1	
2	
3	
4	NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
5	
6	
7	NORMA GONSALVES,
8	Presiding Officer
9	
10	
11	
12	PUBLIC WORKS AND PARKS COMMITTEE
13	
14	
15	
16	VINCENT MUSCARELLA, Chairman
17	
18	
19	
20	1550 Franklin Avenue
21	Mineola, New York
22	
23	Monday, January 11, 2016
24	2:16 P.M
25	

1																								
2	<u>A</u>	Р	Р		Ε	А		R		Α		N		С		Ε		S	:					
3	۲7.	ΙN	С E	M	т	M	TT	C	C	7\	D		т	т	7\									
4		C h						S	C	Д	1/	Ľ	ш	П	А	′								
5	SI	υE.	VE ic									n												
6	D C	v N.C											E.											
7		,												R		Т	Т	Т						
8		LL											0	11		_	_	_						
9		Rai						_		Ü		′												
10	JĮ	JD	ΙT	Η		JΑ	С	0	В	S														
11	L P	U	RΑ		СĮ	JR	R	Α	N															
12	WI		LI le																	+	ונו	^ e	_	
13		Ü		_		Ü	_		Ü		Ü		_	Ū	9	_	_	_	ŭ.	Ü	u -	- `		
14																								
15																								
16																								
17																								
18																								
19																								
20																								
21																								
22																								
23																								
24																								

25

1								
2			LIST	OF	SPEA	KERS		
3		CALLEY						7
4	SEAN	раппет.		• • •	• • • •	• • • • •	• • • •	/
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

Public	Works	and	Parks	Committee/	1 - 1	1 – 1 6

- 2 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Public
- 3 Works and Parks Committee is hereby called
- 4 to order. I will read the roll. I am here.
- 5 Vice Chairman Rhoads?
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Here.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Don
- 8 MacKenzie?
- 9 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Present.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Bill
- 11 Gaylor?
- 12 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Here.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Ranking
- 14 Member Birnbaum?
- 15 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Judy
- 17 Jacobs?
- 18 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Here.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Laura
- 20 Curran?
- 21 LEGISLATOR CURRAN: Here.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: We have a
- 23 quorum. We have one item on today. That is
- 24 Clerk Item 4 of 2016, an ordinance making
- 25 certain determinations pursuant to the State

- 2 Environmental Quality Review Act and
- 3 authorizing the County Executive on behalf
- 4 of the County of Nassau to execute an
- 5 amendment to a lease agreement between the
- 6 County of Nassau, as landlord, and Sun E
- 7 Solar XVII Projects, LLC, as tenant, in
- 8 connection with the lease of certain
- 9 premises consisting of approximately 10.199
- 10 acres of real property situated at the Cedar
- 11 Creek Water Pollution Control Plant, Town of
- 12 Hempstead, County of Nassau, State of New
- 13 York, said real property known as Section
- 14 63, Block 261, and a portion of Lot 765H and
- 15 773 on the land and tax map of the County of
- 16 Nassau.
- 17 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: So moved.
- 18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Second.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Motion by
- 20 Mr. MacKenzie, seconded by Mr. Rhoads. That
- 21 item is before us. Do you have some
- 22 information for us?
- MR. SALLEY: Absolutely. Sean
- 24 Salley, Nassau County Department of Public
- 25 Works. The action before you today is a

- 2 lease amendment to the county lease
- 3 agreement with Sun Edison. The vendor that
- 4 was chosen pursuant to a county RFP to
- 5 construct, at the time it was a three
- 6 megawatt utility solar facility at the
- 7 southern end of the Cedar Creek water
- 8 pollution control plant. There is a vacant
- 9 area just to south of the plant.
- 10 That lease was approved by this
- 11 legislature in the fall of 2014. That
- 12 provided Sun Edison with site control and
- 13 they were able to formally apply to LIPA
- 14 under the LIPA Solar Feed and Tariff II
- 15 Program.
- 16 Through a reverse auction, LIPA
- was procuring roughly 150 megawatts
- 18 throughout Long Island of solar energy from
- 19 these distributed sites so to speak.
- So, the lease was approved by the
- 21 legislature. Sun Edison applied, received
- 22 an approval from LIPA to move forward with a
- 23 three megawatt project at 16.88 cents a
- 24 kilowatt hour. That 16.88 megawatt,
- 25 kilowatt hours was set across the board on

- 2 Long Island. So any project that entered
- 3 into this program would receive that fee.
- 4 In return the county would
- 5 receive a lease payment for the utilization
- 6 of the land. Sun Edison would produce power
- 7 and sell that power pursuant to a PPA,
- 8 power purchase agreement with LIPA.
- 9 Subsequent to that happening,
- 10 LIPA then undertakes an interconnection
- 11 study, and the outcome and the findings of
- 12 that study was basically that the nearest
- 13 substation which is in Bellmore was unable
- 14 to accept the three megawatts of solar of
- 15 renewal energy. So the maximum that that
- 16 substation could handle was approximately
- 17 1.8 megawatts.
- Just as an aside, the overall on
- 19 Long Island, the amount of power that could
- 20 be kind of plugged into the substations was
- 21 less than what LIPA thought originally could
- 22 be the case.
- So if not all the projects on
- 24 Long Island had received the benefit of this
- 25 program, the projects were all reduced

- 2 because of the capacity at the substation.
- 3 Sun Edison is actually working
- 4 with Suffolk County to develop utility solar
- 5 at Gabreski Airport in West Hampton through
- 6 the same program. Their project was
- 7 actually reduced almost 50 percent. So just
- 8 to kind of give you more context, this is
- 9 sort of happening across the county -- I'm
- 10 sorry, across the island, and in order for
- 11 future projects to come online, there will
- 12 need to be some substantial infrastructure
- improvements in terms of upgrading and
- 14 expanding substations. So, just again, kind
- 15 of keep that in mind.
- 16 So the lease amendment today is
- 17 basically to memorialize the new project
- 18 size which is 1.8 megawatts. What that does
- 19 is drop the rent payment down that's due to
- 20 the county. The original amount to the
- 21 county was approximately \$105,000 a year for
- 22 20 years which is concurrent with the power
- 23 purchase agreement for 20 years.
- 24 That lease payment drops down to
- 25 \$70,000, and just to point out that the drop

- 2 in rent is not proportional to the drop in
- 3 system sizes. It's slightly more of that
- 4 percent reduction so we are able to
- 5 negotiate with Sun Edison.
- 6 The land area also involved also
- 7 goes down from 12.5 acres to roughly ten
- 8 acres and what that did was actually
- 9 preserve a stand of scrub bush on the
- 10 southeast corner of the site so that is no
- 11 longer part of this leasehold.
- 12 And, other than that, the
- 13 remaining lease terms remain the same. Sun
- 14 Edison is nearing completion of
- 15 construction. If you've been down there
- 16 it's a really neat sight to see, this
- 17 project nearing completion. They expect to
- 18 be producing power to the grid in the next
- 19 couple of weeks.
- There was a question on the
- 21 commencement date. The commencement date is
- 22 actually the day they flip the switch and
- 23 start providing power to LIPA. That's
- 24 imminent within the next couple of weeks.
- 25 There will be a public outreach

- 2 component to this where all of the energy is
- 3 tracked in real time by the vendor, of
- 4 course, for security purposes and overall
- 5 performance purposes.
- 6 We will have an internet or web
- 7 interface to view in real time what that
- 8 facility is producing. So, for the county
- 9 to understand what it's producing, as well
- 10 as bringing that information to schools and
- 11 universities and so forth, it's kind of a
- 12 nice component and benefit to the project as
- 13 a whole.
- 14 So, again, the lease amendment is
- 15 for the reduced land area and for the
- 16 reduced lease payment to the county over the
- 17 20 year duration.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Thank you.
- 19 I know that Mr. Rhoads had had some
- 20 questions.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I appreciate
- 22 that. Thank you, Chairman Muscarella.
- Mr. Salley, good to see you
- 24 again. A couple of follow-up questions.
- 25 First of all, I know that I wasn't on the

- 2 Legislature at the time that this passed,
- 3 but I was kind of familiar with the project
- 4 because I was sitting on OSPAC at the time,
- 5 and we have had some subsequent meetings,
- 6 they were kind enough pursuant to the
- 7 Legislature's recommendation to meet with
- 8 the fire department.
- 9 Since completion of the project
- 10 is imminent, have there been any follow-up
- 11 conversations with the fire department about
- 12 setting up that training before they flip
- 13 the switch?
- 14 MR. SALLEY: No. And we will do
- 15 that. It is imminent in the next few weeks
- 16 so we will contact the district to set up
- 17 that meeting.
- 18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I appreciate
- 19 that. Secondly, I know that the issue of
- 20 security had come up at one of our prior
- 21 meetings. With respect to having a camera
- 22 to be able to see in real time, if there is
- 23 a security issue going on at the facility
- 24 itself, not just fluctuations in terms of
- 25 the production of the plant, whether there

- 2 were any issues concerning the operation of
- 3 the plant, but specifically with security,
- 4 what was the resolution of that, has there
- 5 been?
- 6 MR. SALLEY: That did come up at
- 7 the fire district meeting back in early
- 8 September. Sun Edison explained to us that
- 9 they typically provide perimeter fencing as
- 10 they have done at this location, it's a 12
- 11 foot high chain link fence around the entire
- 12 installation.
- In addition, this is at the rear
- 14 end of the treatment plant. The security
- 15 booth up front is supposed to be manned
- 16 24/7.
- But, in terms of security, from
- 18 the vendor's perspective, they were
- 19 comfortable with the chain link perimeter
- 20 fencing and the real time performance
- 21 monitoring.
- 22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is the
- 23 security fencing accessible without going
- 24 through the front gate of the plant?
- 25 MR. SALLEY: You would have --

- 2 there are two different guard booths there.
- 3 One is the plant's quard booth and the other
- 4 one is the county, maintains the guard
- 5 booth, that protects the assets, other than
- 6 the plant that are back there.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So there are
- 8 two guard booths; the guard booth that
- 9 protects the plant itself. That's the
- 10 primary access to get into the solar
- 11 facility.
- 12 There's a road maintenance
- 13 facility that's just north of the
- 14 installation that during the day the trucks
- 15 and vehicles can flow through. But when
- 16 there are off hours, that gate is shut.
- But, again, the primary
- 18 protection would be the county manned guard
- 19 booth at the top of the hill. Then, during
- 20 the evenings, it would be the secondary
- 21 booth manned by United Water.
- 22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I would still
- 23 make the suggestion that obviously it's not
- 24 part of the contract so they are not
- 25 required to do it, but I would still make

- 2 the suggestion that they consider having
- 3 some sort of camera system so that at least
- 4 the guard sitting at the booth for the plant
- 5 can keep an eye on what's going on whether
- 6 there happens to be an issue other than
- 7 operations.
- 8 My last question that I had, it's
- 9 my understanding that the sewer treatment
- 10 plant initially for its power needs relies
- 11 on methane that's produced at the plant and
- 12 that the secondary system, if the energy
- 13 that's produced by the methane is
- 14 insufficient, it's to go on their natural
- 15 gas backup system.
- 16 MR. SALLEY: That's correct.
- 17 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Since we are
- 18 talking about reducing the size of the solar
- 19 field, and we are always talking about green
- 20 energy, has there been any thought by the
- 21 administration to seeing if we can use the
- 22 excess capacity that the original system
- 23 would have produced, the 1.2 megawatts
- 24 that's not being produced, to actually be
- 25 the back-up system for the plant, to reduce

- 2 our need for natural gas, since it's
- 3 renewable energy that theoretically we
- 4 wouldn't necessarily have to pay for?
- 5 MR. SALLEY: Sure, sure.
- 6 Exactly. And I can bring that back to my`
- 7 commissioner of Public Works. So, you do,
- 8 you have another two or three acres now that
- 9 is not being utilized in that area and could
- 10 potentially be used for solar or other
- 11 renewable to directly feed into the plant
- 12 itself. Under that arrangement, I would
- imagine that the county would be responsible
- 14 for maintaining and operating those solar or
- 15 wind or what have you.
- In this arrangement with Sun
- 17 Edison, they are responsible for maintenance
- 18 and operation and so forth. But we can
- 19 certainly bring that opportunity up to the
- 20 commissioner.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Completely
- 22 understood. Since our rent payment that we
- are receiving is \$70,000, is that annually?
- MR. SALLEY: Annually, yes.
- 25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I was curious

- 2 whether any portion of the \$70,000 that
- 3 we're receiving in terms of revenue could
- 4 actually be reinvested back so that we could
- 5 actually produce that renewable energy, so
- 6 there would be actually no direct costs and
- 7 perhaps Sun Energy can actually maintain it
- 8 based on the use of our anticipated
- 9 revenues.
- 10 MR. SALLEY: Sure. Point is
- 11 taken. I will bring that back to the
- 12 commissioner.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I appreciate
- 14 that. If you could get back to us with some
- 15 sort of answer I would appreciate it.
- MR. SALLEY: Sure.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Any other
- 18 questions? Legislator Jacobs.
- 19 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Sean, hi, how
- 20 are you? Just a quick question. Don't you
- 21 think a feasibility study on their part
- 22 should have been done prior to that original
- 23 that would have avoided the amending?
- MR. SALLEY: Absolutely. You do.
- 25 They promote renewable energy and rightfully

- 2 so. Then you have in this case a
- 3 municipality to develop a utility energy
- 4 facility, it has to go through a bunch of
- 5 steps, if the capacity could be determined
- 6 prior to us spinning the wheels.
- 7 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Of course.
- MR. SALLEY: Exactly.
- 9 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: So, in other
- 10 words, I'm not going to put words in your
- 11 mouth, but I assume it was something you
- 12 felt would happen when they applied for this
- 13 amount?
- 14 MR. SALLEY: Yes, I mean, we
- 15 looked -- what was very comforting, maybe
- 16 not the right word, but we had been working
- 17 closely with Suffolk County because it just
- 18 so happens they have a similar facility with
- 19 the same vendor, and when we heard that they
- 20 were going through the same issues in terms
- 21 of the capacity dropping and having to go
- 22 through that process, because originally up
- 23 front we felt, wait a minute, you're telling
- 24 us one thing in one capacity, and we go
- 25 through all these steps and now it's

- 2 reduced, what's going on here?
- 3 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I fully
- 4 understand. So I guess it's good to be
- 5 forewarned about this and make sure that we
- 6 ask that question, have you done a
- 7 feasibility study, because it's a good
- 8 thing, and so it's silly to have to be
- 9 amended.
- 10 MR. SALLEY: Exactly. And, if I
- 11 may, when Sun Edison was alerted that it was
- 12 chosen to be part of the feed in two tariff
- 13 program, it was then asked to provide the
- 14 interconnection documents.
- 15 LIPA then gave no timeline as to
- 16 when that would be approved, but they did
- 17 say, if you don't have site control by the
- 18 time that study is completed, you risk being
- 19 bumped from the cue. So there is a lot of
- 20 moving parts.
- 21 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I get it.
- 22 Thank you so much as always.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Any other
- 24 questions? Ms. Birnbaum.
- 25 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Has it cost

- 2 the county any money with this
- 3 miscalculation? Has the county laid out any
- 4 money for development?
- 5 MR. SALLEY: No. This was all
- 6 vendor responsibility.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Any other
- 8 questions?
- 9 (No verbal response.)
- 10 Is there any public comment?
- 11 (No verbal response.)
- 12 Hearing none, all those in favor
- 13 of moving this item signify by saying aye.
- 14 (Aye.)
- Any opposed?
- 16 (No verbal response.)
- 17 That item carries. There being
- 18 no further business, a motion to adjourn.
- 19 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: So moved.
- LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Second.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MUSCARELLA: Motion by
- 22 Mr. MacKenzie, seconded by Mr. Rhoads. All
- 23 those in favor of adjourning?
- 24 (Aye.)
- 25 Any opposed?

	Public Works and Parks Committee/1-11-16
2	(No verbal response.)
3	We are adjourned. Health is
4	next.
5	(Whereupon, the Public Works and
6	Parks Committee adjourned at 2:32 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1					
2		C E R	. T I 1	F I C A T	E
3					
4					
5	I, FRAN	IK GRA	Y, a S	Shorthand	Reporter and
6	Notary Publi	cin	and fo	or the Sta	te of New
7	York, do her	eby s	tated	:	
8	THAT I	atten	ded at	t the time	and place
9	above mentic	ned a	nd to	ok stenogr	aphic record
10	of the proce	eding	s in	the above-	entitled
11	matter;				
12	THAT th	e for	egoin	g transcri	pt is a true
13	and accurate	tran	scrip	t of the s	ame and the
14	whole therec	of, ac	cordi	ng to the	best of my
15	ability and	belie	f.		
16	IN WITN	IESS W	HEREO	F, I have	hereunto set
17	my hand this	25th	day	of January	2016.
18					
19		_			
20				FRANK G	RAY
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					