have consented that will be called together. They were debated and discussed in previous committees. These are 282, 2020. 283, 284, 285, 295, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, \(306,309,318,319,320,321,322,323,324\), \(325,326,327,328,329,330,331,334,335\), 336, 338, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344. That's it for now.

Motion by Legislator Rhoads.
Second by Legislator Walker. We have also addendum items to call as part of the consent calendar. It wasn't Legislator Walker. It was Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel.

We have addendum items. We need a motion to suspend the rules for those. Moved by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator Schaefer. All in favor of suspending the rules signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Rules are suspended.

Continuing with items that are on the consent calendar. \(346,347,348\) on the first addendum. 352 and 353 on the second
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addendum. Again, all those items -- I'm going to withdraw actually 282 which was just tabled in the Rules Committee. So that is not part of the items that are part of the consent calendar.

As to all the rest of the items that \(I\) just called they went through committees a few moments ago. Again, it's been agreed by the Majority and Minority that these can be passed through today to the full legislature. Any public comment on those items? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

Just the Rules only items. 310 of
2020, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute an intermunicipal agreement with the Village of New Hyde Park in relation to a project to procure and install an emergency generator and related items.

I will make that motion. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. Any debate or discussion? Any public comments? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.
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317 is a resolution authorizing the adoption of the retention and disposition schedule for New York local government records.

Moved by Legislator Bynoe.
Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. Mr. Butler from the clerk's office.

MR. BUTLER: John Butler, fiscal officer of Nassau County Clerk Maureen O'Connell's office.

The item before you formally adopts New York State's record retention schedule LGS-1. New York State Archives, a division of the Department of Education, revised the schedule for all municipalities and local governments across the state. The last schedule was adopted back in 1990 and is set to expire 12-31-20. The new schedule requires adoption by this legislative body in order to take effect on 1-1-21 and comply with state law.

The new schedule incorporates
updates and revisions from the old schedule and merges them together with other

Rules - 11-9-20
municipalities across the state.
Without adoption the county has no legal basis to destroy records. The county would also be ineligible to apply for New York State Archive grants. The records management division of the county clerk's office has requested county agency heads to identify and update their records access officers. These officers will be trained by records management on the use of this new schedule after adoption by this body.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
John. Any questions or discussion? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Thanks.

333 of 2020 is a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute an intermunicipal agreement with the Town of Hempstead in relation to providing lifeguard services at Nickerson Beach.

Moved by Deputy Presiding Officer
Kopel. Second by Minority Leader Abrahams.
MS. KASO: Victoria Kaso,
Department of Parks. This is a five year

Rules - 11-9-20
intermunicipal agreement with the Town of Hempstead Parks Department to provide lifeguarding services at Nickerson Beach over the summer. It is for a maximum of \(\$ 300,000\) per year but we are encumbering \(\$ 165,000\) based on previous years.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Any questions or discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously. 337 of 2020 is a resolution to amend Resolution 387 of 2008 as last amended by Resolution 421 of 2019 to designate newspapers to publish and identify the real property listed by school district number located wholly or partly in the towns of Hempstead, North Hempstead and Oyster Bay, cities of Glen Cove and Long Beach on which real estate tax liens are subject to sale by the county treasurer for unpaid taxes. Moved by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. Any debate or discussion? Public comments? All in favor signify by saying

Rules - 11-9-20
aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.
There is one item left on the first addendum. That is 345 of 2020 . A resolution authorizing the county executive to execute an intermunicipal agreement with the East Meadow Union Free School District to purchase and install new playground equipment.

Moved by Legislator Schaefer.
Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. Any debate or discussion? Public comment? All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed? Carries unanimously.

Motion to adjourn by Legislator
Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. All in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye. Those opposed? We are adjourned.
(Committee was adjourned at 5:15
p.m.)
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