NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

NORMA GONSALVES, PRESIDING OFFICER

RULES COMMITTEE

NORMA GONSALVES, CHAIRWOMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

June 27, 2016 1:11 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

A P P E A R A N C E S:

NORMA GONSALVES Chairwoman

RICHARD NICOLELLO Vice Chairman

DENNIS DUNNE

HOWARD KOPEL

KEVAN ABRAHAMS Ranking

JUDY JACOBS

CARRIE SOLAGES

MICHAEL C. PULITZER, Clerk

LIST OF SPEAKERS

JIM KANE	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•			•	•			•	6
ROB FINIO			•	•																	•	10
SAMANTHA GOETZ.				•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	13
PABLO FERNANDEZ				•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	14
CHRIS MISTRON .			•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	23
PAUL BRODERICK.				•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	27
JOHN MARKS			•	•															•		•	30
EILEEN KRIEB			•	•																	•	31
META MEREDAY				•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	43
JOHN SARCONE				•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	49
LISA LOCURTO				•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	55
KIM TEMPESTA				•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	64
MARY ELLEN LAURA	1I	1.	•	•	•	•		•	•			•	•	•			•	•		•	•	66
GREG MAY																						127

INSERTS TO TRANSCRIPT

```
Page 69, Line 5 - Page 126, Line 12
Page 133, Line 15 - Page 170, Line 12
Page 171, Line 20 - Page 195, Line 21
Page 197, Line 16 - Page 204, Line 6
Page 205, Line 13 - Page 219, Line 9
```

. []	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 5
2	CHAIRWOMAN GONSLAVES: Please join us in
3	the chamber for the Pledge of Allegiance.
4	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
5	recited.)
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSLAVES: We have five
7	committees meeting; I believe it's Planning,
8	Public Safety, Public Works, Finance, and Rules.
9	As is customary, we begin with the Rules
10	Committee, and we hear the contracts first.
11	Then, of course once the contracts are heard, we
12	recess so the other committees that I just
13	mentioned will present their agendas.
14	Without any further ado, Mr. Pulitzer,
15	would you please call the roll for the Rules
16	Committee.
17	CLERK PULITZER: Thank you, Madam
18	Chairwoman.
19	Legislator Carrie Solages?
20	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
21	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Judith
22	Jacobs?
23	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Here.
24	CLERK PULITZER: Ranking Member Kevan
25	Abrahams?

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 6
2	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
3	CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
4	Presiding Officer Howard Kopel?
5	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
6	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Dennis
7	Dunne?
8	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.
9	CLERK PULITZER: Vice Chairman Richard
10	Nicolello?
11	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
12	CLERK PULITZER: Chairwoman Norma
13	Gonsalves?
14	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Present.
15	CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Thank you very
17	much.
18	The first contract to be heard is A-25, a
19	contract between the County of Nassau, acting on
20	behalf of the Nassau County Office of Emergency
21	Management and Global Security Systems, LLC.
22	Motion, please?
23	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
24	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Moved by

out to the residents in various areas which we

geographically can drill down on. Also, this

24

1 Rules Committee - 6-27-16

will work with cell phones that have an FM chip installed in them, so this will also give us the ability to drill down again on certain areas or certain residential perspectives in the south shore, north shore, and so on and so forth, that we should have the ability to do this.

This program is also 100 percent grant funded. Again, this would allow us to get out receivers to communities and residents that would need them in our - people with functional assistance needs, disabilities, things like that. We could work with our partners in PSE&G, Department of Health, Department of Social Services to reach out to these said people to start this process.

At this time we feel it's crucial to our moving forward in the various issues that we've had throughout the years in dealing with Super Storm Sandy and the problems we had and the potential for infrastructure concerns that we have, should we have more concerns on the south shore, whereas there would be any damage to our infrastructure, where cell phones would not work. We all know that the radio systems through our FM

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	signals were able to work during that event, and
3	we would be able to transit information out to
4	our residents.
5	Anybody have any questions?
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Any questions?
7	Legislator Solages.
8	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Nothing.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: I saw your hand
10	up. No. Minority Leader.
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you, Madam
12	Presiding Officer. How are you, gentlemen?
13	MR. KANE: Good. How are you, sir?
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No one could
15	disagree with the merits. Obviously, we want to
16	be able to notify the public as quickly and as
17	responsibly as possible. I do have some
18	questions in regards to the procurement.
19	From what I'm reading from our backup,
20	only one bid was received; am I correct in saying
21	that?
22	MR. KANE: I'm going to have Rob Finio
23	to answer some of your questions, Legislator.
24	He's been the specialist working on this.
25	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you so

. 1	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	much.
3	MR. FINIO: Yes, that's correct. There
4	was only one bid received.
5	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And how many
6	proposals - how many proposals or how many people
7	was the proposal sent to?
8	MR. FINIO: It was sent out publicly.
9	There is only one other company that we are aware
10	of that does this type of alerting. In speaking
11	with them, they were not prepared to deal with a
12	county of our size, so they decided not to place
13	a bid.
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So it went to two
15	people.
16	MR. FINIO: Essentially, yes.
17	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Are we saying for
18	the record that only two people in this region
19	can handle this or only two people that we know
20	that can handle this.
21	MR. FINIO: Two people in the country
22	not the region.
23	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Two people in the
24	country that we know of.
25	MR. FINIO: Correct.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	In regards to the actual procurement, in
3	terms of the selection process, were you on the
4	RFP committee that actually selected this?
5	MR. FINIO: It went out as a sealed
6	formal bid.
7	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Oh, sealed formal
8	bid. So who actually - who actually reviewed the
9	bids as they came back in, or this bid as it came
10	back in?
11	MR. FINIO: Purchasing.
12	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Purchasing.
13	MR. FINIO: Yes.
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Is that made up
15	of a committee?
16	MR. FINIO: I'm not sure what their
17	process is, to be honest with you.
18	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. FINIO: You're welcome.
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Any other
21	questions from the legislators?
22	(No verbal response.)
23	Any public comment?
24	(No verbal response.)
25	There being none; all those in favor of

	1
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	A-25 signify by saying aye.
3	(Aye.)
4	Any opposed?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Abstentions,
7	Madam Presiding Officer.
8	(Abstain.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: So it's four ayes
10	and three abstentions. Okay. The item passes.
11	Thank you very much.
12	MR. KANE: Thank you. Have a nice day.
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: You too.
14	The next contract is E-153 -
15	MS. GOETZ: Hi. Good afternoon.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Hold on. I
17	didn't read it into the record, please.
18	MS. GOETZ: Sorry.
19	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: A resolution
20	authorizing the county executive to execute a
21	personal services agreement between the County of
22	Nassau, acting on behalf of the Nassau County
23	Attorney's Office and Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz,
24	Elderman & Dicker, LLP.
25	Motion, please?

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
3	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
4	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Moved by
5	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
6	Samantha.
7	MS. GOETZ: Hi. I have Pablo Fernandez
8	here from the county attorney's office.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Okay. Let's go.
10	MR. FERNANDEZ: Good afternoon. This is
11	a contract amendment for the purposes of
12	retaining Wilson Elser to represent the county
13	attorney's office and various deputy county
14	attorneys with respect to the Restivo litigation.
15	It's a new lawsuit brought by plaintiffs against
16	the county attorney's office, the county and,
17	again, various deputy county attorneys.
18	This matter - I'm sorry. Is there a
19	question?
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Hold on.
21	Legislator Nicolello.
22	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So since it's a
23	lawsuit against the county attorney's office,
24	obviously the county attorney's office cannot be
25	the attorneys on this.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	MR. FERNANDEZ: That's correct. I was
3	going to get to that. Thank you.
4	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Minority Leader.
6	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Am I okay to go,
7	Madam Presiding Officer?
8	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Yes. I just
9	called on you.
10	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Dennis was
11	talking. I didn't want to cut in between.
12	I understand, obviously, as you
13	mentioned, in response to Legislator Nicolello's
14	question that this is a lawsuit against the
15	county. I do have a question in regards to
16	compensation.
17	This body has seen contracts come before
18	us after they are agreed to and there are amounts
19	that are paid out to them before this legislature
20	has the opportunity to actually approve the
21	contract. So I guess my question is really tied
22	to - has Wilson Elser received any compensation
23	for representing the county on the Restivo case
24	today.
25	MR. FERNANDEZ: With respect to this

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, they haven't

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	made an appearance. But has any other contract
3	come down that has been associated with Restivo?
4	MR. FERNANDEZ: Not by Wilson Elser.
5	Perhaps by other firms, yes.
6	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Oh. What are
7	those other firms and how much have we spent?
8	MR. FERNANDEZ: That I don't know. This
9	is a separate lawsuit that was brought by these
10	plaintiffs against the county attorney's office
11	and various deputy county attorneys within the
12	office.
13	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand.
14	MR. FERNANDEZ: It's not part of the
15	Restivo lawsuit, so to speak.
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just a quick
17	question that counsel is making me aware of.
18	In regards to the allegations in this one
19	versus in the past, how are they different? If
20	you can share that on the record, or do you think
21	we have to go into some type of executive
22	session?
23	MR. FERNANDEZ: I think I would prefer
24	that to be done in executive session.
25	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	MR. FERNANDEZ: If you would like to
3	discuss the actual lawsuit itself.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I don't want to
5	take time away from the committee. I just asked
6	counsel if maybe you could speak to our counsel
7	directly.
8	MR. FERNANDEZ: Sure.
9	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: To share that
10	information.
11	MR. FERNANDEZ: Not a problem.
12	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you so
13	much.
14	MR. FERNANDEZ: Absolutely. Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN GONSAVLES: Any other
16	comments from the legislators?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Any questions?
19	(No verbal response.)
20	Any public comment?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	There being none; all those in favor of
23	E-153 signify by saying aye.
24	(Aye.)
25	Any opposed?

think it is imperative that we have the

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	department that is actually requesting the
3	contract to be approved to be a representative at
4	our legislative meeting.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I will say to you
6	that we have asked for each department to be
7	available -
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I know you have.
9	I'm not saying you haven't.
10	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: And since we
11	don't have that opportunity today, I'm going to
12	ask for a motion to table.
13	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So moved.
14	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
15	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
16	Legislator Nicolello, seconded by Legislator
17	Kopel.
18	All those in favor tabling E-154 signify
19	by saying aye.
20	(Aye.)
21	Any opposed?
22	(No verbal response.)
23	The item is tabled.
24	Now, if you have anything at the end of
25	the session, anyone here who can speak on it,

_	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 22
2	then we can move to untable it.
3	Thank you, Samantha.
4	The next item is E-156, a personal
5	services agreement between the County of Nassau,
6	acting on behalf of the Office of the County
7	Executive and Auctions International Inc.
8	Motion, please.
9	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
10	LEGILSATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
11	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
12	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
13	Nicolello.
14	MS. GOETZ: Unfortunately there is no
15	one here to speak on this item either.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Motion to
17	table, please.
18	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
19	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
21	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
22	All those in favor of tabling Item 156
23	signify by saying aye.
24	(Aye.)
25	Any opposed?

engaged in safety programs specifically for child

Rules Committee - 6-27-16 2

safety seats within the community. This

particular program utilizes grant monies that are

allocated for handicapped use, and actually the

money comes from handicapped fines. This

provides me with a technician that is able to go

out and take care of special needs programs, as well as go into the community and do special needs education for safety programs from being able to walk as pedestrians as well as, again, for the safety seat programs.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. Mistron, would you tell us about the funding for this project?

MR. MISTRON: The funding comes from the handicapped fines, people that are parking improperly in handicapped spaces. The money has been allocated by the state to be used for handicapped-specific type of programs and educational programs. Again, the New York Coalition for Transportation Safety provides me with both somebody that is able to educate on handicapped issues as well as a person that specializes in handicapped needs programming.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: All funding is

	1
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 26
2	that he provides, as well as he does home visits
3	and referrals.
4	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I'm just thinking
5	that it's a good thing for us as legislators, in
6	all 19 districts, to let it be known. Maybe you
7	can give us a memo. There should be a way we can
8	notify people of where that station would be that
9	they would go to, especially for children with
10	special needs. I am very familiar with that, so.
11	MR. MISTRON: They come right to our
12	regular fitting station in Hicksville. We do
13	utilize the Hicksville facility.
14	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: On Cantiague Rock
15	Road?
16	MR. MISTRON: On Cantiague Rock Road.
17	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay. All right.
18	Very good. Thank you. Thanks for the work you
19	do on this. I appreciate it.
20	MR. MISTRON: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
22	questions or comments?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	Any public comment?
25	(No verbal response.)

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 27
2	There being none; all those in favor of
3	E-157 signify by saying aye.
4	(Aye.)
5	Any opposed?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	The item passes unanimously.
8	The next item is E-159, a personal
9	services agreement between the County of Nassau,
10	acting on behalf of the Nassau County Department
11	of Social Services and Adelphi University
12	Institute for parenting.
13	Motion, please?
14	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
15	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
17	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
18	And we have?
19	MS. GOETZ: We have Paul Broderick here.
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very
21	much, Samantha.
22	MR. BRODERICK: Legislators, good
23	afternoon. Paul Broderick, Deputy Commissioner,
24	Department of Social Services. The item before
25	you is a 12-month contract with Adelphi

	1
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	provide this service to the county?
3	MR. BRODERICK: That is correct.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you very
5	much.
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
7	questions or comments?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	Any public comment?
10	(No verbal response.)
11	There being none; all those in favor of
12	E-159 signify by saying aye.
13	(Aye.)
14	Any opposed?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	The item passes unanimously.
17	Thank you, Mr. Broderick.
18	I'm going to call two contracts together
19	- U-6 and U-11.
20	U-6 is a personal services agreement
21	between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of
22	the Traffic and Parking Violations Agency and
23	Robert Horowitz.
24	U-11 is a personal services agreement
25	between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	the Traffic and Parking Violations Agency and
3	Richard A. Lapera.
4	Motion, please?
5	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
6	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Motion by
8	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
9	Nicolello.
10	And I see the judge is before us.
11	JUDGE MARKS: John Marks, Executive
12	Director, Nassau County Traffic and Parking
13	Violations Agency.
14	This is an extension of existing
15	contracts. Both judges have been sitting at
16	Traffic and Parking Violations long before I got
17	there. I got there in 2010.
18	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions of
19	the Judge?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	Any public comment?
22	(No verbal response.)
23	There being none; all those in favor of
24	U-6 and U-11 signify by saying aye.
25	(Aye.)

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	Any opposed?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	The items pass unanimously.
5	JUDGE MARKS: Thank you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you, Judge.
7	The next several we are going to group
8	together, personal services agreement relating to
9	the Department of Parks and Recreation, beginning
10	with U-43, U-44, U-45, U-46, U-50, U-51, U-53, U-
11	54, U-55, U-56, U-58, U-59.
12	Motion, please?
13	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
14	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
15	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
16	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
17	Okay.
18	MS. GOETZ: We have Eileen Krieb here.
19	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very
20	much.
21	MS. KRIEB: Eileen Krieb, Department of
22	Parks.
23	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Eileen, could you
24	go quickly through each of these?
25	MS. KRIEB: Sure. These are all related

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

to or most of them, I should say, are all
entertainment for the summer for our concert
series mostly at Lakeside, if not there's a
couple of Grant. I'll just go through them
quickly.

U-43, Gathering Time, is a \$1200 contract for a Crosby Stills & Nash tribute band for July 12 at Grant Park.

U-44 is Paul Gergenti. It's an oldies concert at Lakeside, The Clusters. It's \$2450.

All of these are funded by hotel/motel.

The third one is U-45, Chris Yerlig.

He's a mime that we hire for special events at

OBVR. His amount shall not exceed \$7,000. It is

for ten days, and he gets paid hourly, between

now and the end of the year.

 $$\rm U\text{-}46$ is Mlicata. It's Lakeside. Disco Unlimited. The concert is on July 8, and it's \$4,000.

U-50 is Barefoot Productions. This is a contract that was done through an RFP. It's for supplementing our own equipment for special requirements of different performers. It's musical instruments and audio that we don't have

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

ourselves in-house, and sometimes we have to

reach out to them for supplementing the request

of the performer.

U-51 is East Meadow Soccer. It was done through an RFP. They provide soccer clinics to our children who attend our camps at the various parks during the summer. It's for \$24,900.

U-53 is Obvious Media. It was procured through an RFP. Between now and next April is the term of the contract. It's for \$10,000.

It's for video production services for different events that the parks department holds.

 $$\rm U\text{-}54$ is Mlicata, again procured through an RFP. It's Michael Live Project at Lakeside on July 17 for \$6,000.

U-55 is Plaza Productions, which brings a production to Lakeside Theater. The name of it is All Shook Up. It's an Elvis Presley Tribute. It's a showcase of the music of Elvis. July 11. It was \$5,000.

U-56 is CBS Radio. We partner with them twice during the summer for Fresh FM in the Park. We were just told that the performer is going to be Lucas Graham on July 23. He is one of the top

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

ten contemporary performers.

U-58 was Greg Raffa. He's a promoter or booking agent that was procured through an RFP for \$15,000. He's procuring an oldies show for August 15 from 8:00 to 10:00 at Lakeside Theater.

U-59 is Anthony Guadango. He is Tony Dango, Manhattan Skyline, performing July 15 at Lakeside. Again, it's an oldies concert for \$2,050.

I think that's all.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Eileen, funding for these programs?

MS. KRIEB: All the funding source for all of them is hotel/motel.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very much.

Any questions or comments? Legislator Jacobs.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I have a couple of questions. Number one, all of these say that they are sole source.

MS. KRIEB: Not all of them. Some of them have been procured through RFP.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I'm sorry.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

MS. KRIEB: But the ones that are sole source are the ones that the people themselves are the show, so that's why they are sole source.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Let me ask you a question. Let's go to U-51 for a minute. We were just talking about this up here. It says a hotel/motel grant between parks and East Meadow Soccer Club. Now, is that restricted to East Meadow period or is East Meadow incorporating all of Eisenhower Park in this? I don't know what this means.

MS. KRIEB: East Meadow Soccer is the name of the entity, and they provide soccer clinics to all of the parks that hold summer camp this summer. It's not just at Eisenhower. It's at Nickerson, at Wantagh. They have clinics each day of the week.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: It could even be Cantiague or.

MS. KRIEB: Yes. Every location that we have the summer camp at, that Nassau County sponsors, they have various days that they go to different locations within the parks and they provide - during the day one of the programs the

1 Rules Committee - 6-27-16 36 children are provided with is a soccer clinic. 2 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. 3 4 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Minority Leader. 5 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are you, Ms. Krieb? 6 7 MS. KRIEB: I'm good. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Good. 8 Just to 9 piggyback on Legislator Jacob's question. 10 understand that some of these are sole source. There was a letter signed by Mr. Nugent 11

identifying them as being sole source. But let me just take a look.

U-43 is a contract for the tribute to
Crosby, Stills & Nash. I just wanted to make sure I understand. How do you determine that this is a sole source contract? Why couldn't anybody provide that tribute, or any band, or any musical composition provide that? And that's

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sole sources that were signed by Mr. Nugent. How does he determine any of this stuff as sole source?

MS. KRIEB: In the case that the

kind of a generic question, in terms of all the

performer is the entity itself, that's when we

2 | have considered them as sole source.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What I'm asking is there more than one performer that can provide that kind of music? How do you come to that conclusion that he is sole source?

MS. KRIEB: It's sole source because it's the individual that we are hiring. You're asking me if it is a competitive process, if there are other people out there that can do Crosby, Stills -

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How come you didn't pick anybody else, yeah.

MS. KRIEB: These are people that we have selected through advice of the promoters, and they are the entity themselves that perform so that's why they are sole source. We have searched through other lists of talents, and that's why they were selected, based on their reputation and their performances and recommendations from others. I have a few of them here today that are the performer is the sole source.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It's sole source because the promoter tells us this is the best

Rules Committee - 6-27-16 perform.

MS. KRIEB: Same with Mlicata. She is Disco Unlimited. She did another event, on one other contract I have today she is not the entertainment. She hired the service. She participated in the RFP process. So we differentiated between those individuals who are the sole source and they are the entity that's performing versus those who are booking agents.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. I don't want to belabor it. But to me, having the promoter tell us that this is a sole source, I don't know if that is the best approach. The county should be making sure that there is no other entity in the county that could provide disco services, not taking the word of a promoter to ensure that they are the only one or the best one. How do we know that?

We're talking about trivial amounts of money - \$1200, \$2450 - but every dollar matters in regards to the taxpayer.

I just want to make sure I have a clearer understanding of Mr. Nugent's letter. If you can take that back to him, I would appreciate it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

played in Mineola to opening their new band Last week there was a different Billy Joel tribute band that played in New Hyde Park for one of their summer concerts. The Town of North Hempstead has a Billy Joel tribute band, which his different than the other two, and is going to be playing in the next couple of weeks. Then you have Bob Delguidice, I think, whatever his name, playing at the Paramount on Saturday. So you literally could have dozens of Billy Joel tribute bands. I don't think it makes sense to anybody that we start doing RFPs for Billy Joel tribute bands or contacting each and every one of At some point we have to have some discretion on our parks officials to make these types of determinations. There is management and there's micromanagement, and I think we've gone beyond micromanagement at this point.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You may respond if you wish, Minority Leader.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you. I
think I agree with everything that Mr. Nicolello
said. I just think, like I said before, when you
do have multiple people, like he just said in his

have a question on this specific question. I just have a question on U-50. It says that this contract is to provide on-stage musical instruments and audio equipment per individual performance requirements - drum kits, rises,

23

24

2 guitars. I'm not involved of that aspect of show

3 | business, I guess. But is it our responsibility

4 | to provide, I guess, musical instruments?

5 | Shouldn't these performers come prepared? Why

6 should we be on the hook for \$15,000? I could

7 | understand for audio-visual equipment. But,

literally, are we providing certain instruments

9 | for them?

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KRIEB: It's rare. But as part of the booking agent and promoter's agreement with us, sometimes we are required to provide the piano or some type of amplifying system that they prefer. It's all part of our negotiating.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I could understand the piano; that's hard to carry around.

MS. KRIEB: Sometimes they require certain amplification that we don't have. We have a basic setup, and if we need to supplement it we then go to Barefoot. This year we RFPd them and they were part of a bidding process. We have a list of, I don't know how many pages, of different items that possibly could be on the punch list. If necessary, we have them ready to go.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public

comment? Ms. Mereday.

MS. MEREDAY: Mete Mereday, Baldwin, New York. Okay.

Legislator Solages took care of one of the questions that I had; still didn't really get a great answer for it. But it did behoove one to ask, why are we paying for somebody's equipment is these are professional performers.

The sole sourcing issue I have a problem with. Again, I'm just looking at the paperwork from veteran and senior affairs where there are, once again, no items being presented for discussion for budgeting but we are determining close to \$100,000 for park and recreation. I don't know what survey anyone's been in receipt of that kind of determines. I have nothing against Tony Orlando in any form, the original, the copies, whatever. I could really care less how many Bill Joel impersonators there are. But my concern continues to be that we are spending money - granted, we all need entertainment - but we still have veterans still out here suffering,

2 and we're putting funding into these types of

1

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 things. We are paying for video production

4 services. And my question is, as it pertains to

5 | that, who are we videotaping this for? If they

6 are performing for live performances, why are we

7 paying for video production services? Are we

8 | then selling those for maybe additional revenue

9 | for the county? I don't understand.

We are paying for a booking agent.

11 | Wouldn't the parks department consider to be the

12 booking agent for the county? So why are we

13 paying for another booking agent to do the

14 | booking that we are paying the parks department

15 | to do the booking for the parks and recreation

activities. Again, I just don't understand these

17 charges and these related fees.

Again, any type of contract, whether it's for entertainment or construction, if you are still using the same individual, it still bars the introduction of other individuals. Kind of that word that doesn't seem to ring regular here, diversity, inclusion, outreach. What are we doing to incorporate others who can participate? Not to mention, everybody can't get to Eisenhower

when it's somebody you want to hear from you have

speaking on many different items. In my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

estimation, she should have three minutes for each item she wanted to speak on.

My second question is, that feeds to our questions which is really tied to Ms. Krieb. Noone here would say that they govern through Newsday. However, we did see a very disturbing story in Newsday in regard to the blue chip marketing contract that was delivered, a story that indicated that individuals within the parks department did not believe that the work was substantial or satisfactory, to their estimation, and that contract still found its way to navigate through the county. That is why we are asking the questions today in regards to these contracts. For anyone to say that we are against Mr. Tony Orlando or Stuart Marcus or any of these other gentlemen, we are not.

I think it's important that we continue to ask the questions because it's also important that contracts like Blue Chip Marketing find their way to navigate through the county system again and again.

So I am glad to see that these contracts are coming before us. Yes, it may be perceived

as some type of micromanaging, but I think we have to do that when we see contracts like Blue Chip Marketing which were not supported by the accounts that were made in Newsday, not being

supported by the staff in the parks department.

Thank you.

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: All right. There are several items now. I believe that the items that were called were all related, and that's U-43, U-44, U-45, U-46, U-50, U-51, U-53, U-54, U-55, U-56, U-58, U-59. All those in favor of those items that were just called signify by saying aye.

(Aye.)

Any opposed?

(No verbal response.)

The items pass four to three.

The next item is U-48, a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Nassau County Office of Housing and Homeless Services and Leslie Francis, Esquire.

Motion, please?

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 49
2	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
3	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
4	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
5	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
6	Okay. Who do we have?
7	MS. GOETZ: Hi. We do have Director
8	John Sarcone.
9	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: He was just here
10	just a few minutes ago.
11	MS. GOETZ: Someone is getting him.
12	MR. SARCONE: How are you doing? John
13	Sarcone, Director of Housing for Nassau County.
14	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Welcome, John.
15	MR. SARCONE: Hi. The item before you
16	is our annual action plan for the Nassau County
17	Urban Consortium. It consists of three grants:
18	the Emergency Solutions Grant - I'm sorry.
19	That's the wrong item. I apologize.
20	The item in front of you is for our fair
21	hearing officer to provide assistance in
22	determining Section 8 grievances, as well as
23	legal services for the Office of Housing and
24	Community Development.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions or

comments for Mr. Sarcone? Legislator Jacobs.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Talking about procurement, according to the staff summary that we received, it seems the ad was placed on three law-related websites.

MR. SARCONE: That is correct.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: And only two individuals responded.

MR. SARCONE: That is correct.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Both applicants were interviewed and the contractor was selected based on their knowledge. Can someone tell me from housing or homeless services, or perhaps you could, could you tell us the names of the websites where these ads appeared?

MR. SARCONE: I don't have the particular names of the websites, but our inhouse attorney was the one that was handling the procurement process for that.

only two, I mean, you'd hope that it had a broad reach when you're going into something like fair housing. I don't know. It's just the way I read the backup. I don't know if this could literally

bring it to the point that after receiving two proposals that it's enough justification to use the words sole source.

MR. SARCONE: I understand your concern.

But you bring up fair housing. This was not in reference to anything dealing with fair housing.

It was dealing with Section 8 fair hearings.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Let me ask you something. I'm a little bit aware of Section 8, not very, a little bit. Do we have a resume of this contractor that we're agreeing to?

MR. SARCONE: Absolutely.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: This is what I want to know. Does the contractor have experience in the area of landlord-tenant law, number one; tenant advocacy, number two; legal aid, number three; or the handling of DSS/Section 8 matters? I know these are all very specific and very important.

MR. SARCONE: I believe that is the case.

I would have to double check. The attorneys
LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I'm wondering if we

could ask you for the resume. We did not see the

resume in our backup.

2 MR.

MR. SARCONE: That's fine.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: If this person is qualified that's one thing. But I know through a few years of my life when I was in real estate management, so many mistakes can be made here by people who are not necessarily geared for this particular item. I just want to make sure we're dealing with someone here who really is.

MR. SARCONE: I will be more than happy to provide you that backup information.

Just to quantify my answer. It doesn't necessarily mean that we would have to provide an attorney. The only requirements for the Section 8 Program is that a fair hearing officer is procured. We went the extra step to ensure that an attorney was litigating these hearings.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: But that hearing could make or break someone who is really in dire straits.

MR. SARCONE: I can totally understand your point of view. That being said, whatever outcome the fair hearing officer determines, based on the Section 8 Laws, I don't have to abide by it. It's ultimately my decision.

ſ	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 53
2	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay. All right.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. SARCONE: More times than not, I
5	would say 99 percent of the time we go with the
6	recommendation of the fair hearing officer, but
7	it's not a requirement.
8	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: All right. Thank you
9	for your answers.
10	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Motion to table.
11	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Motion to table
12	by Legislator Nicolello.
13	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
14	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Seconded by
15	Legislator Kopel.
16	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	(Aye.)
18	Any opposed?
19	(No verbal response.)
20	Mr. Sarcone, I think there was a request
21	for some additional information, if you can get
22	that to us before we adjourn for the day, perhaps
23	we can untable the item.
24	MR. SARCONE: Just to be clear on the
25	request. The resume is the only thing that would

25

Nicolello.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

that 24,000 was below the \$25,000 cap in terms of

these contracts. I'm just curious as to what are

the services that are being provided or what was

23

24

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 56
2	being provided and why is there a need for the
3	increase? Just trying to see if there is, you
4	know, if we are getting value for the money. I'm
5	just asking.
6	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I have a question.
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
8	Solages.
9	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you,
10	Presiding Officer. Isn't the total for this
11	contract so far \$49,800? Shouldn't this be an E
12	contract? It should be an E contract because it
13	exceeds \$25,000. Can anyone answer that
14	question? I'm trying to understand the U versus
15	the E. Shouldn't this be an E contract? Can you
16	explain the scope?
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I don't mean to
18	interrupt you.
19	MS. LOCURTO: I can answer that
20	question.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Who assigns these
22	contracts?
23	MS. LOCURTO: Who does the designation?
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Yes.
25	MS. LOCURTO: I know the county

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

MS. LOCURTO: Because the contract - the

there is a new scope to the case then -

24

original contract was for - they were a qualified

3 | firm to provide certain legal services. The

4 contract, they were given a prior assignment.

5 The assignment was concluded. Now we're giving

6 them a new assignment to do the work on this new

7 assignment.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Once the person who receives the contract, or the company, or the firm receives a contract, I guess their foot is in the door, so to speak, and we could just keep on piling their legal fee.

MS. LOCURTO: The firm is on our qualified panel. If we have a need for the legal services, then because we may need to send in counsel immediately, we like to have the firm available. In the first instance, the firm was available to provide legal services. We have need for new legal services to be provided to represent the county, so that's why the contract is being extended, renewed for another year, and we are adding additional funding to cover the legal services that are going to be provided during that year term.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I just want to make

sure that we're not provided a carte blanche to these firms, to just say there's a new scope, you know, the work is expanding, we need now an extension or an amendment. I just want to make sure -

MS. LOCURTO: I don't believe that's the case here. Every contract that exceeds \$1,000 must come back to the legislature. The county attorney's office cannot assign cases or add additional funding to contracts unless we come back to the Nassau County Legislature for your approval. So I don't believe that your concern is necessary in this instance.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: When do you start the practice of amending these proposals so that the scope could go beyond what we initially anticipated?

MS. LOCURTO: Any time the scope of services in legal services contract is changed from what the original approval is it must come back to the Nassau County Legislature for approval. The legislature, if the contract is more than one year or the scope of the services changed, Nassau County Legislature approves that

Rules Committee - 6-27-16 change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: But wouldn't it be fair to say that goes beyond the original RFQ?

MS. LOCURTO: No. The RFO is a request for qualifications for legal services. Each firm submits their qualifications to be on a panel so that in the event the county attorney's office is either conflicted out of representing a county employee or the county itself who, for whatever reason, the firm is available, they are qualified in a specific area of law to provide that service. If there is a complexity to the litigation that requires a certain expertise that deputy county attorneys do not have within the scope of their normal civil litigation knowledge, then we have a qualified panel of counsel that the county can refer to to engage and assist us. If the complexity is beyond because of the scope, there are multiple defendants, it's a multi-party litigation, and so forth, that's the purpose of having qualified counsel.

All terms - just the qualification of the counsel still is subject to a contract, to the compensation, the services, and the scope of

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 62
2	services that they're going to provide.
3	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you.
4	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: All those in
5	favor of U-49 signify by saying aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	Any opposed?
8	(Nay.)
9	The item passes four to three.
10	Moving on to Item U-52, a personal
11	services agreement between the County of Nassau,
12	acting on behalf of the Nassau County Department
13	of Traffic Safety Board and the New York
14	Coalition for Transportation Safety, Inc.
15	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
16	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
18	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
19	Nicolello.
20	And?
21	MS. GOETZ: We have Christopher Mistron.
22	MR. MISTRON: Good afternoon, again. As
23	part of our annual safety plan submitted to the
24	Department of Motor Vehicles, we end up, part of
25	it, putting aside money for assistance. Again,

25

Nicolello.

1	1
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 65
2	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: My only question
3	is is this enough money to cover for a full year,
4	\$1600?
5	MS. TEMPESTA: Yes. We have rare need
6	of them. But when we do it's at a moment's
7	notice. We had three - one retired, one is
8	considering retiring. He is willing and able to
9	come. He's in our catchment area.
10	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.
11	MS. TEMPESTA: Our latent prints does a
12	very good job, actually, in identifying for us.
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public
14	comment?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	There being none; all those in favor of
17	U-57 signify by saying aye.
18	(Aye.)
19	Any opposed?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	The item passes unanimously.
22	MS. TEMPESTA: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you.
24	I need a motion to suspend the rules
25	because I have a contract on the addendum.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 66
2	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
3	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
4	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
5	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
6	Nicolello.
7	All those in favor of suspending the
8	rules signify by saying aye.
9	(Aye.)
10	Any opposed?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	The rules are suspended.
13	Now for the last item on the contracts.
14	E-102, a personal services agreement between the
15	County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Nassau
16	County Department of Health, and Renee K. Barsa.
17	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
18	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
19	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
20	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
21	MS. GOETZ: We have Mary Ellen Laurain.
22	MS. LAURAIN: Good afternoon. Mary
23	Ellen Laurain, Department of Health.
24	Item E-102-16 is a renewal to a contract
25	with Renee Barsa. The maximum amount is \$10,000,

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 68
2	them.
3	(Whereupon, the Rules Committee recessed
4	at 2:18 p.m.)
5	(Whereupon, the Rules Committee
6	reconvened at 5:39 p.m.)
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Rules is now back
8	in session.
9	As is customary, we do the consent items,
10	and I ask that the testimony from the previous
11	committees be incorporated into the testimony of
12	the Rules Committee. I'm going to begin with the
13	consent items.
14	231, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 241, 240,
15	242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251,
16	252, 255, 256, 257.
17	Motion, please?
18	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
19	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
21	Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
22	Again, I repeat that the testimony from
23	the previous committees be incorporated into the
24	Rules Committee.
25	(Whereupon, the following is the minutes

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi, Paul. How are

Rules Committee - 6-27-16 you?

MR. BRODERICK: Good afternoon.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Could you tell me a little bit more about what this re-entry task for strategic plan is actually supposed to achieve and who is going to be on the task force?

MR. BRODERICK: Absolutely. The STEERS re-entry is basically reintroducing individuals that are currently incarcerated back into the community. It is a program which is run by DOCS, correctional services. When people are incarcerated they are selected for the program and they work with individuals down here in the county to seek employment and reintroduce them back into the community, basically casework to help them integrate back and, I guess, mend their ways.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. And who is going to be part of the task force?

MR. BRODERICK: Actually, right now there are funds in outside contract with FCA, and they work in collaboration with the Department of Social Services and New York State Parole.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Sorry. This amount

find suitable housing, suitable employment. It's

the parole side, as opposed to more, you know,

24

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	really primarily employment, to try to get people
3	working in a meaningful manner.
4	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Part of my concern is
5	that individuals who have been out of the jail
6	system for some time, still finding themselves
7	flounder and they're not really able to connect
8	in and find employment. I wanted to know if this
9	would help those individuals at all.
10	MR. BRODERICK: It does. However, I
11	mentioned earlier that they select the
12	individuals. This is a subset of the population
13	who are being reintroduced to their
14	neighborhoods. Not everyone fits in this
15	program. There is only so much volume that they
16	can manage to do case management for.
17	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I guess then I would
18	like to see what is the selection criteria, how
19	you're determining who goes into the program.
20	MR. BRODERICK: Let me just assure you
21	that we don't. We are told who is. But we can
22	share that with you. We can get that from the
23	funding source.
24	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
25	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Madam Chairwoman,

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 73
2	question.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Sure.
4	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: This grant applies
5	to drug offenders that are currently in state
6	prisons being returned to the county, is that
7	correct?
8	MR. BRODERICK: I don't know what their
9	crimes WIA. We can definitely find out.
10	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: My understanding is
11	it's the chemically dependent offenders that are
12	in the state prisons returning back to the county
13	that would get this outreach kind of help, I
14	guess.
15	These are state dollars we're talking
16	about, correct?
17	MR. BRODERICK: That is correct.
18	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Okay.
19	MR. BRODERICK: I'm not really sure of
20	their criteria, but I'm sure it captures across
21	all type of infractions.
22	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: I'm not so sure of
23	that. I think it's only the chemically dependent
24	that we are specifically addressing today.
25	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: That was my

1

3

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

75 because she does ask the questions as it pertains to my tax dollars. As I sit back and I'm frustrated with the fact that my elected officials continue to just nod heads when it comes down to our money and you have these questions that sit there but you just continue to pass it along as if somebody else is going to be responsible for it.

I can't see how you can fund an initiative where you don't have all the information presented to you - how this money is actually being utilized, what is the success What are the benchmarks in place? rate? determination of the participants. What is the level of recidivism within that group set itself? How can you just sit there and justify, well, we're just going to move it forward? What will it take to actually get that emphasis in place that we have to stop somewhere? The bleeding has to stop somewhere. We have to look at what we are doing with people's money and their lives. You have to stop somewhere.

And to have presentations - and no offense to the presenters. But to have

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

presentations that are ill prepared and you're still just voting for it anyway. That just smacks to me of an ongoing systemic problem within this body that does not seem to get any relief.

Hearings don't do it. Criminal convictions don't do it. The district attorney coming here doesn't do it. You're renewing contracts, extending deals. You're acting like you want to do what is right by the people but you are still, you know, embodying the same nonsense that is costing people. The fact that you have to legislate about zombie houses. You have to address the issue that we have to do something about re-entry.

Re-entry is not just about, you know, helping them to mend their ways when they get out and then they still don't have a job or they don't have a job that gives them enough money so that they can stay here. We don't provide them enough resources so that they can move themselves from their chemical dependency. So they repeat those same bad habits, go back to those same scenarios, and they look like all of us.

I	1
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 77
2	What is it going to take for you to
3	realize that this bleeding has to stop? And I
4	know my words are just bouncing in the air
5	because you got this side and you got that side.
6	But you're killing us. In the meantime, you're
7	killing us, killing us, because we're all one
8	body.
9	CLERK PULITZER: Ma'am your three
10	minutes are up.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any other comments?
12	(No verbal response.)
13	Any other public comments?
14	(No verbal response.)
15	All those in favor of Clerk Item 231-16
16	signify by saying aye.
17	(Aye.)
18	Any opposed?
19	(No verbal response.)
20	Any abstentions?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	Then this will move on to Finance.
23	There are six items on the addendum. I
24	first need a motion to suspend the rules.
25	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: So moved.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 78
2	LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Second.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Moved by Legislator
4	Gaylor, seconded by Legislator Kennedy.
5	All in favor of suspending the rules?
6	(Aye.)
7	Again, there are six items on the agenda.
8	I'm going to put all the health together.
9	Clerk Item 243-16, it is an ordinance
10	supplemental to the annual appropriation
11	ordinance in connection with the Department of
12	Health.
13	Clerk Item 244-16, Clerk Item 245-16,
14	Clerk Item 249-16, and 250-16 are also all
15	ordinances supplemental to the annual
16	appropriation ordinance in connection with the
17	Department of Health.
18	May I have a motion, please?
19	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Motion.
20	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Motion by Legislator
22	Gaylor, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
23	MS. GOETZ: We have Mary Ellen Laurain.
24	MS. LAURAIN: Good afternoon. Mary
25	Ellen Laurain, Department of Health.

appropriation in the amount of \$54,172. This is for the child and family safety grant. It's 100 percent funded through New York State Office of Children and Family Services. This team reviews all deaths of children who reside in Nassau County and whose death is unexplained or unexpected. This program just won a national award for its Safe Sleep Program.

CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any questions by the legislators for Ms. Laurain?

(No verbal response.)

Any public comment?

(No verbal response.)

Clerk Item 244-16.

MS. LAURAIN: Item 244-16 is a supplemental appropriation in the amount of \$43,145. This for our tuberculosis public health campaign. This is an additional COLA award, and it is funded through New York State Department of Health. Total funding after this award is \$566,745.

CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any comments or questions from the legislators?

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	(No verbal response.)
3	Any public comment?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	Clerk Item 245-16.
6	MS. LAURAIN: Item 245-16 is a
7	supplemental appropriation in the amount of
8	\$24,720. This is for our immunization action
9	plan. It is funded through New York State
10	Department of Health. It is also an additional
11	COLA award. Total funding after the award is
12	\$324,720. This program protects the public from
13	vaccine preventable diseases.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any questions or
15	comments from the legislators?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	Any public comment?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	Clerk Item 249-16.
20	MS. LAURAIN: Item 249-16 is a
21	supplemental appropriation for the HIV
22	surveillance and partner notification in the
23	amount of \$283,552. This is New York State
24	Department of Health funded, and it is a mandated
25	program.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any questions or
3	comments from the legislators?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	Any public comment?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	Okay. Clerk Item 250-16.
8	MS. LAURAIN: Item 250-16 is a
9	supplemental appropriation in the amount of
10	\$214,775 for the childhood lead poison prevention
11	grant. This is funded through New York State
12	Department of Health and provides comprehensive
13	services to reduce the prevalence of blood lead
14	in children under age six.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any questions or
16	comments from the legislators?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Any public comment?
19	(No verbal response.)
20	Clerk Items 243, 244, 245, 249, and 250-
21	16, all those in favor signify by saying aye.
22	(Aye.)
23	Any opposed?
24	Any abstentions?
25	These items will move on to the Finance

enforcement. It's for \$501,800. This is a - the

state supplemented just for the first six months

24

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	for this year. This is not a full year. This is
3	going to be a six-month grant.
4	This money is going to be used for extra
5	personnel to go out and patrol the HOV to enforce
6	the laws in relation to the HOV lanes. Also,
7	they will do auto accident, anything that comes
8	up in those HOV lanes will be enforced as well.
9	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: And this comes from the
10	state?
11	LIEUTENANT STEPHANOFF: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Fully funded. Okay.
13	Any questions by any of the legislators?
14	(No verbal response.)
15	Any public comment?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	There being none; all in favor indicate
18	by saying aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Any against?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	This passes on to Finance.
23	I'd like to have a motion to suspend the
24	rules.
25	LEGISLATOR FORD: So moved.

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

needed services which cover unlimited medical expenses, burial expenses, loss of earnings and/or support and loss or damage to essential personal property, moving expenses and storage expenses.

This grant will fund people over 60 and under 18 or who are disabled. This is a reoccurring grant that she works off of to supply services, much needed services to victims of crimes.

CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Are there any questions from any of the legislators? Yes. Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Good afternoon, Officer.

LIEUTENANT STEPHANOFF: Good afternoon.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Is there a

requirement that the victim cooperate with police in order to be able to obtain these funds?

so. Patty usually goes through our reports and she'll pick out - she does it kind of independently from the officer at the scene. She goes through our reports and she will look for

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. This is a

LIEUTENANT STEPHANOFF: Sometimes there

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I have a question for

24

25

comment. Ms. Mereday.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Yes.
4	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm sorry. Did you
5	say it has to go through the chair and then be
6	disseminated?
7	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: I'm asking to bring it
8	to the chair and then I'll give it to everybody
9	because -
10	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You'll make sure that
11	we get it then?
12	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Yeah. We're all
13	interested in the answer. It's a good question.
14	Ms. Mereday.
15	MS. MEREDAY: Thank you. Good
16	afternoon. Mete J. Mereday, Baldwin. Just a
17	couple of questions as it pertains to this. For
18	me, I'm always going to come up front and say
19	that I think it's definitely criminal the way we
20	are treating our veterans. I just find it
21	interesting that we have a service, kind of once
22	a crime happens, that we don't seem to provide to
23	our veterans who are being foreclosed on and put

I just want to know, I guess as

out on a regular basis, but I digressed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Legislator Bynoe alluded to, first of all, how is this type of information even transmitted to victims? How do they know that they have these types of services and what are the numbers in terms of the geographic breakdown? I know individuals who have been victims of crimes and they have not received any of these types of services. Is there just the one person? I think it's admirable that we have this person doing To think that we have millions of people, that. 1.2 million or whatever in Nassau County, and there is one person making a phone call to reach out to somebody who has been a victim of a crime, which is already a trauma, I don't quite understand how that actually functions.

The moving expenses, the storage expenses, where exactly are we placing the individuals, one, if they can't go back to their homes, if we are already saying that we have a housing shortage and a shelter shortage, that we can't put people who are about to lose their home. I don't understand how there seems to be the resources available for one side of the table but we don't have the resources for another side

The next item is 247-16, an ordinance supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Medical

CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Thank you, Lieutenant.

Examiner, Division of Forensic Services.

48 is a similar, an annual ordinance supplemental to - wait a minute, let's try it again - an ordinance supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Medical Examiner, Division of Forensic Services.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Second.

CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Moved by Legislator

Walker, seconded by Legislator Ford.

And we have with us?

MS. DOOLING: Hi. Karen Dooling,
Assistant Director, Division of Forensic

19 Services.

You have two grants before you. 247-16 is our annual Age Crime Laboratories Grant. It's used for overtime, equipment, and supplies for the laboratory. It's a New York State Grant, no match.

The second item is 248-16. This is a new

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

grant we've received for arson laboratory

improvement. It's an award of \$5,000 because we are now able to do arson testing in the laboratory. We've gone online with that services.

CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Where are we with the labs? Are the labs already up and running or are we still waiting for it to finalize? Where are we with the lab?

MS. DOOLING: We're online with biology,
DNA services. We were also online with latent
prints comparisons. In April we received
additional extension of services accreditation
for latent print processing, also for conducting
chemistry controlled substances for doing fire,
debris, arson. And then we can't go online with
fire arms analysis until we're in the new
laboratory and have a bigger facility.

CHAIRMAN DUNNE: So this is going to go for equipment in our lab, overtime, and that's fully state funded, that's 247. Anybody else have any questions on 247?

(No verbal response.)

No public comment on that.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

Motion on that is made by Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator Rhoads.

On these items, do we have somebody to speak?

MR. KATZ: Yes. Martin Katz, Division of Planning, Department of Public Works.

Section 4 is one of three remaining sections of the Meadowbrook Pointe subdivision on your agenda today that the Nassau County Planning Commission previously recommended for release at its hearing in May 2016. These three sections represent the final sections to be released out of a total of seven sections in Meadowbrook Pointe. Just for the record, Meadowbrook Pointe consists of 700-plus townhouse condominium units located between Zeckendorf Boulevard to the north, Meadowbrook Parkway to the south and east of Merchants Concourse, in unincorporated Westbury.

They all have been duly - all remaining sections have been inspected by Nassau County DPW Chief Inspector who issued a report stating that all public improvements pertaining to the surety bond have been satisfied and comply with all

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

applicable county codes and standards. He
recommended that the bond and escrow be released

for each of the sections.

For Section 4, the applicant is requesting release of the remaining surety bond and cash escrow deposit. The remaining surety bond to be released is \$216,960.12, and the amount of cash escrow to be released is \$29,875.20. This is for remaining work that was done on grading, paving, sidewalks, storm drains, fencing, street lighting, and seeding and fertilizing. That's the one before you right now.

CHAIRMAN DUNNE: So 255-16, which is Section 4 of the map - I just want to correct my motion before. 255-16 was for Section 4. 256-16 is Section 6 map. 257-16 is Section 5 map. They were not identical. Those were the differences between them.

My question on this are we satisfied with the work that is done?

MR. KATZ: Yes. The chief inspector is satisfied. Also, these sections to be released were duly noticed at the Planning Commission's

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 97
2	May hearing surrounding residents and the
3	residents living within the subdivision.
4	Surrounding property owners were notified and we
5	didn't have anybody come out in opposition to
6	this.
7	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: So not only did people
8	not object to it in the community or say anything
9	about it, but our inspector said it was - it met
10	the required -
11	MR. KATZ: That is correct. Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Any questions from any
13	of the legislators?
14	(No verbal response.)
15	Any public comment?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	There being none; all in favor of 255-16,
18	256-16, and 257-16 indicate by saying aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Any against?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	It passes on to Rules.
23	(Whereupon, the following is the minutes
24	of the June 27, 2016, Finance Committee meeting
25	pertaining to Clerk Items 231, 233, 234, 235,

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	236, 237, 241, 240, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247,
3	248, 249, 250, 251, 252, and 253-16.)
4	Item 231-2016 is an ordinance
5	supplemental to the annual appropriation
6	ordinance in connection with the Department of
7	Social Services.
8	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
9	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
11	Walker, seconded by Legislator MacKenzie.
12	The item is before the committee. Any
13	discussion among the legislators?
14	(No verbal response.)
15	Any public comment?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	All in favor signify by saying aye.
18	(Aye.)
19	Those opposed?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	The item carries unanimously.
22	(Whereupon, the following is the minutes
23	of the Health and June 27, 2016, Public Safety
24	Committee pertaining to Clerk Item 231-16.)
25	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: We have one item on

are the ones who oversee the funding of this.

	1
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	They are re-RFPing this contract. It was ending
3	July 1. They are re-RFPing, New York State is.
4	And I believe this for a period of July, August,
5	and September, and they are looking to re-
6	solicit. Really, it's an extension of the
7	contract.
8	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Have we been able to
9	isolate some data that could tell us whether we
10	are actually achieving our projections or?
11	MR. BRODERICK: We have data. I don't
12	have anything with me unfortunately. But we have
13	different targets that we have to achieve for New
14	York State which we can, by all means, share with
15	you.
16	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And does this include
17	going into the community at all and meeting the
18	folks where they reside?
19	MR. BRODERICK: That might be more on
20	the parole side, as opposed to more, you know,
21	find suitable housing, suitable employment. It's
22	really primarily employment, to try to get people
23	working in a meaningful manner.
24	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Part of my concern is
25	that individuals who have been out of the jail

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	system for some time, still finding themselves
3	flounder and they're not really able to connect
4	in and find employment. I wanted to know if this
5	would help those individuals at all.
6	MR. BRODERICK: It does. However, I
7	mentioned earlier that they select the
8	individuals. This is a subset of the population
9	who are being reintroduced to their
10	neighborhoods. Not everyone fits in this
11	program. There is only so much volume that they
12	can manage to do case management for.
13	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I guess then I would
14	like to see what is the selection criteria, how
15	you're determining who goes into the program.
16	MR. BRODERICK: Let me just assure you
17	that we don't. We are told who is. But we can
18	share that with you. We can get that from the
19	funding source.
20	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
21	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Madam Chairwoman,
22	question.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Sure.
24	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: This grant applies
25	to drug offenders that are currently in state

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	prisons being returned to the county, is that
3	correct?
4	MR. BRODERICK: I don't know what their
5	crimes WIA. We can definitely find out.
6	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: My understanding is
7	it's the chemically dependent offenders that are
8	in the state prisons returning back to the county
9	that would get this outreach kind of help, I
10	guess.
11	These are state dollars we're talking
12	about, correct?
13	MR. BRODERICK: That is correct.
14	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Okay.
15	MR. BRODERICK: I'm not really sure of
16	their criteria, but I'm sure it captures across
17	all type of infractions.
18	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: I'm not so sure of
19	that. I think it's only the chemically dependent
20	that we are specifically addressing today.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: That was my
22	understanding also.
23	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm sorry. I'm sure
24	it's not addressing every single person that's
25	going to be entered back into the community that

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	had a drug-related, chemically-dependent related
3	arrest. There are some other criteria they're
4	using to then identify which one of those will
5	then be assisted. So I would like to know how
б	they do that, how it's determined.
7	MR. BRODERICK: They could be chemically
8	dependent but that might not be the nature of
9	their crime. We can definitely share information
10	with you on that.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: If you could get
12	that information to us as soon as possible, and
13	we will make sure we share it with everyone.
14	MR. BRODERICK: Absolutely.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Thank you, Mr.
16	Broderick.
17	Any other questions or comments?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	Any public comment? Ms. Mereday.
20	MS. MEREDAY: Meta Mereday, Baldwin.
21	Again, Legislator Bynoe continues to encourage me
22	because she does ask the questions as it pertains
23	to my tax dollars. As I sit back and I'm
24	frustrated with the fact that my elected
25	officials continue to just nod heads when it

comes down to our money and you have these
questions that sit there but you just continue to
pass it along as if somebody else is going to be

5 responsible for it.

I can't see how you can fund an initiative where you don't have all the information presented to you - how this money is actually being utilized, what is the success rate? What are the benchmarks in place? The determination of the participants. What is the level of recidivism within that group set itself? How can you just sit there and justify, well, we're just going to move it forward? What will it take to actually get that emphasis in place that we have to stop somewhere? The bleeding has to stop somewhere. We have to look at what we are doing with people's money and their lives. You have to stop somewhere.

And to have presentations - and no offense to the presenters. But to have presentations that are ill prepared and you're still just voting for it anyway. That just smacks to me of an ongoing systemic problem within this body that does not seem to get any

Rules Committee - 6-27-16 relief.

Hearings don't do it. Criminal convictions don't do it. The district attorney coming here doesn't do it. You're renewing contracts, extending deals. You're acting like you want to do what is right by the people but you are still, you know, embodying the same nonsense that is costing people. The fact that you have to legislate about zombie houses. You have to address the issue that we have to do something about re-entry.

Re-entry is not just about, you know, helping them to mend their ways when they get out and then they still don't have a job or they don't have a job that gives them enough money so that they can stay here. We don't provide them enough resources so that they can move themselves from their chemical dependency. So they repeat those same bad habits, go back to those same scenarios, and they look like all of us.

What is it going to take for you to realize that this bleeding has to stop? And I know my words are just bouncing in the air because you got this side and you got that side.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	But you're killing us. In the meantime, you're
3	killing us, killing us, because we're all one
4	body.
5	CLERK PULITZER: Ma'am your three
6	minutes are up.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any other comments?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	Any other public comments?
10	(No verbal response.)
11	All those in favor of Clerk Item 231-16
12	signify by saying aye.
13	(Aye.)
14	Any opposed?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	Any abstentions?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Then this will move on to Finance.
19	(Whereupon, the following is the
20	continuation of the minutes of the June 27, 2016,
21	Finance Committee meeting.)
22	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The next item is
23	going to be an executive session so we will hold
24	that. We are going to hold 236-16 is also
25	executive session.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	245-16 is a resolution authorizing the
3	County of Nassau to file an application for
4	federal assistance with the U.S. Department of
5	Housing and Urban Development.
6	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
7	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
9	Walker, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
10	Any discussion?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	Any public comment?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	All in favor signify by saying aye.
15	(Aye.)
16	Those opposed?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	That item carries unanimously.
19	Item 235-16 is a resolution authorizing
20	the signing of a New York State Department of
21	Transportation Supplemental Grant Agreement for
22	funds to support enforcement of high occupancy
23	vehicle, H.O.V., traffic laws on the Long Island
24	Expressway.
25	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: So moved.

Ī	I
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
4	MacKenzie, seconded by Legislator Walker.
5	That item is before this committee.
6	I didn't say this before, but we ask that
7	the testimony of the previous committees be
8	incorporated by reference.
9	(Whereupon, the following is the minutes
10	of the June 27, 2016, Public Safety Committee
11	pertaining to Clerk Item 235-16.)
12	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: The first item to come
13	before this committee is Item 235-16, a
14	resolution authorizing the signing of the New
15	York State Department of Transportation
16	supplemental grant agreement for funds to support
17	enforcement of high occupancy vehicle (HOV)
18	traffic laws on the Long Island Expressway.
19	I have a motion by Denise Ford, seconded
20	by Rose Walker.
21	On this item, yes.
22	LIEUTENANT STEPHANOFF: Lieutenant Greg
23	Stephanoff from the police department.
24	Item 235 -
25	CHAIRMAN DUNNE: The motion was by

Rules Committee - 6-27-16
Denise Ford, seconded by Rose Walker. I'm sorry.
LIEUTENANT STEPHANOFF: Item 235-16 is a
grant from New York State for HOV lane
enforcement. It's for \$501,800. This is a - the
state supplemented just for the first six months
for this year. This is not a full year. This is
going to be a six-month grant.
This money is going to be used for extra
personnel to go out and patrol the HOV to enforce
the laws in relation to the HOV lanes. Also,
they will do auto accident, anything that comes
up in those HOV lanes will be enforced as well.
CHAIRMAN DUNNE: And this comes from the
state?
LIEUTENANT STEPHANOFF: Yes.
CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Fully funded. Okay.
Any questions by any of the legislators?
(No verbal response.)
Any public comment?
(No verbal response.)
There being none; all in favor indicate
by saying aye.
(Aye.)
Any against?

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	(No verbal response.)
3	This passes on to Finance.
4	(Whereupon, the following is the
5	continuation of the minutes of the June 27, 2016,
6	Finance Committee meeting.)
7	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any discussion on
8	this item?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	Public comment?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	All in favor signify by saying aye.
13	(Aye.)
14	It carries unanimously.
15	Item 236-16 is also an executive session
16	item so we will come back to that.
17	Item 237-16 is a resolution authorizing
18	the county executive to execute a grant agreement
19	between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of
20	the Department of Parks, Recreation, and Museums,
21	and the African American Genealogical Society.
22	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
23	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
25	Walker, seconded by Legislator Kopel.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	Any discussion?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	Public comment?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	All in favor signify by saying aye.
7	(Aye.)
8	Those opposed?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	It carries unanimously.
11	Item 241-2016 is a resolution to
12	authorize the transfer of appropriations
13	heretofore made within the budget for the year
14	2016.
15	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: So moved.
16	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
18	MacKenzie, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
19	That item is before the committee.
20	Any discussion?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	Any public comment?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	All in favor signify by saying aye.
25	(Aye.)

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	Those opposed?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	That item carries unanimously.
5	Legislator Walker, do you want to make a
6	motion to suspend the rules?
7	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Yes. I'd like to
8	make a motion to suspend the rules.
9	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Seconded by
11	Legislator Kopel.
12	All in favor of suspending the rules
13	signify by saying aye.
14	(Aye.)
15	Those opposed?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	The rules are suspended.
18	We have an addendum. We have another
19	item that is going to go into executive session,
20	that's 240-16. We will call them all for
21	executive session at once.
22	Next several items - 242, 243, 244, 245,
23	246, 247, 248, 249, 250 - are all ordinances
24	supplemental to the annual appropriation
25	ordinance in connection with the Office of the

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	County Executive, Department of Health,
3	Department of Social Services, and the Medical
4	Examiner, Division of Forensic Services.
5	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
6	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
8	Walker, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
9	Those items are before the committee.
10	Any discussion?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	Any public comment?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	All in favor signify by saying aye.
15	(Aye.)
16	Those opposed?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Carries unanimously.
19	(The following is the minutes of the June
20	27, 2016, Health Committee pertaining to Clerk
21	Items 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249,
22	250-16.)
23	There are six items on the addendum. I first
24	need a motion to suspend the rules.
25	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: So moved.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Second.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Moved by Legislator
4	Gaylor, seconded by Legislator Kennedy.
5	All in favor of suspending the rules?
6	(Aye.)
7	Again, there are six items on the agenda.
8	I'm going to put all the health together.
9	Clerk Item 243-16, it is an ordinance
10	supplemental to the annual appropriation
11	ordinance in connection with the Department of
12	Health.
13	Clerk Item 244-16, Clerk Item 245-16,
14	Clerk Item 249-16, and 250-16 are also all
15	ordinances supplemental to the annual
16	appropriation ordinance in connection with the
17	Department of Health.
18	May I have a motion, please?
19	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Motion.
20	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Motion by Legislator
22	Gaylor, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
23	MS. GOETZ: We have Mary Ellen Laurain.
24	MS. LAURAIN: Good afternoon. Mary
25	Ellen Laurain, Department of Health.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Item 243-16 is a supplemental 2 appropriation in the amount of \$54,172. This is 3 4 for the child and family safety grant. It's 100 5 percent funded through New York State Office of Children and Family Services. This team reviews 6 7 all deaths of children who reside in Nassau 8 County and whose death is unexplained or 9 unexpected. This program just won a national 10 award for its Safe Sleep Program. 11 CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any questions by the legislators for Ms. Laurain? 12 13

(No verbal response.)

Any public comment?

(No verbal response.)

Clerk Item 244-16.

MS. LAURAIN: Item 244-16 is a supplemental appropriation in the amount of \$43,145. This for our tuberculosis public health campaign. This is an additional COLA award, and it is funded through New York State Department of Health. Total funding after this award is \$566,745.

CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any comments or questions from the legislators?

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	(No verbal response.)
3	Any public comment?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	Clerk Item 245-16.
6	MS. LAURAIN: Item 245-16 is a
7	supplemental appropriation in the amount of
8	\$24,720. This is for our immunization action
9	plan. It is funded through New York State
10	Department of Health. It is also an additional
11	COLA award. Total funding after the award is
12	\$324,720. This program protects the public from
13	vaccine preventable diseases.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any questions or
15	comments from the legislators?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	Any public comment?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	Clerk Item 249-16.
20	MS. LAURAIN: Item 249-16 is a
21	supplemental appropriation for the HIV
22	surveillance and partner notification in the
23	amount of \$283,552. This is New York State
24	Department of Health funded, and it is a mandated
25	program.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any questions or
3	comments from the legislators?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	Any public comment?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	Okay. Clerk Item 250-16.
8	MS. LAURAIN: Item 250-16 is a
9	supplemental appropriation in the amount of
10	\$214,775 for the childhood lead poison prevention
11	grant. This is funded through New York State
12	Department of Health and provides comprehensive
13	services to reduce the prevalence of blood lead
14	in children under age six.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WALKER: Any questions or
16	comments from the legislators?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Any public comment?
19	(No verbal response.)
20	Clerk Items 243, 244, 245, 249, and 250-
21	16, all those in favor signify by saying aye.
22	(Aye.)
23	Any opposed?
24	Any abstentions?
25	These items will move on to the Finance

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	Committee.
3	(Whereupon, the following is the
4	continuation of the June 27, 2016, minutes of the
5	Finance Committee meeting.)
6	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Items 251 and 252
7	are resolutions to authorize the transfer of
8	appropriations heretofore made within the budget
9	for the year 2016.
10	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
11	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
13	Kopel, seconded by Legislator MacKenzie.
14	Any discussion?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	Any public comment?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	All in favor signify by saying aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Those opposed?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	Those items carry unanimously.
23	Item 253-2016 is a bond ordinance
24	providing for a capital expenditure to finance
25	the capital projects identified herein within the

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	County of Nassau and authorizing \$11,600,000 of
3	bonds of the County of Nassau to finance such
4	expenditure.
5	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
6	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
8	Kopel, seconded by Legislator Walker.
9	This is an item that obviously had much
10	debate about at the Full Legislature. It is the
11	attention of the Majority to move this along so
12	that it's in a position for the Full Legislature
13	at some point to be moved - to be passed, in
14	other words, so to expedite the process.
15	Did you have anything to add, Mr. Arnold?
16	MR. ARNOLD: In addition to my testimony
17	from the last Full Leg? No. Just that this is
18	an important project. It's associated with the
19	county's consent order. Under our fuel storage
20	tanks, it's holding up our moving forward with
21	work that is required to be done.
22	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. Thanks.
23	Any questions? Legislator DeRiggi-
24	Whitton.
25	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: In our

It's not an

LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE:

25

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	example of anything.
3	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: It
4	definitely is.
5	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: You shouldn't
6	make any allegations that there was any
7	impropriety there because there has never been an
8	allegation of any impropriety on those prior two
9	contracts, number one.
10	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I don't
11	know.
12	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: And number two -
13	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I know that
14	he's no longer in the comptroller's office.
15	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: the contract
16	that's currently was voted upon is with a
17	completely different company. So to try to
18	associate the two is reckless.
19	If you want to make allegations about
20	people and accuse them of wrongdoing on the
21	record that's your own -
22	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I don't
23	take your word for that, MacKenzie, I'm sorry.
24	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: business. But
25	I'm not going to allow you to make allegations

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	calls without a phone call back. So, anyway.
3	The Mayor of Bayville called you 22 times
4	before you called him back. I don't know.
5	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: I have no idea
6	what you're talking about, number one.
7	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Ask him.
8	Ask him.
9	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Number two. It's
10	absolutely untrue. I actually saw the gentleman
11	on Monday night and not a word was mentioned.
12	It's not true.
13	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Right. But
14	you should talk to him about that.
15	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Delia, I have no
16	idea what you're talking about, quite frankly -
17	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Can we focus on
18	this item?
19	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: and I'm not going
20	to do a childish little debate with you. Can we
21	talk about the item?
22	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I don't
23	know why you sent all the emails into my
24	district, but I thought that was childish,
25	honestly. I have copies of them if you want

Ī	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	Any public comment?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	Hearing none; all in favor signify by
5	saying aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	Those opposed?
8	(Nay.)
9	LEGISLATOR CURRAN: I'm abstaining.
10	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The item passes
11	four votes for, two votes no, and one abstention.
12	It moves on the Rules Committee.
13	(Whereupon, the following is the
14	continuation of the minutes of the June 27, 2016,
15	Rules Committee meeting.)
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: If there are no
17	further comments, all those in favor of the items
18	I just called signify by saying aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Any opposed?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	The items pass unanimously.
23	Now, trying to move things along. I'm
24	going to go to the two items that were tabled
25	earlier.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	The first item that was tabled was Item
3	E-154. I need a motion to untable E-154.
4	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
5	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
7	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
8	Nicolello.
9	All those in favor of untabling Item 154,
10	which is the personal services agreement on
11	behalf of Nassau County Department of Correction
12	and the Nassau Council of Black Clergy Unified
13	Council of Churches.
14	All those in favor of untabling E-154
15	signify by saying aye.
16	(Aye.)
17	Any opposed?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	E-154 is now untabled.
20	I believe, Mr. May, you can address this
21	item that we did not address earlier.
22	MR. MAY: Yes. I apologize for that,
23	Madam Presiding Officer.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: That's quite
25	alright.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	MR. MAY: This is the contract for the
3	Council of Black Churches. It is chaplaincy
4	service. I believe the denomination is
5	Evangelical Restoration Community Service. It's
6	in the - I think it's - on one of the disclosure
7	forms is the exact denomination.
8	This is a contract that we've been doing
9	routinely here at the county. I think the last
10	time we approved it was in 2013, prior to that
11	was 2011. In both instances the vote went seven-
12	nothing. This contract has been \$40,000 for as
13	far back as I could find it. This is just a
14	standard chaplaincy services contract for the
15	jail.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions of
17	Mr. May on this item?
18	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Quick question.
19	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
20	Solages.
21	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you.
22	Commissioner May, good afternoon.
23	MR. MAY: Good afternoon. How are you?
24	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Well, thank you.
25	I know that we have approved contracts

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	for this same type of service, as it relates to
3	the Rockville Centre Diocese -
4	MR. MAY: Correct.
5	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: and also as it
6	relates for those at the facility who observe the
7	Jewish faith.
8	MR. MAY: Yep.
9	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: But I was wondering
10	if there are any contracts that have been
11	approved for those who observe the Islamic faith.
12	I'm sure there may be a -
13	MR. MAY: I believe there is. I'd have
14	to go back and take a look at the contracts. I
15	could certainly find out. I believe I've seen it
16	before, just the name of the vendor is not
17	springing to mind. But I could certainly find
18	out and get back to you.
19	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you.
20	MR. MAY: You're welcome.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: No other
22	questions?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	No other comments?
25	(No verbal response.)

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	No public comment?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	All those in favor of E-154 signify by
5	saying aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	Any opposed?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	The item passes unanimously.
10	MR. MAY: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Don't go away.
12	You've got one more, unless you're not going to
13	speak on this one.
14	E-156 was also tabled. A motion to -
15	sorry. My apologies. We're not doing that one.
16	The other item that was tabled was Item
17	U-48, a personal services agreement between the
18	County of Nassau, acting on behalf of the Nassau
19	County Office of Housing and Homeless Services
20	and Leslie Francis, Esquire.
21	Motion to untable?
22	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
23	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
25	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	Nicolello.
3	All those in favor of untabling U-48
4	signify by saying aye.
5	(Aye.)
6	Any opposed?
7	(No verbal response.)
8	The item is untabled.
9	I believe, Mr. Sarcone, that the earlier
10	-
11	MR. SARCONE: The resume was provided.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: we had asked for
13	a copy of the resume -
14	MR. SARCONE: Yes, it's been provided.
15	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: for Leslie
16	Francis.
17	MR. SARCONE: It's been provided.
18	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Yes. I have mine
19	in front of me. Everyone receive a copy of the
20	resume of Leslie Francis.
21	Any questions of Mr. Sarcone regarding
22	this personal services agreement?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	No questions?
25	(No verbal response.)

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

identified herein within the County of Nassau and authorizing \$722,572 of bonds of the County of Nassau to finance such expenditure pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and the County Government Law of Nassau County.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	Motion, please?
3	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
4	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
6	Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
7	Any questions or comments regarding this?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	I believe there was testimony in the
10	Finance Committee as well, so it should be
11	incorporated.
12	(Whereupon, the following is the minutes
13	of the June 27, 2016, Finance Committee meeting
14	pertaining to Clerk Item 217-16.)
15	Item 217-16 is a bond ordinance providing
16	for a capital expenditure to finance the capital
17	projects identified herein within the County of
18	Nassau and authorizing \$722,572 of bonds of the
19	County to finance such expenditure.
20	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
21	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
23	Kopel, seconded by Legislator Walker.
24	The item is now before us. Do we have
25	someone here to speak on this?

MS. GOETZ: Yes. We have Ken Arnold.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay.

MR. ARNOLD: Good afternoon. Ken Arnold, Public Works. Item 217-16 is a bond ordinance associated with asbestos abatement at county facilities. It's a health and safety issue. We use this capital project to fund asbestos-related remediations and monitoring at buildings, whether they're under renovation or there are hazards that are uncovered during our maintenance activities.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Is this something we've done in the past?

MR. ARNOLD: That is correct.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other questions among the legislators? Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I know that NIFA passed a resolution on May 17 stating that up to 20 million may be used for legal settlements or other uses permitted by NIFA other than for termination pay. It's really my opinion that this type of project, we should be able to find, you know, room in the 20 million to fit

24

look at it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Any other comments?

25

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Just the

or reckless, depending on which way you want to

saying it. I agree with NIFA.

fact that NIFA approved it for that reason, I
think it's something that we should look into.
Rather than borrowing more money again, it's - it
was recommended by NIFA, otherwise I wouldn't be

LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: So you no longer have a problem with a contracting process? Is your problem then with the way that these contracts are being paid for? There seems to be a change in position.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Not at all.

I just think as a thinking legislator we should look at all aspects of what's going on in our county. I also think we should be careful with how we spend county money in letters and things like that to other people's districts. I just think it's totally improper.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Last week we were considering a number of different items, bonded items, some of which passed. Two of them are back on the agenda this week, monies with respect to the match for NICE Bus and \$11.6 million for tank replacement, which is about 17 million or so. It was suggested last week that we use the

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	bond premium for that. Apparently, this is an
3	unending pool of money that the democrats think
4	we can tap into.
5	As I said before, the bond premium -
6	since NIFA will not allow us to borrow for
7	settlements, to exhaust the bond premium, erode
8	the bond premium when we know we have settlements
9	coming to us would be a foolish thing to do.
10	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: You guys
11	haven't agreed to use any of it, so I don't think
12	we're exhausting anything at this point.
13	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Didn't we approve a
14	\$20 million settlement with, what was it, the
15	Town of Oyster Bay?
16	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Which was
17	already from the prior amount. Remember that?
18	This is a new amount.
19	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: But we have used it
20	this year. Again, this is another 20 million,
21	but today we are exhausting a part of it with
22	settlements -
23	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Right.
24	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: and this only half
25	way through the year. So if we exhaust all the

money, it's gone and you can't use it again. If we have settlements, something of an emergency nature at that point, we can't use it.

think it's good if we're careful we could really lower the bonding and lower the borrowing that we're doing. I also think that before we give any further funds we really have to consider an independent IG. If you read the article that Kevan Abrahams referenced before, there have been attempts by employees sitting in this room to stop certain contracts from going out and those attempts were completely ignore.

I sat here while we saw Singh, we've seen - just in the few years that I've been here we've seen so many contracts be given. I'm tired of seeing the waste. I don't trust the process.

Listen. We've said it before. I don't understand why you're not considering giving independent clarification to an inspector general. The whole purpose being, it would avoid a situation like we saw with Blue Chips. If someone doesn't agree with the independent, you know, inspector general, that person cannot be

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

2 fired. And what we saw in that article just

4 agree, they protested the contracts and yet it

exploited the fact that the employees did not

5 still got passed. The reason why no one said

6 anything publicly or nothing else happened is

because they could have been afraid for their

8 jobs, possibly.

Until we address the elephant in the room - again, I have been here through a number of things, like I mentioned, with Singh and, honestly, Looks Great Service - I know you mentioned that that settled, I haven't been told that, I haven't seen a release. So I don't feel comfortable with this process and I'm not going to give any more bonding until I do.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The elephant in the room, from my perspective, is legislators who are elected to do a job, who are here not doing that job, who are slowing down and grinding to a halt this county government for a political agenda.

So if we want to talk about elephants, let's look

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: In my opinion, I think if you -

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Wait. Are you
3	going to let me finish speaking, because I
4	usually let you finish speaking.
5	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Go ahead.
6	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Go ahead. Go
7	ahead. Thank you. I appreciate that.
8	Again, the elephant in the room is a
9	group of legislators who are abstaining on a vast
10	majority of contracts, who are voting no for
11	bonding, who are not doing their jobs, and who
12	are bringing this government to a grinding halt
13	for
14	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: In my
15	opinion - are you done?
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: a political agenda.
17	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: In my
18	opinion -
19	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator DeRiggi-
20	Whitton.
21	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Thank you,
22	Chairman.
23	Listen. I received a number of calls and
24	I spoke to a number of mayors about bonding for
25	different projects and they all, by the end of

the conversation, agreed with me that we need some kind of reform, as well as 80 percent of the population, according to certain surveys agree.

We're going to have a referendum in November. I can't even tell you the number of republicans, democrats, independents that are all happy to sign the petitions for it. There is a need here. Unfortunately, things were abused.

I'm glad that we no longer have the 25,000 and under contracts. I'm glad that there is some type of disclosure. But the real thing that we need, which is an independent inspector general, I mean, you're really the obstructionist because you absolutely refuse to even consider it. You refuse to give any protection to the person in this position. Without that, I don't think that the person could function in this position. So I honestly think you're the obstructionist in this situation.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: We have implemented a number of reforms to the process and we have implemented a number of reforms to the process including the appointment of an individual who is in the position of commissioner of investigation,

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	the procurement officer, lowering the threshold,
3	vendor disclosures, etcetera, etcetera.
4	So the minority now is stopping government
5	because they want the commissioner of
6	investigations to have a contract. Now, if that
7	is a reason to stop government from working then
8	- let me say this - it's not a reason to stop
9	government from working.
10	I'm going to say this again. You can
11	have that position. You're free to do that.
12	This is simply a political attempt to keep this
13	issue alive as long as possible. And if you want
14	to stop the government from working, you're not
15	doing what the public elected you to come here
16	and do.
17	Legislator Bynoe.
18	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I just
19	wanted to finish this. Is that okay?
20	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I recognized
21	Legislator Bynoe, she has been waiting. Are you
22	ceding your time to Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton?
23	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I don't think that
24	there is a time limit on us.
25	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: There isn't.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm allowing her to go ahead, to finish her thought.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Right.

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Those steps that we've put in, which you have mentioned, I do agree. Most of them came from this side as recommendations. I do agree with what we've won so far, but nothing we've done would have stopped the Abtec or other contracts that I have a real problem with.

The fact that the procurement officer cannot subpoena is a real issue. This is all things that we need to protect our taxpayers' money. Honestly, I hear - and I don't know what you hear from your constituents. But I'm actually stopped at the bus stop with people asking me what we're doing to make sure that this stops and applauding the fact that we're not bonding, we're not putting more money into this system that we know is flawed.

I don't think government is stopped. But I do think that we hopefully are stopping things like Blue Chip from proceeding in the future.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: By voting against

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

the bonding for the capital plan in March you don't think government's been stopped. It's been three months. These projects take years to start. When you slow them down, when you stop them over that three month period things come to a grinding halt. You may not see it today but, for example, what Mr. Setzer spoke about last time, if you don't approve the NICE Bus match, you have buses that are two years down the road that are not going to arrive and possible issues at that date. So to sit here and to willfully to believe nothing's happened is just silly.

The movement of government has come to a stop in many, many different ways. I could tell you some issues I have had in my district is getting emergency repaving done because we're getting to the point where the funds that were supposed to be approved for that haven't been approved yet. To think that's nothing's happened just shows that you don't have an understanding of how government works.

Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I just have one last question. Rich, why is it that you have

- why will you not vote for someone being given the guarantee of an independence so they feel free to convey what information and what opinion they have? I'm honestly, like, wait, I'm not done.

I have a lot of respect for you. I think you are smart, and I think that you don't like what's going on either. And this is a way that we can stop anything but highest criteria, which our residents deserve.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Look. I have a lot respect for you and all the members of your caucus, and I think the same thing of all of you; I think you are all bright individuals. I think to argue over semantics, because you want an inspector general and we have a commissioner of investigations and you want this person to have a contract so, therefore, you have to shut down the government; in my opinion, that is either completely irrational or it's political. One of the two. So why won't you -

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can you answer my question?

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Because I don't

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	think it's necessary. It's not necessary.
3	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Why not
4	give the person -
5	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: It's not necessary.
6	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It is.
7	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I'm answering your
8	question.
9	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Look -
10	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I answered your
11	question.
12	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We say in
13	the Blue Chips article -
14	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: You asked me a
15	question, I answered it.
16	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: You didn't
17	answer it.
18	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Why? Because I
19	didn't say yes?
20	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Why don't
21	you feel comfortable giving someone the security
22	of a contract? Let's just go back to what
23	happened with Blue Chips. Other employees did
24	come up. Other, you know, people that are in
25	charge of different - I don't want to name anyone

- but different groups did come up and say that they were not comfortable with this situation.

If we had someone that was secure in their job and had a contract and did not have to worry about the ramification of this situation that could have been avoided. And that's \$50,000. It doesn't sound like much, but that's just part of it.

Just tell me why you don't feel comfortable -

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I told you why. I don't believe after all - well, after all of the reforms that we put into place, number one.

Number two. After surveying most of the counties in the state, we have the most transparent process in New York State.

That's real nice. We have a lot of respect. We let you come up here all the time and talk and nobody interrupts you. Right. But we have the respect for you. We have the respect for you, Ms. Mereday. We have the respect for you to let you do what your rights say you are able to do. But we sit here quietly. We sit here quietly and listen to you. So when we're

-	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	having a discussion up here, you have to make let
3	out this fake cough. Obviously, it's not a two-
4	way street with you. It's obvious to us.
5	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Let's sum
6	this up, Rich, just so I understand, Mr.
7	Nicolello.
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I wasn't finished
9	talking, before Ms. Mereday decided she was going
10	to give that phony cough of hers, the loudest in
11	the room. I'm not insulting you. I'm just
12	asking you to have the same amount of respect for
13	us as human beings as we have for you.
14	You have the right to speak. You have
15	the right to speak. We understand that. But we
16	sit and listen to you respectfully. All right.
17	We're talking up here and you don't have the
18	respect to sit and listen to us. Yeah, you are
19	now.
20	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Rich, just
21	to wrap this up.
22	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Wait a second.
23	Let's wrap this up because I wasn't finished
24	speaking.
25	Very simply, it's completely unnecessary.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: This is going
3	around in a circle.
4	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Just
5	explain to me one last time -
6	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Bynoe,
7	you're up.
8	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Mr.
9	Nicolello -
10	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: It's going around
11	in a circle.
12	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I haven't
13	finished. So you are objecting to the idea of an
14	independent inspector general with a contract to
15	investigate public corruption?
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I'm objecting to
17	you politically shutting down this government for
18	a political agenda.
19	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Forget it.
20	You know what?
21	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: That's my
22	statement.
23	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I've never
24	seen anything like it. But are you objecting to
25	_

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I'm objecting to
3	you voting down contractual items for buses,
4	things we've done forever, for political basis.
5	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: On the
6	record you're going to object to having an
7	independent inspector with a contract to protect
8	their position -
9	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: We have an
10	independent inspector.
11	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm not
12	finished, wait - to investigate public
13	corruption. Yes or no?
14	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Yes or no?
15	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Yes or no?
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The answer to the
17	question is this - we have a commissioner of
18	investigations -
19	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No. No.
20	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: who -
21	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Yes or no?
22	Yes or no?
23	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Wait. Wait. This
24	is not a cross examination. Are you out of your
25	mind?

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No.
3	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: That's enough of
4	this. Legislator Bynoe, go ahead.
5	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: What is
6	that? Will we leave it blank? Is it a yes or a
7	no?
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Do you want me to
9	tell you again? I think it's completely
10	irrational and a derogation of your public duties
11	to sit there and vote no for these public safety
12	health items for political reasons. So why do
13	you do that? Yes or no?
14	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Because I
15	think that you're doing -
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Why would you to do
17	something like that?
18	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: You are
19	being the obstructionist.
20	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Why would you be an
21	obstructionist?
22	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: There is a
23	clear need and there is a political -
24	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I'm an
25	obstructionist?

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: There is -- all of our constituents -

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: All right.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: 80 percent of our constituents agree that we need this.

This is something that our residents want. You are obstructing it because we're basically at the point where we're saying, look, this is what we need. It's not a huge thing. It's basically just securing their occupation and their job and allowing them to investigate possible public corruption. How you can object to it as a finance ranking member, I think you would want that. I would think you would want to be protected.

We've been embarrassed enough. So I guess your answer is no.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I'm glad you're bringing up obstructionist, because I'm assuming when we get to the part of the calendar in which we vote for the county match to make sure that we still have buses running in this county for the people who most need it - the students, the poor people, that you're going to vote yes. If you

you mentioned a number of different contracts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But this legislature has been above reproach and I would say both sides since we've been in the majority. I think we've asked the appropriate questions. Do we always get all of the information that we need? Is it possible that somebody could always work the system? Yeah, it's always the case. But I think governmentally this legislature, as a body, has functioned the way it should for the last few years. And I think with the additional reforms in place, some of which are going to help, let's be honest, some of which are simply going to slow the process up further. But, with the reforms in place and the way this legislature works, I think the legislature, itself, has been above reproach, and I think we've actually done the job - until now, we've done the job we're supposed to do.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: First of all, I don't see how you can think that with all this going on.

Number two. I don't think any of the things we put in place to this date would have stopped an Abtec from being passed. Honestly, like you said, we are dependent on getting the

correct information, but we've already seen that that's not enough for us to depend on. We need someone who can subpoena, and we need someone who is secure enough to say what is going on before

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: All right. You get the last word.

Legislator Bynoe.

the taxpayers' money is wasted.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: All right. I wanted to talk about this specific issue, and I see that we've kind of gone beyond that. I think you're probably going to assert some of your same arguments, but I'm going to go have it out and speak to this anyway.

In our OMB report, the monthly report, as of May 31, 2016, it stated that we're expected to have a \$3.7 million surplus, and I'm suggesting that we use that to pay this three-quarters of a million dollars in expenses for the abatement.

So while I believe that we are going to be voting no on issues, I do think that the administration has the ability to administer government because we have identified several pools of money to which the administration has the ability to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 utilize to make sure that essential services are
3 being provided.

So, I don't think this government needs to grind to a halt. I think what this government needs to, as the administration needs to, look at the money that is available for use, disencumber some of the contracts that aren't essential, and I also think and agree wholeheartedly that the IG or the corrections - I'm sorry - commissioner of contracts needs to be independent. I think that every single individual that is here - I don't think anyone is listening. Are you listening? Every individual that is here, if they had put themselves in the shoes of the new hire and was required to now investigate the actual people who hired them and did not have any particular protections, that they, themselves, would have to feel that in some way or another they could have a potential compromise if this, in some way, is not a totally objective position.

I am in favor of us moving forward with an IG. I think while we vote no, we have identified several pools of money that is available to this administration, and I think the

Rules Committee - 6-27-16 administration should do just that, administer government.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Just two quick points. I respectfully disagree with your position.

Number one is that, you know, even if you look at this \$3 million and we have a \$278 million capital plan which requires capital borrowing, so that three million is a small fraction.

Number two - we may even have a projected \$3 million surplus at this point, but you only look on television to see what's going on in the financial markets, because of what happened with Britain apparently, and you see also job reports that are extremely discouraging. This county is extremely reliant on sales taxes. So to blindly go into the next six months and think that the sales taxes are going to continue to perform is a very risky proposition. We could very well see the beginnings of a recession. We could see impacts because of the loss of what's happening in the stock market and people lose their

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disposable income. You could see sales taxes So to go out and spend a projected surplus in June when you have six months to go is not something that is wise, in my opinion.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. But again, there are capital funds that could be disencumbered to deal with some of these issues and I think we need to look at that. We didn't just identify one pool of money that would allow the administration to move forward and govern appropriately; we identified a few, at least three or four different pools of money. Each time we speak to those pools of money there is some argument or some contention as to why we won't do it. Not why we can't do it, why we won't do it. So I think we need to look passed why we won't do it and start doing the work of the people with that money. The money is there. Prioritize. You've clearly identified those issues that you think are essential or the administration, or whoever else. Now we are seeing things in piecemeal that are considered to be essential, and we've identified pools of money that can address those things.

LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Okay. So is it clear then you would no longer have any problem with the process and how they got to this body and how those contracts were evaluated as long as the money came from a different place? The position seems to be inconsistent to me.

On the one hand you all keep saying that you don't want to pass any contracts because there is no - I'm sorry, what do you call it - investigator general, and then once we get passed that stage and we bring up an item that you know is essential and you know you should be voting for, then you say there are other monies somewhere else that should be paying for it.

I'll tell you what I hear in my district.

When I go around my district, we have capital projects there that severely impact the health and safety of some of the communities there. And many legislators on this body formerly represented those areas and campaigned very strongly for those projects to go forward. Now that they no longer represent that area their positions have changed. There are no allegations of any, any impropriety in the awarding of the

1

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | contracts that are at issue there or the bonding.

3 | So, when I go around my district people say to me

4 | how come all of a sudden they want an

5 | investigator general; when they were in charge it

6 wasn't such a high moment of principle. When

7 | they had members of their body being indicted

nobody asked for an investigator general. Now

9 you have one contract in this body that's

10 | actually being investigated and resulted in a

11 criminal charge and all of a sudden an

12 | investigator general is needed.

Every day you put articles in the paper about bus routes, when one or two of them get cut. But then you vote against, last week, \$6 million in funding that would have got this county \$60 million in match and not a word is said from anyone.

I think the hypocrisy of this is clear, and I think the fact that your history on this issue is so inconsistent really reveals it to be what it is is - it's a political agenda. It's been well reported that it's being dictated to you by other people, by political bosses, and we all see through that. So I think for you to get

message has been very consistent and very clear

completely inconsistent position.

vest was selected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

process. Further, let me just be clear, we did speak, last week, with the police department at length about the body armor and it was very clear to us that the body armor is suggested by the end users. It's suggested by the police department. There is a process, a methodology that I was comfortable with when I heard from the police department directly, last week, as to how the

I think we can go back and forth, and I'm comfortable doing this all day. But, as you mentioned, there are some items before us. Until I think both sides decide to get serious about what our constituents are asking for, that 84 percent of the people that were polled about reform want to see something that's serious. And while I agree that we have made tremendous lengths to this point - and to be mentioned that it was really with the pressure of this caucus and at the suggestions of this caucus that a lot of those changes were made - I don't think we should fall short of really doing a complete job. Just to say that you've done something doesn't

Just from a historical background, Deputy

2 Nicolello, you don't know me nor do I know you.

3 I'll try to be respectful of you, but I require

the same. So your comments to me were highly

5 | uncalled for.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now with regard to this issue, the bond Just from a point of personal reference. issue. If you might recall, and the record should dictate, that I was in full support of the total body pushing for the buses because, again, there are individuals out here who are being detrimentally harmed by this back and forth. Ι understand what this side of the room is dealing with but I also disagree in regard to those issues that pertain to the residents who cannot speak for themselves. I am here to be a voice for the voiceless. On top of that is veterans. Because I am again appalled at the fact that we are once again detailing information about major contracts and the veterans services initiative for this county is again blank. Where is an initiative that is focusing on involving veteran businesses in this process? Just to say it's going to go to an open bid process, to who?

We again have a bill on the books that I

was personally involved with putting together with Legislator Curran that addressed veteranowned businesses in this county. But just to have it on the books, probably 9,000 pages under all this other nonsense, without having anything active or mandated to really push it through so that we could create business opportunities for veteranowned businesses and jobs for our returning veterans and to keep those veterans, who are among the 8,000-plus who are sitting on the court records for foreclosure, who would not qualify for the victims fund when they get put out of their home, which to me is criminal, and you're going to sit there and try to judge me and admonish me. How dare you. How dare you.

This whole situation right here is a farce. It's ridiculous, it's sad, but most importantly it is costly to the residents. This room can't be filled because most of the people who are impacted by this are working two and three jobs just to try to stay in this county.

CLERK PULITZER: Ma'am, your three minutes are up.

MS. MEREDAY: So somebody has got to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that.

give you that respect and apologize to you for

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: You do not have to
3	apologize.
4	All in favor of 217-16 signify by saying
5	aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	Those opposed?
8	(Nay.)
9	LEGISLATOR CURRAN: I abstain.
10	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Four-two-one. Four
11	votes in the affirmative, two negative, and one
12	abstention.
13	(Whereupon, the following is the
14	continuation of the minutes of the June 27, 2016,
15	Rules Committee meeting.)
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: If there are no
17	other questions or comments regarding 217; all
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Any opposed?
21	(Nay.)
22	Four-three. It passes four to three.
23	Moving quickly along.
24	Item 239, an ordinance providing for a
25	capital expenditure to finance the payment of

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	certain judgments or compromised or settled
3	claims against the County of Nassau and
4	authorizing \$45 million of bonds of the County of
5	Nassau to finance said expenditure, and making
6	certain determinations pursuant to the State
7	Environmental Quality Review Act, pursuant to the
8	Local Finance Law of New York and the County
9	Government Law of Nassau County.
10	Motion, please?
11	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
12	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
14	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
15	Again, the testimony of the Finance
16	Committee should be incorporated.
17	(Whereupon, the following is the minutes
18	of the June 27, 2016, Finance Committee meeting
19	pertaining to Clerk Item 239-16.)
20	We now have three executive session items -
21	before we go to executive session, actually, Item
22	239-16 I skipped before - it's an ordinance
23	providing for a capital expenditure to finance
24	the payment of certain judgments or compromised
25	or settled claims against the County of Nassau

of the judgment, plus attorney's fees. Appeals

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

of the first civil jury trial and the second trial were made and they are currently being

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

litigated.

In federal court, a stay of enforcement of a judgment is not automatic even though the case is on appeal. You have to seek a stay from the federal court, and for it to be granted you normally, civil litigants would post a supersedeas bond. The county cannot post a supersedeas bond because there are just too many impediments to doing that, one that it's just economically too expensive and also it's not feasible, as in order to post a bond you would have to put up a form of collateral and there is no asset that the county can put up as collateral because it obviously belongs to the public, the county. So in lieu of posting the bond the court has accepted a bond ordinance, which will demonstrate the county's ability to pay, in lieu of a supersedeas bond. This is similar, to refresh your recollection, to what happened with the strip search litigation. The county was seeking a bond ordinance instead of posting the bond.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The county is not obligated to pay 2 anything until a final judgment is reached and 3 4 there are no further appeals that are taken. 5 the legislature's vote today, if it did vote in 6 the affirmative, is only for the approval 7 authority bond subject to certain conditions, such as the litigation being final, no other 8 9 appeals are going to be taken, and also subject 10 to NIFA approval. This is simply to put in place a mechanism. 11

I think that - that's required by stipulation.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Required by stipulation or by the court?

 $$\operatorname{MS}.\ \operatorname{LOCURTO}\colon$ It was stipulated and so ordered by the court.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any questions? Legislator Curran.

LEGISLATOR CURRAN: I hate to admit this but I'm not a lawyer, and I did not completely understand everything you said. So could you please describe it as if I was in elementary school, like an intelligent fourth grader?

MS. LOCURTO: Okay. When you litigate a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because we're not the typical civil litigant,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're a municipality. Our funding and our ability to pay is either because we can borrow, if it's an extraordinary judgment, we can raise taxes to pay our judgments, those are the means of paying. So we have advocated to the court saying we, one, we want a stay because it's on appeal and we don't know the outcome of the appeal. Maybe I ultimately don't have to pay the judgment because it's going to be overturned on appeal. And I don't want to post a supersedeas bond because I'm not the typical civil litigant. I'm a government. I can't, you know, normally you could put up collateral of your home or whatever. But I can't put up because the county assets are not such that they lend themselves. Plus, the financial burden of posting that bond and paying for it is just too extraordinary for the municipality.

To show the court, to demonstrate, look, the municipality is going to pay if and when there are no further appeals going to be taken, there is no further impediment to pay, that the judgment is final and we are obligated to pay, we are asking the court to say - we're demonstrating

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	to the court our good faith that we're going to
3	have - in the event we have to pay, we're hoping
4	we don't have to pay. But in the event we do
5	have to pay, we're going to create a mechanism,
6	which is this bonding approval. We're going to
7	have a bond in place approved that if we have to
8	pay, and it's all conditioned. If you read the
9	backup in the bond, it's all conditioned of
10	certain things happening. But if, at the end of
11	the day, we have to pay, the bond is in place and
12	ready to be paid should the county be obligated
13	to pay.
14	LEGISLATOR CURRAN: Great. Thank you
15	very much.
16	MS. LOCURTO: You're welcome.
17	LEGISLATOR CURRAN: Well done.
18	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any additional
19	questions? Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
20	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Is there a
21	deadline for the adoption of this ordinance?
22	MS. LOCURTO: I'm not quite sure what
23	you mean by a deadline -
24	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Is there a
25	day or a date that you have from the courts? Is

1	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	there anything in writing stating that?
3	MS. LOCURTO: The court has asked us to
4	put it on the calendar to be presented to the
5	legislature and that's what we're doing right
6	now, we're presenting it to the legislature.
7	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Did they
8	give you any indicating as to a date?
9	MS. LOCURTO: As soon as practical.
10	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: As soon as
11	possible? Is that in the order? Do you have the
12	copy of the order?
13	MS. LOCURTO: I provided it to your
14	counsel.
15	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Is there a
16	date in that?
17	MS. LOCURTO: There is not a specified
18	date.
19	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I have to
20	also just go back. If we're not going to use the
21	litigation fund from the ways that NIFA
22	suggested, I think this is the perfect example of
23	when we should use it. I think we should
24	definitely use the litigation fund for this. I
25	can't understand why we wouldn't.

for something of that -

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: This is for
3	45 million, we're talking three percent of the 45
4	million.
5	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE NAUGHTON: That
6	is correct.
7	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So we're
8	talking about getting the bond, right, we only
9	need three percent of what we're bonding for.
10	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE NAUGHTON: No.
11	Right now all they're asking for right now is the
12	bond ordinance, that's all that's be asked for at
13	this moment.
14	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Delia, can I just
15	interject to clarify something? I don't want to
16	interrupt you.
17	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Go ahead.
18	If it's okay with the Chairman.
19	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator
20	MacKenzie.
21	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: The whole point
22	of this is the court is allowing us just to pass
23	the ordinance which allows us to take a bond
24	without actually taking the bond.
25	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE NAUGHTON: That

wouldn't have any choice. Not only that, they

We'd have to fund that somehow.

Wе

24

25

called upon.

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

2 could actually, I suppose if we didn't take care

3 | of it immediately, pull money out of our

4 | accounts. All kinds of nasty stuff can happen.

5 And we really don't have a great deal, as much as

6 | I think I've shown over the years, I don't like

to borrow money. This is one of those cases that

I think that maybe we just don't have a choice.

9 | But to go ahead and authorize it because a

10 | judgment is a judgment and it's got to be paid

11 | one way or the other if it happens. This is a

no-cost way of just hedging our best for the time

13 | being.

1

7

8

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator

MacKenzie and then Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

think that it would make even less sense to pay this out of actual cash funds or bond premiums now because it's still under appeal. Isn't the whole point of this to stop us from paying now, give us an opportunity to appeal, and in the event that we're successful we won't pay anything. So why would we pay now if the case isn't concluded? I'm not sure why we would - well, I think that's almost a question.

this. If the bonding is approved for the 45 million - let's say, hopefully we can even come in with a lesser verdict, maybe we should at least consider using part of the legal fund to pay for at least part of it rather than bonding the full amount. If we're not going to use it for anything else, we should use it for this.

MS. LOCURTO: Legislator, can I just clarify. The judgment - there was a jury determination and an award of \$36 million. There is also attorney's fees on top of that was awarded. We're up to \$42 million. If we lose the appeal it's, at a minimum, \$42 million plus there could be additional fees including the attorneys' fees that were incurred for the appeal. So why we're asking for 45, it's an estimate of the total amount.

So it's not - if all appeals are exhausted and the county ultimately has to pay, the final number is going to be, at a minimum, 42 to 43 million, upwards, based on our estimates, of up to \$45 million. So that's why you're being asked for 45 million.

1	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: If they're
3	successful.
4	MS. LOCURTO: I just want to clarify
5	that there's no - the verdict is the verdict.
6	The number is fixed.
7	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So when you
8	appeal the judge is not given the option of
9	mitigating the award?
10	MS. LOCURTO: Not under these
11	circumstances. We're either going to pay zero or
12	we're going to pay -
13	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Why is
14	that? I've never heard of that. Wait. You're
15	either going to get zero or 45 million?
16	MS. LOCURTO: We're either going to win
17	the appeal and - because the original civil trial
18	number one, there was no liability found against
19	the county or the named defendants. So if -
20	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Just as long as you
21	are comfortable with this that's fine.
22	MS. LOCURTO: Now we're going into
23	executive session.
24	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Just as long as you
25	are comfortable with continuing.

That is what is on

2

MS. LOCURTO: Right.

MS. LOCURTO:

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: But I wouldn't stray too far into anything having to do with the merits.

Sure.

the record. Civil trial number one, jury verdict, no liability against the county who are defendants. That verdict, there were three defendants, the verdict was set aside for two of them and a second civil trial was ordered. second civil trial resulted in a jury award of \$36 million. That trial number one and trial number two are being appealed. We hope that the verdict in trial one, which says no liability against the county and no liability against the defendants, stands. But we don't know the outcome of that. The Appellate Court could say second civil trial verdict stands, so you have to pay money. Or there could be a third, which is they could order a completely new trial and it could be a completely new amount, depending on whether or not - what the jury finds.

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So did we appeal the damage end of this verdict?

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	MS. LOCURTO: We appealed everything -
3	damages -
4	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So what you
5	said before about it being zero or 45 is just on
6	the liability, but the damages is going to be
7	also heard at some point, correct? Is it
8	bifurcated, basically, like we have the liability
9	and then -
10	MS. LOCURTO: I'm not sure. It's
11	unlikely that they will reduce it. It was a jury
12	award, a jury finding of liability and they
13	determined the amount.
14	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: But we're
15	appealing it.
16	MS. LOCURTO: I don't know if we should
17	go further without going into executive session.
18	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay. When
19	answering - are we appealing the damages, the
20	answer is?
21	MS. LOCURTO: Yes.
22	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We are.
23	MS. LOCURTO: Yes.
24	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: If we're
25	appealing it, we're not doing it for no reason,

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	we're doing it to see if we can get reduced
3	damages.
4	MS. LOCURTO: It's unlikely that they're
5	going to reduce the damages.
6	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Listen.
7	I'm not going to ask you to surmise what a jury
8	is going to do or a judge, rather. I'm sorry, a
9	judge. Why are we appealing if it's unlikely?
10	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The bottom line is
11	the judge so ordered the stipulation saying that
12	\$45 million is what we were required to authorize
13	in bonding.
14	MS. LOCURTO: Correct. Because if
15	that's upheld, then that's what we're going to be
16	required to pay.
17	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Just a question for
18	you. Personal injury cases when they go up, in
19	the state courts the damages can be reduced by
20	the courts. Is that likely in a civil rights
21	case that the Second Circuit is going to look at
22	the damages and say, no, it's another number?
23	MS. LOCURTO: You are correct in your
24	statement.
25	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Bynoe,

Rules Committee - 6-27-16 did you have something to add?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I was just suggesting that maybe we go into executive session because I felt like we were going a little too far deep.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: We have to go into executive session with the other items anyway, so why don't we just continue this in executive session. But let me call the other items first.

Item 236-16, a resolution authorizing the county attorney to compromise and settle the claims of plaintiff, Kathleen McQuade, as set forth in an action entitled Kathleen McQuade v.

The County of Nassau. That was 233. I'm sorry.

236 is a resolution authorizing the county attorney to compromise and settle the claims of plaintiff, Michael Bresnahan, as set forth in an action entitled Michael Bresnahan v. The County of Nassau.

Item 240-16 is a resolution authorizing the county attorney to compromise and settle the claims of David Page, as set forth in an action entitled David Page v. The County of Nassau.

I would ask for a motion on these four items to go into executive session. I'm going to

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	actually back up a second. I don't know that I
3	put those items before us.
4	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
5	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
7	Walker, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
8	The items are on the table. We have a
9	total of four items on the table now.
10	I ask for a motion to go into executive
11	session.
12	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: So moved.
13	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator
15	MacKenzie moves to go into executive session,
16	seconded by Legislator Walker.
17	All in favor of executive session signify
18	by saying aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Those opposed?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	We are in executive session.
23	(Whereupon, the Finance Committee
24	recessed into executive session at 4:36 p.m.)
25	(Whereupon, the Finance Committee

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	reconvened at 5:26 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I call the Finance
4	Committee back to order. We are out of executive
5	session. I am going to call three of the four
6	items first.
7	233, 236, and 240-2016. Any further
8	discussion?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	Public comment?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	All in favor of those three items signify
13	by saying aye.
14	(Aye.)
15	That carries unanimously.
16	Now I'm going to call Item 239-2016.
17	Any further discussion on that item?
18	That's the one involving the \$45 million in
19	bonds. Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
20	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I just want
21	a clarification from Lisa Locurto. I understand
22	that the purpose of the bond is pretty much just
23	show good faith and hold off while we appeal. Is
24	that correct?
25	MS. LOCURTO: Yes.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Have we
3	started the appeal process with this?
4	MS. LOCURTO: Yes. The appeals have
5	been filed and oral argument was heard April
6	2016, I believe April 5, 2016.
7	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So we
8	already appealed this, basically.
9	MS. LOCURTO: The appeal has been
10	briefed and there has been oral argument. The
11	decision, we are still awaiting decision on that
12	appeal.
13	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So we're
14	waiting for the decision. I thought when you
15	first came up that you said we were doing this to
16	protect us while we were going to appeal.
17	MS. LOCURTO: Yes, that's true.
18	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: But we've
19	already done it.
20	MS. LOCURTO: We are still in the appeal
21	process. We are still appealing.
22	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: We're
23	waiting for the decision.
24	MS. LOCURTO: And now we're waiting for
25	the decision, yes.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

could just get the copy of the communication that

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON:

I forgot that my attorney has it. But if we

23

24

25

I'm sorry.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	was discussed, as far as requesting the bonding,
3	we discussed that inside.
4	MS. LOCURTO: Yes, Legislator. I have
5	your request from executive session and we will
6	provide it.
7	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: We're going
8	to need that before our next meeting.
9	MS. LOCURTO: Before the Full vote,
10	understood.
11	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Which is in
12	two weeks.
13	We're going to hold off on this until we
14	get that information.
15	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I'm going to call
16	for a vote on the item.
17	All in favor signify by saying aye.
18	(Aye.)
19	Those opposed?
20	(Nay.)
21	Passes by a vote of four to three.
22	(Whereupon, the following is the
23	continuation of the minutes of the June 27, 2016,
24	Rules Committee meeting.)
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any further

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	County of Nassau and authorizing \$11,600,000 of
3	bonds of the County of Nassau to finance such
4	expenditure pursuant to the Local Finance Law of
5	New York and the County Government Law of Nassau
6	County.
7	Motion, please?
8	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
9	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
10	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
11	Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
12	Again, the testimony of the Finance
13	Committee should be incorporated.
14	(Whereupon, the following is the minutes
15	of the June 27, 2016, Finance Committee meeting
16	pertaining to Clerk Item 253-16.)
17	Item 253-2016 is a bond ordinance
18	providing for a capital expenditure to finance
19	the capital projects identified herein within the
20	County of Nassau and authorizing \$11,600,000 of
21	bonds of the County of Nassau to finance such
22	expenditure.
23	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
24	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator

Rules Committee - 6-27-16

Kopel, seconded by Legislator Walker.

This is an item that obviously had much debate about at the Full Legislature. It is the attention of the Majority to move this along so that it's in a position for the Full Legislature at some point to be moved - to be passed, in other words, so to expedite the process.

Did you have anything to add, Mr. Arnold?

MR. ARNOLD: In addition to my testimony

from the last Full Leg? No. Just that this is

an important project. It's associated with the

county's consent order. Under our fuel storage

tanks, it's holding up our moving forward with

work that is required to be done.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. Thanks.

Any questions? Legislator DeRiggiWhitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: In our prior conversation, when Legislator MacKenzie brought up a project, honestly, that contract, after it was awarded, was one of the ones that I had an issue with. I'm afraid that some of the people that were involved with the company were not disclosed prior to the vote. It was later

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	determined that there were possible relations,
3	even in the comptroller's office. It's just
4	another example of -
5	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: I'm sorry. I
6	didn't bring up any - name any person or any
7	contracts. What contract are you talking about?
8	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: You
9	mentioned the contracts when you mentioned with
10	your capital project.
11	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: G&M Land Moving
12	is the person who -
13	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No. The
14	prior two contracts were awarded to someone who
15	was a possible relative to someone who worked in
16	the comptroller's office. So it's another
17	example of why we need further investigation into
18	these contracts.
19	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: It's not an
20	example of anything.
21	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It
22	definitely is.
23	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: You shouldn't
24	make any allegations that there was any
25	impropriety there because there has never been an

,	Dulog Committee 6 27 16
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 200
2	allegation of any impropriety on those prior two
3	contracts, number one.
4	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I don't
5	know.
6	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: And number two -
7	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I know that
8	he's no longer in the comptroller's office.
9	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: the contract
10	that's currently was voted upon is with a
11	completely different company. So to try to
12	associate the two is reckless.
13	If you want to make allegations about
14	people and accuse them of wrongdoing on the
15	record that's your own -
16	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I don't
17	take your word for that, MacKenzie, I'm sorry.
18	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: business. But
19	I'm not going to allow you to make allegations
20	about people when there were no allegations of -
21	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: There were
22	allegations of -
23	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: wrongdoing.
24	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: a
25	relationship between someone who worked in the

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Ask him.

25

Ī	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 202
2	Ask him.
3	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Number two. It's
4	absolutely untrue. I actually saw the gentleman
5	on Monday night and not a word was mentioned.
6	It's not true.
7	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Right. But
8	you should talk to him about that.
9	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Delia, I have no
10	idea what you're talking about, quite frankly -
11	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Can we focus on
12	this item?
13	LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: and I'm not going
14	to do a childish little debate with you. Can we
15	talk about the item?
16	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I don't
17	know why you sent all the emails into my
18	district, but I thought that was childish,
19	honestly. I have copies of them if you want
20	them. Anyway.
21	Getting back to this item. I just wanted
22	to ask you about the - the report that was made
23	regarding these tanks, was there anything in
24	there indicating that there is actual leakage?
25	I'm just curious. That the tanks are actually

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 203
2	leaking.
3	MR. ARNOLD: The tanks are not leaking
4	at this moment. We're monitoring them
5	continuously.
6	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Okay.
7	Thank you. I appreciate it. Thank you very
8	much.
9	MR. ARNOLD: But that doesn't solve the
10	point whether they're leaking or not. We have a
11	consent order with the EPA to put monitoring
12	controls on these tanks, and that's what this is
13	about. If these tanks were leaking, we'd be in
14	much worse condition with the EPA.
15	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Thank you
16	very much.
17	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other
18	questions?
19	(No verbal response.)
20	Any public comment?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	Hearing none; all in favor signify by
23	saying aye.
24	(Aye.)
25	Those opposed?

i	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 204
2	(Nay.)
3	LEGISLATOR CURRAN: I'm abstaining.
4	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The item passes
5	four votes for, two votes no, and one abstention.
6	It moves on the Rules Committee.
7	(Whereupon, the following is the
8	continuation of the minutes of the June 27, 2016,
9	Rules Committee meeting.)
10	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any further
11	comment regarding this item?
12	(No verbal response.)
13	There being none; all those in favor of
14	253 signify by saying aye.
15	(Aye.)
16	Any opposed?
17	(Nay.)
18	The item passes four to three.
19	The next item is 254, a bond ordinance
20	providing for a capital expenditure to finance
21	the capital projects identified herein within the
22	County of Nassau and authorizing \$6,088,000 of
23	bonds of the County to finance such expenditure
24	pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York and
25	the County Government Law of Nassau County.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 205
2	Again, the testimony of the Finance
3	Committee should be incorporated.
4	Motion, please?
5	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
6	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
8	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
9	Nicolello.
10	(Whereupon, the following is the minutes
11	of the June 27, 2016, Finance Committee
12	pertaining to Clerk Item 254-16.)
13	Item 254-2016 is a bond ordinance providing for
14	a capital expenditure to finance the capital
15	projects identified herein within the County of
16	Nassau and authorizing \$6,088,000 of bonds of the
17	County to finance such expenditure.
18	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
19	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
21	Kopel, seconded by Legislator Walker.
22	This is the county match on the buses,
23	right?
24	MR. ARNOLD: That is correct. This has
25	presented previously to this body. This is the

_	
1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 206
2	ten percent match associated with the county bus
3	program.
4	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Thank you. I have
5	a question for Mr. Setzer, who I see is in the
6	room.
7	MR. SETZER: Good afternoon, Mr.
8	Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Thank you for
10	joining us Mr. Setzer.
11	Just, could you tell us again, you went
12	through, to me, a clear presentation of the
13	problems that will result if we don't pass this.
14	But could you give us that in a nutshell again?
15	MR. SETZER: Yes. I'd be happy to.
16	There are quite a few different capital items in
17	these projects, but the one that I focused on and
18	the one that I think is the most urgent is the 28
19	bus order that's already been placed with the
20	manufacturer. These are buses that will be
21	delivered later this year and they are
22	replacement buses. They are replacements for
23	buses that are at least 12 years old and have at
24	least half a million miles, some are more.
25	The issue that may not be apparent to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SETZER: Correct.

in support restoration of those bus routes.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: But when I saw this story I'm questioning myself. Why are we going ahead with restoring bus routes when the - by failing to pass the match we're in jeopardy of running out of buses at the end of the year, so to speak, because some of them are going to have to be shelved and we're not going to have the new buses in place. How does it make sense to go ahead with restoration under those circumstances?

MR. SETZER: Mr. Chairman, my purpose here today is to kind of just make sure that you have all the facts involved here. I think that's really a policy question that I'm in no position to comment on.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: All right.

Obviously we want to see the restorations, but at the same time we don't want to be in a position when these buses - when the older buses in our fleet are being forced to go to the side and us not having buses to replace them. That's a nightmare scenario for most of us.

MR. SETZER: I would say that most any course of action that I can imagine that doesn't include maintaining the capital replacement

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16
2	program as designed ultimately leads to service
3	reductions. Either we take money out of the
4	operating budget or we don't have enough buses or
5	some combination of those things.
6	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator Walker.
7	LEGISLATOR WALKER: I would just like to
8	make sure I understand this right. If we don't
9	move ahead with this - and we obviously have -
10	these buses have been ordered to your
11	specification. These buses are coming in.
12	MR. SETZER: That's correct, Legislator.
13	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Without this money
14	you will then really be forced to take it out of
15	your operating budget.
16	MR. SETZER: I think that would be the
17	alternative - that would be one alternative or
18	the county would have to find some other source
19	of local match for these buses.
20	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Now if you had to
21	take the money out of your operating budget,
22	would you still get the match from the federal
23	government?
24	MR. SETZER: No. These grants are ten
25	percent county, ten percent state, 80 percent

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 210
2	federal, and the county has to provide the local
3	match in order for the other dollars to flow.
4	So, no, we would not get any of it.
5	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So you - if you took
6	it out of your operating budget you would then be
7	lost without the other monies that you would have
8	received.
9	MR. SETZER: We would have to take 100
10	percent of the cost of the buses out of the
11	operating budget, which would be about 16 million
12	or else we'd have to take the local match out of
13	the operating budget, which would be 1.6 million,
14	either of which would have a huge effect.
15	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Huge effect.
16	MR. SETZER: Right.
17	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So, basically we
18	might then have a lot of new buses that might not
19	be going anywhere.
20	MR. SETZER: Yes. We'd have a newer
21	fleet but we wouldn't ultimately be able to
22	operate it.
23	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Operate the fleet,
24	right. Okay. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 211
2	questions? Legislator Bynoe.
3	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you, Chair.
4	Hi, Mr. Setzer.
5	MR. SETZER: Hello, Legislator Bynoe.
6	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Quick question. When
7	are we required to pay or you, NICE Bus, required
8	to pay for those buses?
9	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Each individual bus
10	is about 460,000 -
11	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Yeah. When?
12	MR. SETZER: Oh. When?
13	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: When, yes.
14	MR. SETZER: I think the requirement is
15	30 days after the buses are delivered and accept
16	the cash has to be there to make that payment.
17	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. And when are
18	we expecting to take delivery?
19	MR. SETZER: September through December,
20	they will be delivered over that period of time.
21	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: September through
22	December.
23	MR. SETZER: Of this year, yes.
24	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. Thank you.
25	Can I have a copy of - can we,

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 212
2	collectively, the body, have a copy of contract?
3	MR. SETZER: The purchase contract for
4	the buses?
5	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Yeah.
6	MR. SETZER: Certainly.
7	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator DeRiggi-
9	Whitton.
10	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Thank you.
11	Do you happen to have the numbers that
12	you had last time, last year for your company as
13	far as the expenses and the profit? Do you have
14	that information yet?
15	MR. SETZER: I don't have it with me but
16	I can certainly provide that.
17	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: If you
18	could send that to all of us. I'm just curious
19	where the profit is this year as opposed to last
20	year.
21	MR. SETZER: Certainly.
22	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And the
23	other thing that I have to just make a statement
24	about, Rich is saying that these buses might not
25	arrive and the earliest you expect them is

that we ordered, like how many months or how long

Do you know

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

long it took last year to get all of the buses

23

24

25

by-bus basis, right?

MS. D'ALLEVA: I just also want to add that once the buses get delivered and payment is put forth, we're supposed to pay in 72 hours, so

23

24

25

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 216
2	we don't really have 30 days. So once they are
3	delivered, payment has to be within 72 hours in
4	order for us to receive the funds from the
5	federal government.
6	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So Mr.
7	Setzer was incorrect?
8	MS. D'ALLEVA: I believe so. Those are
9	the qualifications for the grant.
10	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Can you
11	just provide us that information?
12	MS. D'ALLEVA: Sure.
13	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Thank you.
14	Any other questions for Ms. D'Aleva?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	Thank you.
17	Ms. Mereday.
18	MS. MEREDAY: Meta Mereday, Baldwin, New
19	York.
20	Again, I strongly suggest that the body
21	take into consideration the needs of the
22	residents, particularly those who require this
23	transportation. This is their only means of
24	transportation.
25	I get it. Trust me. As you can see,

2 | look around. I'm one of the few that comes here.

Because I pretty much work the overnight shift.

4 And yes, I do have physical limitations,

5 particularly the rugs in this room, because I am

6 sick when I leave here but I still have to work

7 | overnight, just so we have that clear.

But we have to take into consideration that agreeing to this scenario as it relates to the residents who need the buses, agreeing in body and unanimously takes nothing away from the fight to have the procurement process overview that is sorely needed in this county.

Again, I know I'm probably just talking to myself on a microphone, which is okay, I talk to myself often. It's all right. Sometimes I agree. And since it's me, myself, and I there is usually a split decision. Again, as Norma said earlier, sometimes you just need a little humor once in a while. That's important.

One other point I wanted to make in terms of the earlier aspect of this. As I said, just today there was a press conference at the White House in terms of tech hiring grants that were distributed. Four million dollars, you may not

is Nassau County?

know, went to LaGuardia Airport for programs for advanced training to assist re-entry candidates, low income, veterans and those particularly who live in high cost regions, to prepare them so that they could get jobs so that they can live in these high-cost regions. LaGuardia Community College got \$4 million. Suffolk Community College got \$2.9 million. Westchester Community College got \$4 million. Where is Nassau? Where

Last year Rochester got \$100,000 for their veterans' facility. Nassau County doesn't even have a veterans' facility. Again, where is Nassau?

Let us think about the things that we are doing and the reason why we're doing it. You may represent parties - republican, democrat, I get it - but overall you represent the people. So let's just put the issues aside in this instance because the bus has nothing to do with this situation. Give those residents the resources that they need so that they can stay in Nassau County and provide the work that needs to get done.

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 219
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Thank you.
4	Hearing no further public comment; all in
5	favor signify by saying aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	Those opposed?
8	(Nay.)
9	The item passes by a vote of five to two.
LO	(Whereupon, the following is the
L1	continuation of the minutes of the June 27, 2016,
L2	Rules Committee meeting.)
L3	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any further
L4	comments regarding 254? Minority Leader
L5	Abrahams.
L6	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you, Madam
L7	Presiding Officer.
L8	I just wanted to again re-emphasize the
L9	points that were made by Ranking Member DeRiggi-
20	Whitton. I don't think anyone can effectively
21	say that the merits that have been stated before
22	us, whether we could refute them or not,
23	obviously we want to be supporting new buses in
24	the county; however, there is a much greater
25	issue that is confronting Nassau County taypayers

1	Rules Committee - 6-27-16 220
2	and that is the need for contract reform in this
3	county. I think that's been self identified. I
4	truly believe that, short of that, we would be
5	doing a disservice to the county to continue to
6	bond for money and not having the proper
7	oversight in place with independence in this
8	county.
9	I will strongly urge my colleagues in the
10	minority to vote negative.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: There being no
13	further comment; all those in favor of 254
14	signify by saying aye.
15	(Aye.)
16	Any opposed?
17	(Nay.)
18	The item passes four to three.
19	There being no further business for the
20	Rules Committee, I believe - did I miss one?
21	Motion to adjourn, please?
22	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.
23	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
25	Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator Dunne.

```
Rules Committee - 6-27-16
                                                            221
 1
               All those in favor signify by saying aye.
 2
 3
               (Aye.)
               Any opposed?
 4
 5
               (No verbal response.)
               The Rules Committee is now adjourned.
 6
 7
               (Whereupon, the Rules Committee
 8
     adjourned.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 5th day of July, 2016.

FRANK GRAY