Village of Floral Park Annex

This document presents the Village of Floral Park's annex to the *Nassau County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan*.

Hazard Mitigation Plan Points of Contact

The individuals below have been identified as this jurisdiction's points of contact for the hazard mitigation plan. These individuals are members of the Planning Committee that met regularly for the update of this plan and will continue to meet in the years ahead to implement it.

| Primary Point of Contact | Alternate Point of Contact |
|---|---|
| Kevin Ginnane, Superintendent of Public | Renee Marcus, Superintendent Of Buildings |
| Works | Incorporated Village Of Floral Park |
| Incorporated Village Of Floral Park | One Floral Boulevard |
| One Floral Boulevard | Floral Park, NY 11001 |
| Floral Park, NY 11001 | rmarcus@fpvillage.org |
| kginnane@fpvillage.org | 516-326-6319 |
| 516-326-6319 | |

Profile

The Village of Floral Park covers approximately 1.42 square miles¹ and has a total population of 15,844 according to the American Community Survey 5-year 2018 Estimates. Some of the demographics of the Village of Floral Park are summarized in Table 1. This information supported the development of mitigation actions that account for the needs of the most vulnerable individuals in the community.

Table 1: Village of Floral Park Demographic Information

| Demographic | | Demographic | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--|-------|--|
| Below 5 Years Old | 5.4% | Black or African American alone | 0.9% | |
| Above 65 Years Old | 18.0% | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0.1% | |
| Individuals with Disabilities | 3.9% | Asian alone | 7.0% | |
| Persons in Poverty | 2.4% | Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander alone | 0.0% | |
| Renters | 21.0% | Two or More Races | 1.9% | |
| Without a High School Diploma | 6.0% | White alone, not Hispanic or Latino, percent | 80.8% | |
| Without Access to Broadband Internet | 11.9% | Hispanic or Latino | 10.0% | |

1

¹ This is inclusive of land area only.

The Village of Floral Park has limited open development space; therefore properties (and the limited open space) are experiencing large residential development. This includes the removal of small homes and large lots of land to develop subdivisions. All land is permitted for development in this Village, there are no protected areas except for land that belongs to the Village or Town. The jurisdiction continues to maintain zoning and a planning team. By understanding these development trends and how they intersect with hazard-prone areas, this allows for current and future vulnerabilities to be planned for and avoided.

Refer to the **County Profile** section of this plan for additional information related to current and future conditions of the County's vulnerable population and the natural environment. This information provides important context for understanding hazard mitigation planning.

Hazard Vulnerability

This section summarizes how the natural hazards profiled in Section 4 of this plan impact the Village of Floral Park. The jurisdiction identified flooding, severe winter weather, and wind as the natural hazards that most impact the community. Table 2 shows the sectors of the community that are most likely to be impacted by each hazard. The categories that were considered included the community, economy, health and social services, housing, infrastructure, natural and cultural resources, or no impact. No impact indicates that the

The hazards that most impact the Village of Floral Park include: Flooding, Severe Winter Weather, and Wind.

jurisdiction did not identify a noticeable impact from the hazard over the past five years, even if the hazard occurs. This information was used to develop a relevant and effective mitigation strategy for the jurisdiction. Detailed hazard event histories, critical facility exposure, and additional vulnerability information can be found in each hazard profile in Section 4 of this plan.

Table 2: Village of Floral Park Hazard Impacts

| Hazard | Impact Categories |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Coastal Hazards | No Impact |
| Drought | No Impact |
| Extreme Temperatures | Housing, Infrastructure, Natural and Cultural Resources |
| Flooding | Community, Economy, Health and Social Services, Housing, Infrastructure, Natural and Cultural Resources |
| Ground Failure | No Impact |
| Hurricane and Tropical Storms | Community, Economy, Health and Social Services, Housing, Infrastructure, Natural and Cultural Resources |
| Hail | Housing |
| Lightning | No Impact |
| Severe Winter Weather | Community, Health and Social Services, Housing, Infrastructure, Natural and Cultural Resources |
| Tornados | No Impact |

| Hazard | Impact Categories |
|--------|---|
| Wind | Community, Economy, Health and Social Services, Housing, Infrastructure, Natural Cultural Resources |

Capability Assessment

This section summarizes the capabilities that the Village of Floral Park has in place that can support hazard mitigation. These capabilities include plans, ordinances, staff, financial resources, and program participation. This Capability Assessment was used to help drive the identification and development of the projects presented in the Mitigation Strategy to make sure that they are appropriate in scope and achievable to implement.

Legal and Regulatory Capability Assessment

Table 3 lists the assessment of existing legal and regulatory tools for the Village of Floral Park. The Village of Floral Park maintains several key administrative and technical capabilities to support mitigation, including access and functional needs plans, building codes, capital improvement plans, emergency response plans, post disaster recovery ordinances, post disaster recovery plans, site plan review requirements, small area development plans, subdivision ordinances, and zoning ordinances. These capabilities are critical to consider as tools in developing and implementing mitigation strategies. To further enhance their mitigation capabilities, the Village can consider the capabilities in the table below that the Village currently does not have. These additional capabilities would either support creating a legal framework or strategy for implementing a diversity of mitigation actions.

Table 3: Village of Floral Park Existing Legal and Regulatory Capabilities

| Regulatory Tool | Yes / No | Citation (if applicable) |
|---|----------|----------------------------------|
| Access and Functional Needs Plan | Yes | 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan |
| Building Code | Yes | NYS Building Codes |
| Capital Improvement Plan | Yes | Village Capital Improvement Plan |
| Climate Action Plan | No | |
| Community Development Plan | No | |
| Comprehensive Plan / Master Plan | No | |
| Economic Development Plan(s) | No | |
| Emergency Response Plan(s) | Yes | 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan |
| Floodplain Management Plan(s) | No | |
| Growth Management Plan(s) | No | |
| NFIP Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance(s) | No | |
| Open Space Plan(s) | No | |
| Post Disaster Recovery Ordinance(s) | Yes | 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan |
| Post Disaster Recovery Plan(s) | Yes | 2015 Hazard Mitigation Plan |

| Regulatory Tool | Yes / No | Citation (if applicable) |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---|
| Real Estate Disclosure Requirements | No | |
| Resilience Plan(s) | No | |
| Site Plan Review Requirement(s) | Yes | Village Ordinance, Zoning and Architectural Review Board Approvals |
| Small Area Development Plan(s) | Yes | Village Ordinance, Zoning and Architectural Review Board Approvals |
| Special Purpose Ordinance(s) | No | |
| Stormwater Management Plan(s) | No | |
| Subdivision Ordinance(s) | Yes | Village Ordinance, Zoning and Architectural Review Board Approvals |
| Transportation Plan(s) | No | |
| Zoning Ordinance(s) | Yes | Village Ordinance, Zoning and Architectural Review Board Approvals |

Administrative and Technical Capability Assessment

Table 4 lists the assessment of existing administrative and technical tools for the Village of Floral Park. The Village of Floral Park has a high level of primary administrative and technical capabilities to support mitigation. This includes management, engineering, grant writing, and planning. Increasing training capacity and expertise of these individuals will support mitigation practice in the Village.

Table 4: Village of Floral Park Existing Staff / Personnel Resource

| Staff / Personnel Resource | Yes / No | Details |
|---|----------|---|
| Emergency Manager(s) | Yes | Kevin Ginnane, Superintendent of Public Works |
| Engineer(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings/infrastructure | No | Renee Marcus, Superintendent of Buildings |
| Engineer(s) with an understanding of natural and/or human caused hazards | Yes | Renee Marcus, Superintendent of Buildings |
| Engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices | Yes | Renee Marcus, Superintendent of Buildings |
| Grant Writers | Yes | Gerard Bambrick, Village Administrator |
| Personnel skilled or trained in Geographic Information Systems | No | |
| Personnel trained in construction practices related to buildings/infrastructure | Yes | Renee Marcus, Superintendent of Buildings |

| Staff / Personnel Resource | Yes / No | Details |
|---|----------|---|
| Planner(s) with an understanding of natural hazards | Yes | Kevin Ginnane, Superintendent of Public Works |
| Planner(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices | Yes | Renee Marcus, Superintendent of Buildings |
| Scientist(s) familiar with natural hazards | No | |
| Surveyors | No | |

Fiscal Capability Assessment

Table 5 lists the assessment of existing fiscal tools for the Village of Floral Park. Funding is often the biggest barrier when implementing mitigation programs. The Village is primarily able to fund mitigation programs by incurring debt through obligation bonds, capital improvements project funding, impact fees for home buyers and/or developers, and state mitigation grant programs. Village of Floral Park should consider exploring additional fiscal capabilities in order to gain access to additional funding for mitigation.

Table 5: Village of Floral Park Existing Fiscal Capabilities

| Resources | Yes / No | Additional Details |
|---|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Ability to incur debt through general obligation bonds | Yes | |
| Ability to incur debt through private activity bonds | No | |
| Ability to incur dept through special tax bonds | No | |
| Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes | No | |
| Authority to utilize user fees for utility services | No | |
| Authority to withhold public expenditures in hazard prone areas | No | |
| Capital improvements project funding | Yes | Village Capital Improvement Plan |
| Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) | No | |

| Resources | Yes / No | Additional Details |
|---|-------------|--|
| Impact fees for home buyers and/or developers | Yes | Developers are required to pay for a full site and environment investigation before Board ApprovalDevelopers are required to pay for a full site and environment investigation before Board Approval |
| State mitigation grant programs | Yes | FEMA |

Community Classification Assessment

Table 6 lists the assessment of existing community classifications for the Village of Floral Park. Exploring gaining one or more community classifications will guide the Village's mitigation programs and support capacity building.

Table 6: Village of Floral Park Community Classifications

| Classification | Yes/No (or Status) |
|--|--------------------|
| Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS) | No |
| Public Protection Classification Program | No |
| Community Rating System (CRS) | No |
| Other Classifications | No |

National Flood Insurance Program Summary

The residences at the west end of the Village are considered the most flood-prone. This section provides a summary of the floodplain management capabilities for Village of Floral Park and how the jurisdiction is meeting the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

The Village's Superintendent of Public Works is responsible for floodplain management. Training on stormwater management and the National Flood Insurance Program will support future growth of the floodplain management program. The Village administers the NFIP by reviewing new site plans and building permits, and environmental impact statement review. The Village noted that training and education were current barriers to running a successful NFIP program. The flood maps for this jurisdiction do not accurately portray the current flood risk. There are currently no RiskMAP projects ongoing in this jurisdiction.

After flood events, initial damage determinations are made through in-person visual assessments. No properties in the jurisdiction have been substantially damaged as a result of recent flood events. The Village of Floral Park is in good standing with the NFIP. Based on documentation received from NYSDEC, a compliance audit (e.g., Community Assistance Visit or Community Assistance Contacts) has not been conducted for the municipality but the Village will determine if one is needed in the future and schedule it. There are no NFIP compliance violations that need to be addressed in this jurisdiction.

The Village is working on a stormwater collection and recharge system to help mitigate the flooding. The Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance for the Village of Floral Park meets minimum requirements. The ordinance was last amended 01/04/2006 and can be referenced in L.L. No. 1-2006. Other steps that the Village takes to support the floodplain management program and meet NFIP requirements include regularly cleaning inlets and stormwater drains, limiting paving on front lawns to allow for capture of stormwater, performing regular tree trimming, reviewing new subdivisions and commercial properties, and reviewing plans for existing residential and commercial property.

Mitigation Strategy

The following section provides an overview of the mitigation strategy for Village of Floral Park. It provides an overview of the jurisdiction's previous mitigation actions, proposed actions, and the NYS mitigation worksheets.

Previous Mitigation Actions

| Action | Clover and Mayfair Avenues Drainage Improvement Project | Floral Park Department of Public Works Emergency Generator | Emergency Generator for Recreation Building/Warming Center- Shelter | Clover and Mayfair Avenues Drainage Improvement Project | Tree Trimming Program |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Risk Category | Flooding | Inability to provide critical services to residents during and after and emergency due to power failure | Inability to provide critical services to residents due to a power outage | Flooding | Power Outage and blockage of critical roadways due to high wind conditions and downed trees. |
| Project Status | In Progress | In Progress | In Progress | In Progress | In Progress |
| Project Status Description | Design drawings are near completion. | Preliminary electrical inspection performed. | Preliminary electrical inspection performed. | Project is combined with first line item; this line can be eliminated | The Village employs a small tree trimming crew who performs routine maintenance for trees currently but the crew is not large enough to handle the trimming around electrical lines in order to prevent potential downed trees and electrical lines in a wind storm. |
| Carried Forward to 2020 Plan | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| Required Changes | Scope of work has expanded to include additional streets. Rename to "West End Drainage Improvements" | No Changes | Additional electrical improvements required, main electrical service is deteriorating and requires replacement | Project is combined with first line item; this line can be eliminated | This is a new implementation plan. |



Proposed Mitigation Actions

| Project Number | VFP_1 | VFP_2 | VFP_3 | VFP_4 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Project Name | Public Works Generator | Rec Center Generator | Tree Trimming Program | West End Drainage |
| Goal being met | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hazards to be mitigated | Hurricane, Straight-line wind | Hurricane, Straight-line wind | Hurricane, Straight-line wind | Flooding |
| Priority Ranking | High | High | High | High |
| Description of the Problem | Loss of Power | Loss of Power | Power Outage and blockage of critical roadways due to high wind conditions and downed trees. | Flooding during rainstorms |
| Description of the Solution | Generator | Generator | Develop a program that expands the tree trimming program crew to trim around electrical lines to prevent potential downed trees and electrical lines in a windstorm. As part of this program, regularly monitor the health and vitality of trees in the village. | Replace and enlarge drainage system |
| Critical Facility | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| EHP Issues | No | No | No | Yes |
| Estimated Timeline | Six months | Six months | One year | One year |
| Lead Agency | Village of Floral Park Building Department and Department of Public Works | Village of Floral Park Building Department and Department of Public Works | Village of Floral Park Building Department and Department of Public Works | Village of Floral Park Building Department and Department of Public Works |
| Estimated Costs | \$375,000 | \$450,000 | \$175,000 | \$3,000,000 |
| Estimated Benefits | Emergency Services | Emergency Services | Safety and Emergency Services | Safety |
| Potential Funding Sources | HMGP/Village | HMGP/Village | HMGP/Village | HMGP/Village/Bond |

Mitigation Action Worksheets

The following pages contain mitigation action worksheets that provide additional detail some of the jurisdiction's proposed mitigation actions.



Nassau County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Name of Jurisdiction: Incorporated Village of Floral Park

| | NYS DHSES A | ction Worksheet | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name: | Department of Public Works Generator | | | | | | | |
| Project Number: | VFP_1 | | | | | | | |
| | Risk / Vi | ulnerability | | | | | | |
| Hazard of Concern: | Loss of Power due to strong winds, hurric | oss of Power due to strong winds, hurricanes and downed trees. | | | | | | |
| Description of the Problem: | type and sanitation trucks and is the mair Department. The fuel pumps are also run maintenance crew would need access to emergency. Without operational fuel pum vehicles, would not have the ability to re-fother neighboring Villages. | The Department of Public Works building houses all the Village maintenance vehicles including all service type and sanitation trucks and is the main office for all emergency personnel for DPW and the Building Department. The fuel pumps are also run off the electric service from this building. In a power outage the maintenance crew would need access to the building and vehicles in order to respond to any type of emergency. Without operational fuel pumps these vehicles, as well as all Village Fire Department and Police vehicles, would not have the ability to re-fuel. This fueling facility is also shared by the School District and | | | | | | |
| | Action or Project Inter | nded for Implementation | | | | | | |
| Description of the Solution: | Add a natural gas generator to provide er | nergency power to the building | during a power outage. | | | | | |
| ls this proj | ect related to a Critical Facility? | Yes x | No | | | | | |
| (If yes, this project mus | t intend to protect to the 500-year flood eve | ent or the actual worst damage | scenario, whichever is greater.) | | | | | |
| Level of Protection: | High protection from power outages | Estimated Benefits (losses | Will allow emergency personnel | | | | | |
| Useful Life: | 20+ Years | avoided): | access to the office and emergency vehicles a fueling | | | | | |
| Estimated Cost: | \$375,000 | | station. | | | | | |
| | Plan for Im | plementation | | | | | | |
| Prioritization: | High | Desired Timeframe for Implementation: | Three years | | | | | |
| Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation: | Six months | Potential Funding Sources: | Hazard Mitigation Grant, Village Funding | | | | | |
| Responsible Organization: | Village of Floral Park Building Department and Department of Public Works | Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: | Engineered electrical drawings | | | | | |
| | Three Alternatives Consi | dered (including No Action) | | | | | | |
| Alternatives: | Action | Estimated Cost | Evaluation | | | | | |
| | No Action Add solar panels with a battery storage system. | \$0 \$500,000 | Battery storage systems designed to hold capacity large enough to handle the load would consume more space than is available in the building. | | | | | |
| | Provide portable generators dedicated to the building and add permanent generator quick connects. | \$150,000 | Portable generators do not provide uninterrupted reliable power as required to maintain the operation of the building. | | | | | |
| | Progress Report (f | or plan maintenance) | | | | | | |
| Date of Status Report: | | | | | | | | |
| Report of Progress: | | | | | | | | |

| pdate Evaluation of ne Problem and/or olution: | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | | _ |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Instructions


(Name of Jurisdiction)

| | NYS DHSES A | Action Worksheet | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name: | Each action must have a unique project | number referenced here and in t | the Action Tables. | | | | |
| Project Number: | Each action must have a unique project name referenced here and in the Action Tables. | | | | | | |
| | Risk / Vulnerability | | | | | | |
| Hazard of Concern: | Identify the hazard being addressed with this action. | | | | | | |
| Description of the Problem: | Provide a detailed narrative of the problem. Describe the natural hazard you wish to mitigate, its impacts to the jurisdiction, past damages and loss of service, etc. Include the street address of the property/project location (if applicable), adjacent streets, and easily identified landmarks such as water bodies and well-known structures, and end with a brief description of existing conditions (topography, terrain, hydrology) of the site. | | | | | | |
| | | ended for Implementation | | | | | |
| Description of the Solution: | Provide a detailed narrative of the solution by direct work and by the project's effect identified; proposed construction method you are in the development process (e.g. analyses or studies performed (attach ar | s; how the action would address ls, including any excavation and ., are studies and/or drawings co | the existing conditions previously earth-moving activities; where emplete), etc., the extent of any | | | | |
| Is this proje | ect related to a Critical Facility? | Yes | No | | | | |
| (If yes, this project must | intend to protect to the 500-year flood eve | ent or the actual worst damage s | cenario, whichever is greater.) | | | | |
| Level of Protection: | proposed project will provide. Ex. 100-year (1%) flood. | Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): | Identify the benefits that implementation of this project will provide. If dollar amounts | | | | |
| Useful Life: | Identify the number of years the project will provide protection against the hazard. | | are known, include them. If dollar amounts are unknown or are unquantifiable, describe the | | | | |
| Estimated Cost: | Identify all estimated costs associated with implementation. | | losses that will be avoided. | | | | |
| | | plementation | | | | | |
| Prioritization: | Identify the priority based on the prioritization method agreed upon. | Desired Timeframe for Implementation: | Identify the desired start time for this project. Ex. Within 6 months. | | | | |
| Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation: | Provided the estimated time required to complete the project from start to end. | Potential Funding Sources: | Multiple sources of potential funding should be listed when appropriate. | | | | |
| Responsible Organization: | Identify the name of a department or agency responsible for implementation, not the jurisdiction. Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: | | Consider the use of local planning mechanisms that will be used to implement this project. | | | | |
| | Three Alternatives Cons | sidered (including No Action) | | | | | |
| Alternatives: | Action | Estimated Cost | Evaluation | | | | |
| | No Action | \$0 | | | | | |
| | Alternative 1 Brief Description | | Include a description of pros/cons of Alternative 1. | | | | |
| | Alternative 2 Brief Description | | Include a description of pros/cons of Alternative 2. | | | | |
| | Progress Report (fo | or plan maintenance) | | | | | |
| Date of Status Report: | This section should be completed during plan maintenance/evaluation. | | | | | | |
| Report of Progress: | Describe what progress, if any, has been made on this project. If it has been determined the jurisdiction no longer wishes to pursue implementation, state that here and indicate why. | | | | | | |
| Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution: | Provide an updated description of the problem and solution, and what has happened since initial consideration/development. | | | | | | |

Nassau County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Name of Jurisdiction: Incorporated Village of Floral Park

| | | action worksneet | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name: | Recreation Center Generator | | | | | | | |
| Project Number: | VFP_2 | | | | | | | |
| | Risk / Vı | ulnerability | | | | | | |
| Hazard of Concern: | Loss of Power due to strong winds, hurricanes and downed trees. | | | | | | | |
| Description of the Problem: | The Recreation Center is used as a shelter and warming center for Village residents as needed during emergency occurrences. If the building loses power heat and another necessary shelter functions will not be available for use. | | | | | | | |
| | Action or Project Intel | nded for Implementation | | | | | | |
| Description of the Solution: | Description of the Add a natural gas generator to provide emergency power to the building during a power outage. | | | | | | | |
| Is this proje | ect related to a Critical Facility? | Yes x | No | | | | | |
| | intend to protect to the 500-year flood eve | ent or the actual worst damage s | scenario. whichever is greater.) | | | | | |
| Level of Protection: | High protection from power outages | Estimated Benefits (losses | Will allow emergency personnel | | | | | |
| Useful Life: | 20+ Years | avoided): | access to the building and provide | | | | | |
| Estimated Cost: | \$450,000 | | shelter for residents. | | | | | |
| | Plan for Im | plementation | | | | | | |
| Prioritization: | High | Desired Timeframe for Implementation: | Three years | | | | | |
| Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation: | Six months | Potential Funding Sources: | Hazard Mitigation Grant, Village Funding | | | | | |
| Responsible Organization: | Village of Floral Park Building Department and Department of Public Works | Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: | Engineered electrical drawings | | | | | |
| | Three Alternatives Consi | dered (including No Action) | | | | | | |
| Alternatives: | Action | Estimated Cost | Evaluation | | | | | |
| | No Action Add solar panels with a battery storage system. Provide portable generators dedicated to the building and add permanent | \$500,000 \$150,000 | Battery storage systems designed to hold capacity large enough to handle the load would consume more space than is available in the building. Portable generators do not provide uninterrupted reliable power as | | | | | |
| | generator quick connects. | or plan maintanana) | required to maintain the operation of the building. | | | | | |
| Data of Status Banart | Flogless Report (I | or plan maintenance) | | | | | | |
| Date of Status Report: | | | | | | | | |
| Report of Progress: | | | | | | | | |
| Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution: | | | | | | | | |



Instructions

(Name of Jurisdiction)

| | NYS DHSES A | Action Worksheet | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name: | Each action must have a unique project number referenced here and in the Action Tables. | | | | | | |
| Project Number: | Each action must have a unique project name referenced here and in the Action Tables. | | | | | | |
| | Risk / V | ulnerability | | | | | |
| Hazard of Concern: | Identify the hazard being addressed with | this action. | | | | | |
| Description of the Problem: | Provide a detailed narrative of the problem. Describe the natural hazard you wish to mitigate, its impacts to the jurisdiction, past damages and loss of service, etc. Include the street address of the property/project location (if applicable), adjacent streets, and easily identified landmarks such as water bodies and well-known structures, and end with a brief description of existing conditions (topography, terrain, hydrology) of the site. | | | | | | |
| | • | tended for Implementation | | | | | |
| Description of the Solution: | Provide a detailed narrative of the solution by direct work and by the project's effect identified; proposed construction method you are in the development process (e.g. analyses or studies performed (attach and provided in the solution). | s; how the action would address ds, including any excavation and ., are studies and/or drawings co | the existing conditions previously earth-moving activities; where emplete), etc., the extent of any | | | | |
| Is this proje | ect related to a Critical Facility? | Yes | No | | | | |
| (If yes, this project must | intend to protect to the 500-year flood eve | ent or the actual worst damage s | cenario, whichever is greater.) | | | | |
| Level of Protection: | | Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): | Identify the benefits that implementation of this project will provide. If dollar amounts | | | | |
| Useful Life: | Identify the number of years the project will provide protection against the hazard. | | are known, include them. If dollar amounts are unknown or are unquantifiable, describe the | | | | |
| Estimated Cost: | Identify all estimated costs associated with implementation. | | losses that will be avoided. | | | | |
| | Plan for Im | plementation | | | | | |
| Prioritization: | Identify the priority based on the prioritization method agreed upon. | Desired Timeframe for Implementation: | Identify the desired start time for this project. Ex. Within 6 months. | | | | |
| Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation: | Provided the estimated time required to complete the project from start to end. | Potential Funding Sources: | Multiple sources of potential funding should be listed when appropriate. | | | | |
| Responsible Organization: | Identify the name of a department or agency responsible for implementation, not the jurisdiction. | Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: | Consider the use of local planning mechanisms that will be used to implement this project. | | | | |
| | Three Alternatives Con | sidered (including No Action) | | | | | |
| Alternatives: | Action | Estimated Cost | Evaluation | | | | |
| | No Action | \$0 | | | | | |
| | Alternative 1 Brief Description | | Include a description of pros/cons of Alternative 1. | | | | |
| | Alternative 2 Brief Description | | Include a description of pros/cons of Alternative 2. | | | | |
| | Progress Report (fo | or plan maintenance) | | | | | |
| Date of Status Report: | This section should be completed during plan maintenance/evaluation. | | | | | | |
| Report of Progress: | Describe what progress, if any, has been made on this project. If it has been determined the jurisdiction no longer wishes to pursue implementation, state that here and indicate why. | | | | | | |
| Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution: | Provide an updated description of the problem and solution, and what has happened since initial consideration/development. | | | | | | |

Nassau County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Name of Jurisdiction: Incorporated Village of Floral Park

| | NYS DHSES A | Acti | on Work | sh | eet | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Project Name: | West End Drainage Improvements | | | | | | | |
| Project Number: | VFP_4 | | | | | | | |
| | Risk / V | /uln | erability | | | | | |
| Hazard of Concern: | Flooding due to heavy rainstorms and hurricanes | | | | | | | |
| Description of the Problem: | and up some driveways and front lawns. cannot enter their driveways. Water flow leaving voids and structural issues. Unde | During a heavy rainstorm, the existing drainage infrastructure gets overwhelmed and backs up onto the street and up some driveways and front lawns. Water is very deep in areas; vehicles cannot pass, and residents cannot enter their driveways. Water flows above curbs and sidewalks which present areas that are unmined, eaving voids and structural issues. Undersized catch basins are in danger of failure. Excess stagnant water freezes in winter leaving hazardous road and sidewalk conditions. | | | | | | |
| | Action or Project Inte | ende | ed for Imp | olei | ne | ntation | | |
| Description of the Solution: | Replace and enlarge existing storm drain | nage | ∍ piping ar | nd i | inle | ets, direct sto | rmwater to a new recharge basin. | |
| Is this pro | ject related to a Critical Facility? | П | Yes | П | | | No x | |
| · | st intend to protect to the 500-year flood ev | vent | or the act | ual | W | orst damage | scenario, whichever is greater.) | |
| Level of Protection: | High protection from average rainfall occurrences | | stimated Bo | ene | efits | s (losses | Will keep roads and sidewalks clear of excess storm water and | |
| Useful Life: | 50+ Years | | , | | | | allow passenger and emergency | |
| Estimated Cost: | \$3,000,000 | | | | | vehicle access to all roads. Avoidance of dangerous ice in winter. | | |
| | Plan for In | nple | ementation | on | | | | |
| Prioritization: | High | | esired Time plementat | | | e for | Three years | |
| Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation: | 12 months | Ро | otential Fur | ndi | ng | Sources: | Hazard Mitigation Grant, Village Funding and Bond | |
| Responsible Organization: | Village of Floral Park Building Department and Department of Public Works | be | cal Planni Used in Ir any: | ng mp | Me len | echanisms to nentation, | Engineered drainage drawings | |
| | Three Alternatives Cons | _ | | ıdi | ng | No Action) | | |
| Alternatives: | Action | op | Esti | ma | tea | Cost | Evaluation | |
| | No Action | | | | \$0 | | .1 | |
| | Install independent drywells for stormwater collection at each intersection instead of one large recharge basin | | ,500,000.0 | | | neo 1 | Independent drywells run the risk of overflow if rain is constant over a long duration of time, the soils around the drywell get saturated and not able to drain properly leading to back up onto the streets and do not provide the level of protection needed. An extensive number of drywells at a possibly very deep depth would be needed to adequately collect the stormwater necessary, the space in the streets is limited due to existing utilities such as sewer, water, gas and electric. | |
| | for inception of the project and then stage expansion for a future date. | | 50,000 ph | | | | would increase the cost due to re- mobilization and loss of efficiency. | |

| Progress Report (for plan maintenance) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| ate of Status Report: | | | | |
| eport of Progress: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| adata Evaluation of | | | | |
| odate Evaluation of e Problem and/or | | | | |
| olution: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Instructions

(Name of Jurisdiction)

| | | Action Worksheet | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name: | Each action must have a unique project | | | | | | |
| Project Number: Each action must have a unique project name referenced here and in the Action Tables. | | | | | | | |
| | | ulnerability | | | | | |
| Hazard of Concern: | Identify the hazard being addressed with this action. | | | | | | |
| Description of the Problem: | Provide a detailed narrative of the problem. Describe the natural hazard you wish to mitigate, its impacts to the jurisdiction, past damages and loss of service, etc. Include the street address of the property/project location (if applicable), adjacent streets, and easily identified landmarks such as water bodies and well-known structures, and end with a brief description of existing conditions (topography, terrain, hydrology) of the site. | | | | | | |
| | • | tended for Implementation | | | | | |
| Description of the Solution: | Provide a detailed narrative of the solution by direct work and by the project's effect identified; proposed construction method you are in the development process (e.g. analyses or studies performed (attach at | s; how the action would address ds, including any excavation and , are studies and/or drawings co | the existing conditions previously earth-moving activities; where emplete), etc., the extent of any | | | | |
| Is this proje | ect related to a Critical Facility? | Yes | No | | | | |
| (If yes, this project must | intend to protect to the 500-year flood eve | ent or the actual worst damage s | cenario, whichever is greater.) | | | | |
| Level of Protection: | Identify the level of protection the | Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): | Identify the benefits that implementation of this project will provide. If dollar amounts | | | | |
| Useful Life: | Identify the number of years the project will provide protection against the hazard. | | are known, include them. If dollar amounts are unknown or are unquantifiable, describe the | | | | |
| Estimated Cost: | Identify all estimated costs associated with implementation. | | losses that will be avoided. | | | | |
| | Plan for Im | plementation | | | | | |
| Prioritization: | Identify the priority based on the prioritization method agreed upon. | Desired Timeframe for Implementation: | Identify the desired start time for this project. Ex. Within 6 months. | | | | |
| Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation: | Provided the estimated time required to complete the project from start to end. | Potential Funding Sources: | Multiple sources of potential funding should be listed when appropriate. | | | | |
| Responsible Organization: | Identify the name of a department or agency responsible for implementation, not the jurisdiction. | Identify the name of a department or agency responsible for Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in | | | | | |
| | Three Alternatives Con | sidered (including No Action) | | | | | |
| Alternatives: | Action | Estimated Cost | Evaluation | | | | |
| | No Action | \$0 | | | | | |
| | Alternative 1 Brief Description | | Include a description of pros/cons of Alternative 1. | | | | |
| | Alternative 2 Brief Description | | Include a description of pros/cons of Alternative 2. | | | | |
| | Progress Report (fo | or plan maintenance) | | | | | |
| Date of Status Report: | This section should be completed during plan maintenance/evaluation. | | | | | | |
| Report of Progress: | Describe what progress, if any, has been made on this project. If it has been determined the jurisdiction no longer wishes to pursue implementation, state that here and indicate why. | | | | | | |
| Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution: | Provide an updated description of the problem and solution, and what has happened since initial consideration/development. | | | | | | |