

1. Proposed Ordinances

Documents: [APPENDIX FOR PROPOSED ORD. 46-16.PDF](#), [APPENDIX B FOR PROPOSED ORD. 46-16.PDF](#), [APPENDIX A FOR PROPOSED ORD. 48-16.PDF](#), [APPENDIX A FOR PROPOSED ORD. 46-16.PDF](#), [APPENDIX A FOR PROPOSED ORD. 47-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 63-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 62-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 61-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 60-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 59-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 58-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 57-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 56-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 55-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 54-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 53-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 52-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 51-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 50-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 49-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 48-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 47-16.PDF](#), [PROPOSED ORD. 46-16.PDF](#)

2. Legislative Calendar

Documents: [5-23-16.PDF](#)

3. Meeting Minutes

Documents: [FULL LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE 05-23-16.PDF](#), [RULES COMMITTEE, 05-23-16.PDF](#)

| <u>Public Notice</u> | |
|---|---------|
| PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE WILL HOLD COMMITTEE MEETINGS OF THE LEGISLATURE ON MONDAY, MAY 9, 2016 STARTING AT 1:00 PM AND WILL HOLD A FULL SESSION OF THE LEGISLATURE ON MONDAY, MAY 23, 2016 STARTING AT 1:00 PM IN THE PETER J. SCHMITT MEMORIAL LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, 1 st FLOOR, THEODORE ROOSEVELT EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BUILDING, 1550 FRANKLIN AVENUE, MINEOLA, NEW YORK 11501. | |
| FULL LEGISLATIVE SESSION.....1:00 PM | |
| COMMITTEE | TIME |
| Rules | 1:00 PM |
| PUBLIC SAFETY | 1:00 PM |
| PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT & THE ENVIRONMENT | 1:00 PM |
| TOWNS, VILLAGES AND CITIES | 1:00 PM |
| ECONOMIC & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT & LABOR | 1:00 PM |
| PUBLIC WORKS AND PARKS | 1:00 PM |
| HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES | 1:00 PM |
| GOVERNMENT SERVICES AND OPERATIONS | 1:00 PM |
| MINORITY AFFAIRS | 1:00 PM |
| VETERANS AND SENIOR AFFAIRS | 1:00 PM |
| FINANCE | 1:00 PM |

MICHAEL C. PULITZER

Clerk of the Legislature
Nassau County, New York

Dated: May 2, 2016

Mineola, NY

As per the Nassau County Fire Marshall's Office, the Peter J. Schmitt Memorial Legislative Chamber has a maximum occupancy of 251 people and the outer chamber which will stream the meeting live, has a maximum occupancy of 72. Passes will be distributed on a first come first served basis beginning one half hour before the meeting begins and attendees will be given an opportunity to sign in to address the Legislature for a maximum of three minutes. Public comment is limited to Agenda items. The Nassau County Legislature is committed to making its public meetings accessible to individuals with disabilities and every reasonable accommodation will be made so that they can participate. Please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Legislature at 571-4252, or the Nassau County Office for the Physically Challenged at 227-7101 or TDD Telephone No. 227-8989 if any assistance is needed. Every Legislative meeting is streamed live on <http://www.nassaucountyny.gov/agencies/Legis/index.html>.

| Main | Project Number | Project | SEQRA |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|---------|
| General Capital | 97113 | Department Technology Equipment Replacement | TYPE II |
| General Capital | 97121 | ADAPT | TYPE II |

| 6 NYCRR | Amount |
|--------------|----------------|
| 617.5(c)(25) | \$1,000,000.00 |
| 617.5(c)(25) | \$2,000,000.00 |

| Main | Project Number | Project | SEQRA |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|---------|
| General Capital | 97113 | Department Technology Equipment Replacement | TYPE II |
| General Capital | 97121 | ADAPT | TYPE II |

| 6 NYCRR | Amount |
|--------------|----------------|
| 617.5(c)(25) | \$1,000,000.00 |
| 617.5(c)(25) | \$2,000,000.00 |

| Main | Project Number | Project | PPU | LFL | SEQRA |
|---------|-------------------|---|-----|-----------|---------|
| General | 81060 | County Storage Tank Replacement Program | 10 | 11.00.a.9 | TYPE II |

| 6 NYCRR | Amount |
|-------------|------------------|
| 617.5(c)(2) | \$ 11,600,000.00 |

| OrderPri ority | Main | Category | Project Number | Formatted Project Title | Old Cumulative Budget | 2015 Debt | 2015 Non County | 2015 | Cumulative Budget | 2016 Debt | 2016 Non County | 2016 | 2017 Debt | 2017 Non County | 2017 | 2018 Debt | 2018 Non County | 2018 | 2019 Debt | 2019 Non County | 2019 | FY2016-19 | Check | Total_Auth | New_Auth_Req | Lifetime TotalA | |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90023 | Various County Buildings Backflow Prevention | \$ 5,365,782.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,365,782.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,365,782.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,365,782.00 |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90025 | Rehabilitation of Aquatic Center Building | \$ 49,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 49,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 49,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90026 | Exterior Renovation of 240 Old Country | \$ 1,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,250,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90027 | 240 Old Country Road HVAC Improvements | \$ 3,850,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 4,850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,850,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90031 | Records Center Renovation | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,354,482.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,354,482.00 | \$ 5,169,272.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,169,272.00 | \$ 5,937,478.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,937,478.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 12,461,232.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90033 | BOE Building Upgrades | \$ 2,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,100,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90375 | Emergency Work at DPW Garages | \$ 2,845,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,845,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,845,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90400 | Various County Facilities - General Construction | \$ 17,450,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 17,450,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 20,450,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 21,450,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90401 | Various County Facilities - Electrical Construction | \$ 7,150,000.00 | \$ 1,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,250,000.00 | \$ 8,400,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 9,900,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 10,400,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90402 | Various County Facilities - HVAC Construction | \$ 10,050,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,050,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ 12,300,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ 13,050,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90403 | Various County Facilities - Plumbing Construction | \$ 4,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,750,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 5,500,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 5,750,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90404 | Various County Facilities – Fire Alarm/Protection and Security Systems | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 4,500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 5,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90405 | Various County Facilities - Demolition | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 4,500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 5,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90406 | Various County Facilities - Design | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90611 | Various County Court Facilities Renovation | \$ 16,038,239.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 16,038,239.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 16,038,239.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90612 | Generator Upgrade - Various Buildings | \$ 3,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,800,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90617 | Various County Buildings Electric Service & Engineering Upgrade | \$ 6,275,023.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,275,023.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,275,023.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90618 | Various County Buildings Roof Renovation | \$ 18,150,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 18,400,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 8,000,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 24,400,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 26,400,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90622 | Hempstead Garage Improvements | \$ 19,750,000.00 | \$ 3,450,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,450,000.00 | \$ 23,200,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 23,950,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90625 | Various Asbestos & Lead Abatement | \$ 5,370,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 5,870,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 7,370,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 7,870,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90629 | Various County Fuel Station Upgrades | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90632 | Family & Matrimonial Court | \$ 57,000,000.00 | \$ 37,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 37,000,000.00 | \$ 94,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 112,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90634 | Nassau Coliseum Emergency Repairs | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ 1,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,800,000.00 | \$ 6,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,800,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90636 | Warehouse and Staging Area | \$ 4,750,000.00 | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ 6,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,250,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90637 | Nassau County Department of Public Works Material Testing Laboratory | \$ 1,200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,800,000.00 | \$ 1,800,000.00 | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ 1,300,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,300,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,300,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90638 | Nassau County Housing Improvements | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,800,000.00 | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ 5,100,000.00 | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 1,100,000.00 | \$ 5,100,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90639 | Data Center Fire Suppression System | \$ - | \$ 850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 850,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Buildings | 90981 | Americans/Disabilities Act - Phase II (Construction) | \$ 11,882,459.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,882,459.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ (1,217,541.00) | \$ 13,850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 13,850,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 11511 | Health Department Equipment Replacement | \$ 1,408,924.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,408,924.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,658,924.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98060 | Road Maintenance Equipment Replacement | \$ 17,700,000.00 | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ 19,300,000.00 | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ 6,400,000.00 | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ 24,100,000.00 | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ 25,700,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98062 | Automation of Fuel Sites and Vehicles | \$ 1,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,250,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98063 | DPW Fleet Service Equipment | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98064 | Road Maintenance Equipment Refurbishment | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98092 | Snow Removal Truck Replacement | \$ 12,150,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 13,150,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 16,150,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 17,150,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98105 | Fleet Management Life Cycle Vehicle Replacement | \$ 19,060,237.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 19,060,237.00 | \$ 3,750,000.00 | \$ 1,335,119.00 | \$ 5,085,119.00 | \$ 3,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,750,000.00 | \$ 3,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,750,000.00 | \$ 3,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,750,000.00 | \$ 16,335,119.00 | \$ 5,085,119.00 | \$ 30,310,237.00 | \$ 5,085,119.00 | \$ 35,395,356.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98180 | Mosquito Control Equipment | \$ 2,751,098.30 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 3,001,098.30 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 3,751,098.30 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 4,001,098.30 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98340 | Printing Equipment Replacement Project | \$ 2,010,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,010,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 25,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 25,000.00 | \$ 525,000.00 | \$ 25,000.00 | \$ 25,000.00 | \$ 25,000.00 | \$ 2,535,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98341 | Office Equipment Replacement Program | \$ 2,850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ (900,000.00) | \$ 4,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98342 | Field Data Inspection Modernization | \$ 450,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 450,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 650,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98343 | BOE Voting Machine Upgrade | \$ 18,773,625.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 18,773,625.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 19,356,625.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Equipment | 98344 | Public Works Lab Equipment | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 63029 | Bridge Rehabilitation Program | \$ 20,850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 10,000,000.00 | \$ 10,000,000.00 | \$ 30,850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 30,850,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 63031 | Bridge Painting Program | \$ 12,571,795.00 | \$ - | \$ 6,400,000.00 | \$ 6,400,000.00 | \$ 18,971,795.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 18,971,795.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 63400 | Civil Site Studies | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| OrderPriority | Main | Category | Project Number | Formatted Project Title | Old Cumulative Budget | 2015 Debt | 2015 Non County | 2015 | Cumulative Budget | 2016 Debt | 2016 Non County | 2016 | 2017 Debt | 2017 Non County | 2017 | 2018 Debt | 2018 Non County | 2018 | 2019 Debt | 2019 Non County | 2019 | FY2016-19 | Check | Total_Auth | New_Auth_Req | Lifetime TotalA | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 91079 | Motor Parkway Multi-Use Trail | \$ 2,275,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,275,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,275,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,275,000.00 | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 92026 | Veterans Memorial Coliseum Committee Study | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 92030 | Nassau Hub Energy Study | \$ 130,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 130,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 130,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 130,000.00 | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 92036 | Community Study | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 99201 | Community Environment Improvement Project | \$ 1,650,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,650,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,650,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,650,000.00 | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 99205 | Community Revitalization Program | \$ 3,060,951.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,060,951.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,060,951.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,060,951.00 | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 99206 | Various County Projects | \$ 41,900,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 47,600,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 22,800,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 64,700,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 70,400,000.00 | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 99300 | Engineering Documents Record Consolidation | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Infrastructure | 99502 | Countywide Green Initiative | \$ 5,501,490.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,501,490.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,751,490.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,751,490.00 | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41006 | Various Park Athletic Fields - Installation of Synthetic Turf Fields | \$ 28,400,000.00 | \$ - | \$ (2,085,348.00) | \$ (2,085,348.00) | \$ 26,314,652.00 | \$ - | \$ 350,000.00 | \$ 350,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 350,000.00 | \$ (4,735,348.00) | \$ 31,400,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 31,400,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41008 | Museum & Educational Facilities | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 3,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,500,000.00 | \$ (2,000,000.00) | \$ 5,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,750,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41334 | Nickerson Beach Improvements | \$ 13,391,905.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 13,391,905.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 13,391,905.00 | \$ - | \$ 13,391,905.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41363 | Sands Point Park Seawall Rehabilitation | \$ 4,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (5,000,000.00) | \$ 9,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 9,800,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41402 | Batting Cages Refurbishment and Construction | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 62,107.00 | \$ 62,107.00 | \$ 1,062,107.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,062,107.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,062,107.00 |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41410 | Battlerow Campground Improvement | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (600,000.00) | \$ 800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 800,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41420 | Roslyn Grist Mill Restoration | \$ 2,266,460.31 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,266,460.31 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (2,383,539.69) | \$ 4,650,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,650,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41482 | Michel Field - Rifle Range Improvements | \$ 2,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41501 | Cedar Creek Park Feasibility Study | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41517 | Fine Arts Museum New Additions | \$ 2,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,600,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41802 | Various County Parks Pond Dredging and Desilting | \$ 2,042,189.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,042,189.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (357,811.00) | \$ 2,400,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,400,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41811 | Various County Parks Restroom Rehabilitation | \$ 4,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (750,000.00) | \$ 5,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41814 | Various County Parks Fencing Repair | \$ 3,418,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,418,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (250,000.00) | \$ 3,668,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,668,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41815 | Various County Parks Ice Rink Modernization | \$ 4,076,070.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,076,070.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ (4,753,930.00) | \$ 9,330,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 9,330,000.00 |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41820 | Various County Parks Playground & Picnic Area Rehabilitation | \$ 13,714,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 13,714,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 13,714,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 13,714,000.00 |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41826 | Various Parks Preserve Buildings Rehabilitation | \$ 9,171,939.91 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 9,421,939.91 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 750,000.00 | \$ 241,499.91 | \$ 9,930,440.00 | \$ 241,499.91 | \$ 10,171,939.91 | \$ - | \$ 10,171,939.91 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41829 | Various Parks Outdoor Lighting Rehabilitation | \$ 13,187,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 13,187,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (250,000.00) | \$ 13,437,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 13,437,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41834 | Various Parks Path/Roadways/Parking Resurface | \$ 7,920,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,920,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,920,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 7,920,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41844 | Various Parks Athletic Field & Court Rehabilitation Phase II | \$ 4,875,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,875,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,875,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,875,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41851 | Various Parks Golf Course Renovation Phase II | \$ 2,385,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,385,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (1,000,000.00) | \$ 3,385,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,385,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41855 | Parks Equipment Replacement | \$ 2,550,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 2,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 3,300,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,300,000.00 |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41858 | County Pools Improvements and Code Compliance | \$ 4,700,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 5,200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ (350,000.00) | \$ 5,800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,800,000.00 | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41860 | Various County Parks - Irrigation System Installation | \$ 1,200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (500,000.00) | \$ 1,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,700,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41861 | Various County Park Buildings - Infrastructure Improvements | \$ 16,258,500.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 16,508,500.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 16,758,500.00 | \$ - | \$ 16,758,500.00 | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41862 | Various Parks - Pool Improvements | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41863 | Dutch Broadway Park Improvements | \$ 225,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 225,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 225,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 225,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41864 | Park Furnishings | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41865 | Inwood Bulkhead | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41866 | Central Avenue Park, Valley Stream | \$ 125,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 125,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 125,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 125,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41869 | Various Park Improvements | \$ 8,250,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 10,250,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 10,000,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 16,250,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 20,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 20,250,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41870 | Various County Beaches Restoration and Mitigation | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41871 | Various County Dock and Bulkheads | \$ 2,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41872 | Wantagh Park Improvements | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41873 | Milburn Park Improvements | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41874 | Eisenhower Park Improvements | \$ 6,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 6,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41875 | Cantigue Park Improvements | \$ 4,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Parks | 41876 | Centennial Park Improvements | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 |
| 1 | General Capital | Property | 9B480 | Land Acquisition | \$ 52,804,241.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| OrderPri ority | Main | Category | Project Number | Formatted Project Title | Old Cumulative Budget | 2015 Debt | 2015 Non County | 2015 | Cumulative Budget | 2016 Debt | 2016 Non County | 2016 | 2017 Debt | 2017 Non County | 2017 | 2018 Debt | 2018 Non County | 2018 | 2019 Debt | 2019 Non County | 2019 | FY2016-19 | Check | Total_Auth | New_Auth_Req | Lifetime TotalA | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | General Capital | Traffic | 62459 | Traffic Signal Expansion Phase III | \$ - | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ 4,200,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,700,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Traffic | 62500 | Traffic Studies | \$ 2,250,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,750,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 4,250,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 4,750,000.00 | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Traffic | 62550 | Traffic Signal Management System | \$ 1,530,000.00 | \$ 400,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 400,000.00 | \$ 1,930,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,930,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,930,000.00 | |
| | | | | Traffic Incident Management System - Old Country | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | General Capital | Traffic | 62560 | Road | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Traffic | 62562 | Incident Management Phase II | \$ 2,080,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,080,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,080,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,080,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Traffic | 62563 | Incident Management Phase III | \$ 2,080,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,080,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,080,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,080,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Traffic | 62564 | Incident Management Phase IV | \$ 2,094,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,094,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,094,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,094,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Traffic | 62900 | Baldwin Complete Streets | \$ - | \$ 665,000.00 | \$ 135,000.00 | \$ 800,000.00 | \$ 800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 800,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91051 | MTALIB 2006 FTA Grant Sect 5307 NY90-XX | \$ 2,030,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,030,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,030,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,030,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91056 | MTALIB 2008 FTA Grant Sect 5307 NY90-XX | \$ 2,125,500.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,125,500.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,125,500.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,125,500.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91058 | MTALIB 2009 FTA Grant Sect 5307 NY90-XX | \$ 2,027,500.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,027,500.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,027,500.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,027,500.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91060 | MTALIB 2010 FTA Grant Sect 5307 NY90-XX | \$ 2,120,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,120,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,120,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,120,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91080 | NICE - Alternative Fuel Buses | \$ 3,479,442.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,479,442.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,479,442.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,479,442.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91081 | NICE - Grant Match | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91082 | NICE - Grant Match | \$ 755,313.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 755,313.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91083 | NICE - Grant Match | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91084 | NICE - Grant Match | \$ 650,000.00 | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,500,000.00 | \$ 2,150,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,806,500.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,806,500.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91086 | NICE - Grant Match | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,700,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,930,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 6,930,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91087 | NICE - Grant Match | \$ - | \$ 1,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,750,000.00 | \$ 1,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,980,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,980,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91088 | NICE - Matching Grant | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 7,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91091 | Nassau Hub Study | \$ 10,074,596.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,074,596.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,074,596.00 | \$ - | \$ 10,074,596.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91092 | County Wide Planning Initiative and Study | \$ 2,150,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,150,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,450,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,450,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 91200 | Bus Fleet Refurbishment | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 92029 | Veterans Memorial Coliseum Reuse EIS | \$ 8,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 8,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 8,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 8,100,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 92033 | Hub Development Fund | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 92034 | Baldwin Downtown Corridor Resiliency Study | \$ - | \$ 800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 800,000.00 | \$ 800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 800,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 800,000.00 | |
| 1 | General Capital | Transportation | 92035 | Nassau Hub Transit Initiative - Final Design | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 7,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 7,000,000.00 | |
| 2 | Building Consolidation Program | BCP | 90230 | County Office Campus Construction | \$ 119,400,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ##### | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (2,000,000.00) | \$ 121,400,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 121,400,000.00 | |
| 2 | Building Consolidation Program | BCP | 90230P | Police and Fire Communications Center | \$ 75,760,795.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 75,760,795.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (1,000,000.00) | \$ 76,760,795.00 | \$ - | \$ 76,760,795.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 30051 | SD2 Interceptor Corrosion Survey & Rehabilitation | \$ 6,510,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,510,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (250,000.00) | \$ 6,760,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 6,760,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 33991 | Health Dept Birches Sewage Collection System | \$ 14,959,931.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 14,959,931.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 14,959,931.00 | \$ - | \$ 14,959,931.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 33992 | Hempstead Harbor Sewer Study | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 10,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 10,000,000.00 | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 15,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 17,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 17,000,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 33993 | Seacliff Sewers | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 35101 | Lateral Sewer Repair | \$ 3,850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,850,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (150,000.00) | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 35107 | East Hills Pump Station Improvements | \$ 3,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,250,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 35109 | Force Mains/Pump Stations Long Beach | \$ 4,241,406.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 4,741,406.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,741,406.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,741,406.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 35110 | Force Mains/Pump Stations Cedarhurst/Lawrence | \$ 28,200,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 32,200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 32,200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 32,200,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 3P309 | Ray Street Pump Station Improvement | \$ 7,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 7,750,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 3P311 | Pump Station Rehabilitation | \$ 126,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ##### | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 50,000,000.00 | \$ 76,000,000.00 | \$ 50,000,000.00 | \$ 126,000,000.00 |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 3P312 | Pump Station Upgrades | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 21,250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 21,250,000.00 | \$ 22,250,000.00 | \$ 19,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 19,000,000.00 | \$ 16,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 16,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 35,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 57,250,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 98041 | SSW Motorized Equipment Replacement | \$ 4,700,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 4,950,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 5,700,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 5,950,000.00 | | |
| 3 | Resource District | Collection | 98042 | SSW Motorized Equipment Refurbishment | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ (4,300,000.00) | \$ 5,300,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,300,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Disposal | 31150 | Storm Water Outfall Improvements (Bay Park & Cedar Creek) | \$ 2,125,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,125,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (87,830,000.00) | \$ 89,955,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 89,955,000.00 |
| 3 | Resource District | Disposal | 35100 | Bay Park & Cedar Creek Digester Rehabilitation | \$ 40,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 40,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 40,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 40,000,000.00 |
| 3 | Resource District | Disposal | 35102 | SSW Buildings Roof Repair | \$ 3,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,500,000.00 | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,000,000.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Disposal | 35108 | SSW Building Improvements | \$ 4,300,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 4,550,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ (1,450,000.00) | \$ 7,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 7,000,000.00 | | |
| 3 | Resource District | Disposal | 35113 | Bay Park Total Residual Chlorine Improvement | \$ 5,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,750,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (5,048,734.00) | \$ 10,798,734.00 | \$ - | \$ 10,798,734.00 | |
| 3 | Resource District | Disposal | 35114 | Wastewater Facilities Improvements | \$ 43,155,00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| OrderPri ority | Main | Category | Project Number | Formatted Project Title | Old Cumulative Budget | 2015 Debt | 2015 Non County | 2015 | Cumulative Budget | 2016 Debt | 2016 Non County | 2016 | 2017 Debt | 2017 Non County | 2017 | 2018 Debt | 2018 Non County | 2018 | 2019 Debt | 2019 Non County | 2019 | FY2016-19 | Check | Total_Auth | New_Auth_Req | Lifetime TotalA | |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 35103 | Various County Parks Pond/Bulkhead Replacement | \$ 1,187,500.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,187,500.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (650,000.00) | \$ 1,837,500.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,837,500.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 35104 | Whitney Drain Rehabilitation | \$ 3,300,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,300,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,300,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 3,300,000.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 35106 | Rehabilitation of Various Public Works Waterbodies | \$ 11,758,467.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,758,467.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,758,467.00 | \$ - | \$ 11,758,467.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 35112 | Mosquito Control Plan | \$ 1,075,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,075,000.00 | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,275,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,275,000.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 60046 | Fencing at Drainage Facilities Replacement | \$ 600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 600,000.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 80014 | Massapequa Creek Stream Flow Improvement | \$ 10,251,641.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,251,641.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,251,641.00 | \$ - | \$ 10,251,641.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 80016 | Stream and Wetlands Restoration | \$ 3,715,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,715,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (785,000.00) | \$ 4,500,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 4,500,000.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 80019 | Storm Water Pump Stations Construction | \$ 6,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,100,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 6,100,000.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 80042 | Groundwater Studies | \$ 1,125,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,125,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,125,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,125,000.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82001 | Drainage Stream Corridors Reconstruction | \$ 7,798,734.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 7,798,734.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 10,798,734.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 11,798,734.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82008 | Rehabilitation of Storm Water Basins | \$ 11,465,326.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,465,326.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 4,000,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 14,465,326.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 15,465,326.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82009 | Drainage Facilities Sidewalk Rehabilitation | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,600,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,600,000.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82010 | Implementation of Storm Water Management Program | \$ 5,711,449.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 5,961,449.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 6,711,449.00 | \$ 250,000.00 | \$ 6,961,449.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82011 | Storm Water Pump Station Upgrade | \$ 650,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 650,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 650,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 650,000.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82014 | Horse Brook Drainage Improvements | \$ 2,000,000.00 | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ 7,000,000.00 | \$ 10,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 10,000,000.00 | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 5,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 15,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 22,000,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 22,000,000.00 |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82015 | Five Towns Drainage Improvements | \$ - | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 1,085,575.00 | \$ 1,135,575.00 | \$ 1,135,575.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (70,809.00) | \$ 1,206,384.00 | \$ - | \$ 1,206,384.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82016 | Barnum Island/Harbor Isle Drainage Improvements | \$ - | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 5,900,000.00 | \$ 5,950,000.00 | \$ 5,950,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 5,900,000.00 | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 5,950,000.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82017 | Bay Park/ East Rockaway Drainage Improvemtns | \$ - | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 3,380,000.00 | \$ 3,430,000.00 | \$ 3,430,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 3,380,000.00 | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 3,430,000.00 | |
| 3 | Sewer and Storm Water Resource District | Storm Water | 82018 | Lawson Avenue, East Rockway Backflow Prevention | \$ - | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 150,000.00 | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 150,000.00 | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 200,000.00 | |
| 5 | Environmental Bond Act | Environmental Bond Act | 9E100 | Environmental Bond Act - 2004 | \$ 51,525,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 51,525,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 51,525,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 51,525,000.00 | |
| 5 | Environmental Bond Act | Environmental Bond Act | 9E200 | Environmental Bond Act - 2006 | \$ 102,146,500.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | ##### | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 102,146,500.00 | \$ - | \$ 102,146,500.00 | |

| Main | Project Number | Project | PPU |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|-----|
| General Capital | 97113 | Department Technology Equipment Replacement | 5 |
| General Capital | 97121 | ADAPT | 5 |

| LFL | SEQRA | 6 NYCRR | Amount | |
|------------|---------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| 11.00.a.32 | TYPE II | 617.5(c)(25) | \$ | 1,000,000.00 |
| 11.00.a.32 | TYPE II | 617.5(c)(25) | | 2000000 |

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 63 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated May 4, 2016 addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 404,500 | New York State Division of Criminal Justice | GRT | PD | AA | 365,000 |
| | | GRT | PD | BB | 38,000 |
| | | GRT | PD | DD | 1,500 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 62 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Social Services.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated, May 4, 2016, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 325,000 | NYS Education Department | GRT | SS | DE | 325,000 |
| | | | | | |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part

617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 61-2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the District Attorney's Office.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016 addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 83,744 | Successful Forfeiture Cases Resolved | GRT | DA | BB | 2,204 |
| | | GRT | DA | DE | 702 |
| | | GRT | DA | AA | 55,560 |
| | | GRT | DA | AB | 7,940 |
| | | GRT | DA | DE | 17,338 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing

Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 60 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Nassau County Department of Probation.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 316,245 | New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services/Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives | GRT | PB | AA | 152,000 |
| | | GRT | PB | AB | 126,463 |
| | | GRT | PB | DE | 37,782 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing

Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 59 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Office of Housing and Community Development.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 53,101 | US Department of Housing & Urban Development | GRT | HI | AA | 5,310 |
| | | GRT | HI | DE | 47,791 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 58 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Public Works.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 18, 2016 addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Grant Index #: REGRT90X1FSA | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 52,175,599 | Federal Transit Administration | GRT | RE | AA | 218,366 |
| | | GRT | RE | AB | 89,792 |
| | | GRT | RE | DE | 8,691,842 |
| | | GRT | RE | LB | 6,000,000 |
| | | GRT | RE | DE | 18,000,000 |
| | | GRT | RE | DE | 12,250,000 |
| | | GRT | RE | DE | 2,050,000 |
| | | GRT | RE | DE | 558,151 |
| | New York State Department of Transportation | GRT | RE | DE | 4,317,448 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing

Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 57 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the General Fund and a transfer of appropriations.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated May 2, 2016 addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, in the heretofore mentioned communication, addressed to the County Legislature, has also advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2016; and

WHEREAS, these transfer and supplemental appropriations has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 10,000,000 | General Fund from Debt Service Chargeback Savings | LIT | BU | OO | 10,000,000 |

§ 2. There is hereby a transfer of appropriations within the budget year 2016 which is known as BT-12 and will be as follows:

| | CODE | DESCRIPTION | AMOUNT |
|------|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| FROM | BU-GEN-1700-HD59F | General Fund – Debt Service Chargebacks | \$ 10,000,000 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| TO | BU-GEN-1100-L3333 | General Fund – Transfer to Litigation Fund | \$ 10,000,000 |
| | | | |

§3. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 4. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 56-2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the District Attorney's Office.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016 addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 115,370 | New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services | GRT | DA | AA | 20,000 |
| | | GRT | DA | DD | 1,100 |
| | | GRT | DA | DE | 94,270 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 55 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Health.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 615,196 | Health Research, Inc. | GRT | HE | AA | 437,978 |
| | | GRT | HE | AB | 161,007 |
| | | GRT | HE | DD | 10,000 |
| | | GRT | HE | HH | 6,211 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 54-2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Office of Housing and Community Development.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 1,114,792 | US Department of Housing & Urban Development | GRT | HI | AA | 80,000 |
| | | GRT | HI | AB | 31,478 |
| | | GRT | HI | DE | 1,003,314 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 53 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Health.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 75,000 | New York State Department of Health | GRT | HE | AA | 54,271 |
| | | GRT | HE | AB | 19,854 |
| | | GRT | HE | HH | 875 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 52 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Health.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 297,646 | New York State Department of Health | GRT | HE | AA | 217,266 |
| | | GRT | HE | AB | 79,380 |
| | | GRT | HE | DD | 1,000 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 51 –2016

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Toxicology / Medical Examiner.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 15, 2016, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

| <u>TOTAL AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) | <u>SOURCE OF FUNDS</u> | <u>APPROPRIATED TO:</u> | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | <u>FUND</u> | <u>DEPT. CODE/Index</u> | <u>OBJ. CODE</u> | <u>AMOUNT</u> (in dollars) |
| 17,000 | New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services | GRT | ME | DD | 5,565.50 |
| | | GRT | ME | AA | 11,434.50 |

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

§ 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part

617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. (“routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment”), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 50- 2016

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE 543-1995, AS AMENDED, TO
AUTHORIZE ADDITIONAL PAY FOR CERTAIN ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

WHEREAS the District Attorney has previously established an Early Case Assessment Bureau (ECAB), within the Office of the District Attorney to receive criminal complaints that are instituted by police officers within the County; and

WHEREAS Assistant District Attorneys assigned to ECAB are required to work evenings, nights, weekends and holidays in addition to their usual weekday schedules;

WHEREAS the District Attorney wishes to encourage additional Assistant District Attorneys to devote additional shifts to ECAB to address the increased case volume and to maintain accessibility to the Office of the District Attorney at all times for victims and law enforcement; now, therefore

BE IT ORDAINED , by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau as follows::

Section 1. Subsection 3.5 of Ordinance No. 543-1995, as such Ordinance has been amended by Ordinance No. 26-1998, Ordinance No. 243-1999, Ordinance No. 46-c-2000, Ordinance No. 126-a-2000; and Ordinance No. 4-2002, is amended designating the first undesignated subdivision of subsection 3.5 as subdivision 1 and by amending subdivision 2 to subsection 3.5 to read as follows:

2. Assistant District Attorneys may receive hourly payment for additional time worked in

the Early Case Assessment Bureau (ECAB) in excess of their regularly scheduled full-time work week. Such hourly payment shall be calculated in accordance with the following two tiers as designated below:

Tier One constitutes the following hours:

- a) 6:00pm to Midnight Monday through Friday; and
- b) 9:00am to Midnight on Saturdays and Sundays; and

Tier Two constitutes the following hours:

- a) Midnight to 9:00am every night of the week; and
- b) All County Holidays

During Tier One hours, ADAs are to be paid at a rate of \$42.00 per hour.

During Tier Two hours, ADAs are to be paid at a rate of \$50.00 per hour.

§2. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the adoption of this local law is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) and (27) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 49-2016

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE 76-2000 RELATING TO THE
ESTABLISHMENT OF VARIOUS FEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

WHEREAS, the County desires to clarify the appropriate fee schedule to be applied when the County has entered into a contract of sale of real property to a not-for-profit organization prior to a change in the fee schedule and where the County had knowledge that the not-for-profit organization intended to construct a building for the public benefit, therefore

BE IT ORDAINED, by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau as follows:

Section 1: Section 8 of Ordinance No. 76-2000, relating to the establishment of various fees for the Department of Public Works, as last amended by Ordinance No. 176-2015, is amended as follows:

8. The Commissioner of Public Works is hereby authorized to charge a fee of One Thousand Five Hundred dollars (\$1,500) to review applications for building permits pursuant to Section 239-f of the General Municipal Law that are forwarded by the various towns, cities and incorporated villages. The fee shall be waived for an application filed where the anticipated cost of construction is less than twenty five thousand dollars (\$25,000). A fee of Seven Hundred and Forty dollars (\$740) shall be charged for a re-review of a previously rejected application for which a fee was required. If an application is made for a parcel that differs from the prior proposed use, a fee of seven hundred and fifty dollars (\$750) shall be charged. If the value of construction estimate is greater than two hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) and is not a major subdivision defined by §334a of the Real Property Law in addition to the base initial fee of One Thousand Five Hundred dollars (\$1,500) the applicant will be required

to pay three quarters of a percent (.75%) of the estimated construction value.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, any fees due and owed by a not-for-profit organization for any review of applications for building permits pursuant to this subdivision related to any parcel of real property sold to said not-for-profit by the County, shall be limited to such fees in effect on the date the County Legislature approved the contract of sale of such County real property to such not-for-profit organization, provided such approval date occurred after 2014.

§ 2. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the adoption of this local law is a “Type II” Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) and (27) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. - 2016

BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS SPECIFIED HEREIN WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AUTHORIZING \$11,600,000 OF BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO FINANCE SAID EXPENDITURE, AND MAKING CERTAIN DETERMINATIONS PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT, PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW OF NEW YORK AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY.

WHEREAS, this bond ordinance is necessary to authorize bonds for the purpose of funding projects contemplated by capital budgets as provided in Section 310 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, all necessary Federal, State, County and local permits, approvals and determinations of environmental impact for the purpose or purposes hereinafter referred to have been obtained or will have been obtained prior to the expenditure of proceeds of obligations to be authorized pursuant to this ordinance; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQRA”), 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County that each Project identified on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein as “Type II” under the heading “SEQRA Determination” is a “Type II Action” within the meaning of Section 617.5(c) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required. It is further hereby determined pursuant to the provisions of SEQRA, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County that each Project identified as an “Unlisted” under the heading “SEQRA Determination” on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein, has been determined

not to have a significant effect on the environment. A record of each such determination shall be maintained in a file, readily accessible to the public, at the office of the Clerk of the Legislature.

Section 2. A capital expenditure for financing the cost of the objects or purposes identified under the heading "Project" on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein, in the County of Nassau, is hereby authorized upon recommendation of the County Executive and by a two-thirds vote of the voting strength of the County Legislature, the amount of such capital expenditure to be \$11,600,000, which shall be financed with the proceeds from the issuance of \$11,600,000 of bonds.

Section 3. The County of Nassau (the "County") may issue its bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$11,600,000 pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York (the "LFL" or "Law") in order to finance the specific objects or purposes or classes of objects or purposes (hereinafter referred to as the "Purpose") described in Section 2 hereof.

Section 4. The County Legislature has determined and hereby states that the estimated aggregate maximum cost of such Purpose, including preliminary costs and costs incidental thereto and the financing thereof, is \$11,600,000. The maximum estimated cost of each component Project of the Purpose is set forth under the heading "Amount" on Appendix A attached hereto. Each project amount listed on said Appendix A is specific to that project and may not be shifted between projects(s) without thirteen affirmative votes. The plan of financing, as set forth in capital budgets and capital plans, includes \$11,600,000 to be raised by the issuance of bonds authorized by this ordinance, and the levy and collection of taxes on all the taxable real property of the County to pay the principal of said bonds and the interest thereon as the same shall become due and payable.

Section 5. The County Legislature hereby determines that the specific periods of probable usefulness (each, a "PPU") of each component Project of the Purpose for which said \$11,600,000 of bonds authorized pursuant to this ordinance are to be issued, within the limitations of the applicable subdivision of paragraph a. of Section 11.00 of the Law identified under the heading "PPU LFL Section(s)" on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein, are identified under the heading "PPU" on said Appendix A. The County Executive is hereby authorized and directed to make any necessary or desirable changes on Appendix A hereto to conform it to the Capital Budget and Capital Plan approved concurrently herewith.

Section 6. Each of the bonds authorized by this ordinance and any bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of the sale of said bonds shall contain the recital of validity as prescribed by Section 52.00 of the Law and said bonds and any notes issued in anticipation of said bonds, shall be general obligations of the County, payable as to both principal and interest by general tax upon all the taxable real property within the County without limitation of rate or amount. The faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of the principal of and interest on said bonds and any notes issued in anticipation of the sale of said bonds and provision shall be made annually in the budget of the County by appropriation for (a) the amortization and redemption of said bonds and any notes issued in anticipation thereof to mature in such year and (b) the payment of interest to be due and payable in such year.

Section 7. Subject to the terms and conditions of this ordinance and the Law, and pursuant to the provisions of Section 21.00, Section 30.00, Section 50.00, Sections 56.00 to 60.00 and Section 63.00 of the Law, the powers and duties of the County Legislature relative to authorizing bond anticipation notes and the renewals thereof, determining whether to issue bonds with substantially level or declining annual debt service, prescribing the terms, form and contents of the bonds herein authorized, bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of said bonds and the renewals thereof, and any other powers or duties pertaining to or incidental to the sale and issuance of the bonds herein authorized and of any bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of said bonds and the renewals thereof, are hereby delegated to the County Treasurer, the chief fiscal officer of the County.

Section 8. The County Treasurer is hereby authorized to cause such bonds and/or bond anticipation notes to be printed and to do such things as may be necessary to provide for the sale of such bonds and/or bond anticipation notes and to employ bond counsel to furnish to the purchaser or purchasers of such obligations an opinion as to their legality.

Section 9. The validity of any County bonds authorized by this ordinance and any County bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of the sale of said bonds, may be contested only if:

- (a) such obligations are authorized for an object or purpose for which the County is not authorized to expend money, or
- (b) the provisions of law which should be complied with at the date of the publication of this ordinance, or summary thereof, are not substantially

complied with, and an action, suit or proceeding contesting such validity, is commenced within twenty days after the date of such publication; or

- (c) such obligations are authorized in violation of the provisions of the constitution of the State of New York.

Section 10. This ordinance shall constitute a statement of official intent for purposes of Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2. Other than as set forth in this ordinance, no monies are, or are reasonably expected to be, reserved, allocated on a long-term basis, or otherwise set aside with respect to the permanent funding of the objects or purposes described herein.

Section 11. The Clerk of the County Legislature is hereby directed to publish this ordinance in full, or a summary thereof, together with a notice in substantially the form prescribed by Section 81.00 of the Law in the official newspaper of the County.

§ 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

APPENDIX A

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. - 2016

BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS SPECIFIED HEREIN WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AUTHORIZING \$3,000,000 OF BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO FINANCE SAID EXPENDITURE, AND MAKING CERTAIN DETERMINATIONS PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT, PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW OF NEW YORK AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY.

WHEREAS, this bond ordinance is necessary to authorize bonds for the purpose of funding projects contemplated by capital budgets as provided in Section 310 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, all necessary Federal, State, County and local permits, approvals and determinations of environmental impact for the purpose or purposes hereinafter referred to have been obtained or will have been obtained prior to the expenditure of proceeds of obligations to be authorized pursuant to this ordinance; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQRA”), 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County that each Project identified on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein as “Type II” under the heading “SEQRA Determination” is a “Type II Action” within the meaning of Section 617.5(c) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required. It is further hereby determined pursuant to the provisions of SEQRA, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of

Nassau County that each Project identified as an “Unlisted” under the heading “SEQRA Determination” on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein, has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment. A record of each such determination shall be maintained in a file, readily accessible to the public, at the office of the Clerk of the Legislature.

Section 2. A capital expenditure for financing the cost of the objects or purposes identified under the heading “Project” on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein, in the County of Nassau, is hereby authorized upon recommendation of the County Executive and by a two-thirds vote of the voting strength of the County Legislature, the amount of such capital expenditure to be \$3,000,000, which shall be financed with the proceeds from the issuance of \$3,000,000 of bonds.

Section 3. The County of Nassau (the "County") may issue its bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$3,000,000 pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York (the “LFL” or "Law") in order to finance the specific objects or purposes or classes of objects or purposes (hereinafter referred to as the “Purpose”) described in Section 2 hereof.

Section 4. The County Legislature has determined and hereby states that the estimated aggregate maximum cost of such Purpose, including preliminary costs and costs incidental thereto and the financing thereof, is \$3,000,000. The maximum estimated cost of each component Project of the Purpose is set forth under the heading “Amount” on Appendix A attached hereto. Each project amount listed on said Appendix A is specific to that project and may not be shifted between projects(s) without thirteen affirmative votes. The plan of financing, as set forth in capital budgets and capital plans, includes \$3,000,000 to be raised by the issuance of bonds authorized by this ordinance, and the levy and collection of taxes on all the taxable real property of the County to pay the principal of said bonds and the interest thereon as the same shall become due and payable.

Section 5. The County Legislature hereby determines that the specific periods of probable usefulness (each, a “PPU”) of each component Project of the Purpose for which said \$3,000,000 of bonds authorized pursuant to this ordinance are to be issued, within the limitations of the applicable subdivision of paragraph a. of Section 11.00 of the Law identified under the heading “LFL” on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein, are identified under the heading “PPU” on said Appendix A. The County Executive is

hereby authorized and directed to make any necessary or desirable changes on Appendix A hereto to conform it to the Capital Budget and Capital Plan approved concurrently herewith.

Section 6. Each of the bonds authorized by this ordinance and any bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of the sale of said bonds shall contain the recital of validity as prescribed by Section 52.00 of the Law and said bonds and any notes issued in anticipation of said bonds, shall be general obligations of the County, payable as to both principal and interest by general tax upon all the taxable real property within the County without limitation of rate or amount. The faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of the principal of and interest on said bonds and any notes issued in anticipation of the sale of said bonds and provision shall be made annually in the budget of the County by appropriation for (a) the amortization and redemption of said bonds and any notes issued in anticipation thereof to mature in such year and (b) the payment of interest to be due and payable in such year.

Section 7. Subject to the terms and conditions of this ordinance and the Law, and pursuant to the provisions of Section 21.00, Section 30.00, Section 50.00, Sections 56.00 to 60.00 and Section 63.00 of the Law, the powers and duties of the County Legislature relative to authorizing bond anticipation notes and the renewals thereof, determining whether to issue bonds with substantially level or declining annual debt service, prescribing the terms, form and contents of the bonds herein authorized, bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of said bonds and the renewals thereof, and any other powers or duties pertaining to or incidental to the sale and issuance of the bonds herein authorized and of any bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of said bonds and the renewals thereof, are hereby delegated to the County Treasurer, the chief fiscal officer of the County.

Section 8. The County Treasurer is hereby authorized to cause such bonds and/or bond anticipation notes to be printed and to do such things as may be necessary to provide for the sale of such bonds and/or bond anticipation notes and to employ bond counsel to furnish to the purchaser or purchasers of such obligations an opinion as to their legality.

Section 9. The validity of any County bonds authorized by this ordinance and any County bond anticipation notes issued in anticipation of the sale of said bonds, may be contested only if:

- (a) such obligations are authorized for an object or purpose for which the County is not authorized to expend money, or

- (b) the provisions of law which should be complied with at the date of the publication of this ordinance, or summary thereof, are not substantially complied with, and an action, suit or proceeding contesting such validity, is commenced within twenty days after the date of such publication; or
- (c) such obligations are authorized in violation of the provisions of the constitution of the State of New York.

Section 10. This ordinance shall constitute a statement of official intent for purposes of Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2. Other than as set forth in this ordinance, no monies are, or are reasonably expected to be, reserved, allocated on a long-term basis, or otherwise set aside with respect to the permanent funding of the objects or purposes described herein.

Section 11. The Clerk of the County Legislature is hereby directed to publish this ordinance in full, or a summary thereof, together with a notice in substantially the form prescribed by Section 81.00 of the Law in the official newspaper of the County.

§ 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

APPENDIX A

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 46 –2016

AN ORDINANCE to amend Ordinance No. 13-2016, adopting the Capital Budget for the year two thousand fourteen for the County of Nassau, corresponding to the first year of the four year Capital Plan, pursuant to the provisions of Section 310 of the County Government Law of Nassau County.

WHEREAS, on March 7, 2016, pursuant to Section 310 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, the Nassau County Legislature by Ordinance No. 13-2016 approved and adopted the Capital Budget (as amended, the “Capital Budget”) of the County of Nassau for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2016 and ending December 31, 2016; and

WHEREAS, on March 7, 2016, pursuant to Section 310 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, the Nassau County Legislature by Resolution No. 27-2016 approved and adopted the four-year Capital Plan for the County of Nassau for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2016 (the “Capital Plan”); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 310 of such County Government Law, the County Executive may propose, at any time subsequent to the passage of the ordinance approving the Capital Budget, an ordinance to amend the Capital Budget; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, based upon a review of the Capital Budget priorities by the Nassau County Department of Public Works, proposes that the amounts described herein be authorized in the Capital Budget for certain projects as hereinafter set forth in Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive has solicited the recommendations of the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of Legislative Budget Review with respect to the amendment to the Capital Budget contained in this ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive herein proposes changes as hereinafter described to the Capital Budget that provide for additional programs, projects or activities; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by County Legislature of the County of Nassau as follows:

Section 1. The Capital Budget, as adopted by Ordinance No. 13-2016, is amended as follows:

(i) under the column heading, "Technology", project title, "97113 Departmental Technology Equipment Replacement", the amount listed under the column heading "Cumulative Budget (Pre 2016 Budget)", shall read "\$10,650,000", the amount listed under the column heading "Expenditures Through 2015", shall read \$6,797,816", the amount listed under the column heading "Carry Forward", shall read "\$3,852,184", the amount listed under the column heading "2016 County Debt", shall read "\$2,000,000", the amount listed under the column heading "2016 County Self-Funding", shall read "\$0", the amount listed under the column heading "2016 Non-County", shall read "\$0" and the amount listed under the column heading, "2016 TOTAL", shall read "\$2,000,000;" and

(ii) under the column heading, "Technology", project title, "97121 ADAPT", the amount listed under the column heading "Cumulative Budget (Pre 2016 Budget)", shall read "\$6,500,000", the amount listed under the column heading "Expenditures Through 2015", shall read \$6,889,515", the amount listed under the column heading "Carry Forward", shall read "\$-389,515", the amount listed under the column heading "2016 County Debt", shall read "\$2,000,000", the amount listed under the column heading "2016 County Self-Funding", shall read "\$0", the amount listed under the column heading "2016 Non-County", shall read "\$0" and the amount listed under the column heading, "2016 TOTAL", shall read "\$2,000,000;" and

Section 2. It is hereby determined pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County that each Project identified on Appendix B attached hereto, if any, and incorporated herein as "Type II", if any, under the heading "SEQRA" is a "Type II Action" within the meaning of Section 617.5(c) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required. It is further hereby determined pursuant to the provisions of SEQRA, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County that each Project identified as "Type I" or "Unlisted" under the heading "SEQRA" on Appendix B attached hereto, if any, and incorporated herein, has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment. A record of each such determination shall be maintained in a file, readily accessible to the public, at the office of the Clerk of the Legislature.

Section 3. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
FIFTH MEETING
FIFTH MEETING OF 2016

MINEOLA, NEW YORK
MAY 23, 2016 1:00 P.M.

THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE IS COMMITTED TO MAKING ITS PUBLIC MEETING ACCESSIBLE TO INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES. IF, DUE TO A DISABILITY, YOU NEED AN ACCOMMODATION OR ASSISTANCE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC MEETING OR TO OBTAIN A COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE PUBLIC HEARING IN AN ALTERNATIVE FORMAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE AT 571-4252, OR THE NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE FOR THE PHYSICALLY CHALLENGED AT 227-7101 OR TDD TELEPHONE NO. 227-8989. AS PER THE NASSAU COUNTY FIRE MARSHAL'S OFFICE, THE PETER J. SCHMITT MEMORIAL LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER HAS A MAXIMUM OCCUPANCY OF 251 PEOPLE AND THE OUTER CHAMBER WHICH WILL STREAM THE MEETING LIVE, HAS A MAXIMUM OCCUPANCY OF 72. PASSES WILL BE DISTRIBUTED ON A FIRST COME FIRST SERVED BASIS BEGINNING ONE HALF HOUR BEFORE MEETING TIME.

EVERY LEGISLATIVE MEETING IS STREAMED LIVE ON
<http://www.nassaucountyny.gov/agencies/Legis/index.html>.

1. **HEARING ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2016**

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF NASSAU COUNTY IN RELATION TO THE PROHIBITION OF DISCRIMINATORY ANTI-COMPETITIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES BY COMPANIES THAT PARTICIPATE IN BOYCOTT DIVEST SANCTIONS ACTIVITIES. 160-16(LE)

2. **VOTE ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2016**

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF NASSAU COUNTY IN RELATION TO THE PROHIBITION OF DISCRIMINATORY ANTI-COMPETITIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES BY COMPANIES THAT PARTICIPATE IN BOYCOTT DIVEST SANCTIONS ACTIVITIES. 160-16(LE)

3. **RESOLUTION NO. 67-2016**

A RESOLUTION DECLARING A CAPITAL BUDGET EMERGENCY PURSUANT TO §310(D) OF THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 162-16(AT)

4. **ORDINANCE NO. 46-2016**

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 13-2016, ADOPTING THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND SIXTEEN FOR THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, CORRESPONDING TO THE FIRST YEAR OF THE FOUR-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 310 OF THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 159-16(PW)

5. **ORDINANCE NO. 47-2016**

A BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS SPECIFIED HEREIN WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AUTHORIZING \$3,000,000 OF BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO FINANCE SAID EXPENDITURE, AND MAKING CERTAIN DETERMINATIONS PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT, PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW OF NEW YORK AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 157-16(PW)

6. **ORDINANCE NO. 48-2016**

A BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS SPECIFIED HEREIN WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AUTHORIZING \$11,600,000 OF BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO FINANCE SAID EXPENDITURE, AND MAKING CERTAIN DETERMINATIONS PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT, PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW OF NEW YORK AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 158-16(PW)

7. **ORDINANCE NO. 49-2016**

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE 76-2000 RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF VARIOUS FEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. 99-16(CE)

8. **ORDINANCE NO. 50-2016**

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE 543-1995, AS AMENDED, TO AUTHORIZE ADDITIONAL PAY FOR CERTAIN ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS. 178-16(DA)

9. **ORDINANCE NO. 51-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF TOXICOLOGY/MEDICAL EXAMINER. 152-16(OMB)

10. **ORDINANCE NO. 52-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. 153-16(OMB)

11. **ORDINANCE NO. 53-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. 154-16(OMB)

12. **ORDINANCE NO. 54-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT. 155-16(OMB)

13. **ORDINANCE NO. 55-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. 163-16(OMB)

14. **ORDINANCE NO. 56-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. 164-16(OMB)

15. **ORDINANCE NO. 57-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE GENERAL FUND AND A TRANSFER
OF APPROPRIATIONS. 165-16(OMB)

16. **ORDINANCE NO. 58-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.
168-16(OMB)

17. **ORDINANCE NO. 59-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF HOUSING AND
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT. 169-16(OMB)

18. **ORDINANCE NO. 60-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT
OF PROBATION. 170-16(OMB)

19. **ORDINANCE NO. 61-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
171-16(OMB)

20. **ORDINANCE NO. 62-2016**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL
SERVICES. 185-16(OMB)

21.

ORDINANCE NO. 63-2016

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION
ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 186-16(OMB)

22.

RESOLUTION NO. 68-2016

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE
AND SETTLE THE CLAIM OF PLAINTIFF, JOSEPH MEDLIN, AS SET FORTH IN
THE ACTION ENTITLED JOSEPH MEDLIN V. COUNTY OF NASSAU AND
KENNETH C. PARKER, INDEX NO. 602194/2012 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY
LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE
NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 145-16(AT)

23.

RESOLUTION NO. 69-2016

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE
AND SETTLE THE CLAIM OF PLAINTIFF, LAURENCE FEDERICO, AS SET
FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED LAURENCE FEDERICO V. COUNTY OF
NASSAU AND THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY, INDEX NO. 4096/2014 PURSUANT
TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU
COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 146-16(AT)

24.

RESOLUTION NO. 70-2016

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE OF THE SURETY BOND AND
ESCROW DEPOSIT COVERING IMPROVEMENTS ON THE “MAP OF OCEAN
WATCH AT FREEPORT”, SITUATED IN FREEPORT, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD,
COUNTY OF NASSAU, NEW YORK. 172-16(PW/PL)

25.

RESOLUTION NO. 71-2016

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE OF THE SURETY BOND AND
ESCROW DEPOSIT COVERING IMPROVEMENTS ON THE “MAP OF AVALON
AT GARDEN CITY”, SITUATED IN UNIONDALE, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD,
COUNTY OF NASSAU, NEW YORK. 173-16(PW/PL)

26.

RESOLUTION NO. 72-2016

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS C131494, TO PROVIDE AN INTERCONNECTED SET OF PATHWAYS FOR RESIDENTS AND TOURISTS TO WALK, BIKE AND RIDE NEIGHBORHOOD ELECTRIC VEHICLES. 174-16(PW)

27.

RESOLUTION NO. 73-2016

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN A FEDERAL AID TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PROVIDING FOR EMERGENCY REPAIRS TO THE BAYVILLE BRIDGE OVER MILL NECK CREEK, BIN 330001-0, CAPITAL PROJECT 63029, PIN 0989.26 AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SAID FEDERAL AID TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 175-16(PW)

28.

RESOLUTION NO. 74-2016

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN A FEDERAL AID TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PROVIDING FOR EMERGENCY REPAIRS TO THE LONG BEACH BRIDGE OVER WRECK LEAD CHANNEL, BIN 330030-1 AND BIN 330030-2 CAPITAL PROJECT 63029, PIN 0989.27 AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SAID FEDERAL AID TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 176-16(PW)

29. **RESOLUTION NO. 75-2016**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN A FEDERAL AID TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PROVIDING FOR LONG ISLAND MOTOR PARKWAY MULTI-USE TRAIL, CAPITAL PROJECT 9E100-014, PIN 0760.98 AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SAID FEDERAL AID TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 187-16(PW)

30. **RESOLUTION NO. 76-2016**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND THE OYSTER BAY HISTORICAL SOCIETY. 149-16(PK)

31. **RESOLUTION NO. 77-2016**

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING MICHELE M. DARCY TO THE SEWER & STORM WATER FINANCE AUTHORITY ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE MINORITY LEADER, KEVAN ABRAHAMS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 1232-C OF THE PUBLIC AUTHORITIES LAW. 147-16(CE)

32. **RESOLUTION NO. 78-2016**

A RESOLUTION REAPPOINTING PETER J. CLINES TO THE SEWER & STORM WATER FINANCE AUTHORITY ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE MINORITY LEADER, KEVAN ABRAHAMS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 1232-C OF THE PUBLIC AUTHORITIES LAW. 148-16(CE)

33. **RESOLUTION NO. 79-2016**

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY A DONOR TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 156-16(PD)

34. **RESOLUTION NO. 80-2016**

A RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A WARRANT DIRECTING THE TREASURER OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PAY TO THE SUPERVISORS OF THE SEVERAL TOWNS AND TO THE TREASURERS OF THE SEVERAL VILLAGES AND CITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, THE SUMS AS APPORTIONED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE BASED ON A REPORT FILED BY THE COUNTY TREASURER AND THE COUNTY CLERK, SHOWING DEPOSITS FROM MORTGAGE TAXES FOR THE QUARTER BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2016 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2016; PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 161-16 (LE)

35. **RESOLUTION NO. 81-2016**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2016. 150-16(OMB)

36. **RESOLUTION NO. 82-2016**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2016. 151-16(OMB)

37. **RESOLUTION NO. 83-2016**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE TO CLOSE THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2015. 166-16(OMB)

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MAY BE UNTABLED

38. **ORDINANCE NO. 14-2016**

A BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS SPECIFIED HEREIN WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AUTHORIZING \$74,986,552 OF BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO FINANCE SAID EXPENDITURE, AND MAKING CERTAIN DETERMINATIONS PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

REVIEW ACT, PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW OF NEW YORK AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 49-16(PW)

39.

ORDINANCE NO. 15-2016

A BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS IDENTIFIED HEREIN WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AND AUTHORIZING \$164,283,342.78 OF BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO FINANCE SAID EXPENDITURE PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW OF NEW YORK AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 50-16(PW)

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Nassau County Executive has executed the following personal service contracts, copies of which are on file with the Office of the Clerk of the Nassau County Legislature. These contracts are listed for informational purposes only.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services-Office of Youth Services and Long Island Crisis Center, Inc. RE: Youth Development/Delinquency Prevention. \$122,020.00. ID# CQHS16000059.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services-Office of Youth Services and EAC, Inc. RE: Youth Development. \$39,970.00. ID# CQHS16000081.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services-Office of Youth Services and Circulo De La Hispanidad, Inc. RE: Youth Development/Delinquency Prevention. \$169,566.00. ID# CQHS16000079.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services, Office for the Aging and Jewish Association for Services for the Aged. RE: Home Delivered Meals. \$340,078.00. ID# CQHS16000023.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services, Office for the Aging and Family and Children's Association. RE: Counseling (SFC), HIICAP/MIPPA. \$315,797.00. ID# CQHS16000010.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services, Office for the Aging and New Horizons Counseling Center. RE: Social Adult Day Care. \$60,000.00. ID# CLHS15000055.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services, Office of Mental Health, CD & DDS and Wantagh UFSD. RE: Substance Abuse Treatment /Prevention. \$37,000.00. ID# CQHS15000200.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and North Shore Child and Family Guidance Association – Good Beginnings for Babies Program. RE: Parenting Skills. \$55,000.00. ID# CQHE16000001.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Social Services and North American Family Institute, Inc. (NAFI). RE: Preventive Services. \$536,368.00. ID# CLSS16000011.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Social Services and Education & Assistance Corporation. RE: HEAP & WRAP Programs. \$424,475.00. ID# CLSS16000015.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Social Services and Circulo de la Hispanidad, Inc. RE: Domestic Violence, Non-Resid. \$178,736.00. ID# CLSS16000007.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Social Services and Rosemarie Pierre-Louis. RE: Adult Foster Home. \$.03. ID# CQSS15000107.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Office of Community Development and Operation SPLASH. RE: CDBG. \$30,000.00. ID# CQHI15000067.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Office of Community Development and Hip Hop Youth Summit Council. RE: CDBG. \$25,000.00. ID# CQHI16000003.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Office of Community Development and Glory House Recovery. RE: ESG. \$15,500.00. ID# CQHI15000063.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Social Services and Forensic Psychology Consulting PLLC. RE: Psychological Evaluations. \$.01. ID# CLSS16000022.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Social Services and Family & Children's Association. RE: PINS Div. & Proj. Indepen. \$1,817,150.00. ID# CLSS16000014.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Social Services and Tutor Time Learning Centers, LLC. RE: Day Care Services. \$.01. ID# CQSS16000004.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of County Attorney and DiMascio & Associates, LLP. RE: Outside Counsel. \$24,900.00. ID# CLAT15000025.

**THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
WILL CONVENE NEXT
COMMITTEE MEETINGS**

MONDAY JUNE 6, 2016 at 1:00PM

AND

**FULL LEGISLATURE MEETING
MONDAY JUNE 20, 2016 at 1:00PM**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

FULL LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

NORMA GONSALVES,
Presiding Officer

1550 Franklin Avenue
Mineola, New York

Monday, May 23, 2016
1:10 P.M.

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3 NORMA GONSALVES, Presiding Officer

4 RICHARD NICOLELLO,
5 Deputy Presiding Officer6 HOWARD KOPEL,
7 Alternate Deputy Presiding Officer

8 STEVEN RHOADS

9 DENISE FORD

10 LAURA CURRAN

11 C. WILLIAM GAYLOR III

12 VINCENT MUSCARELLA

13 ELLEN BIRNBAUM

14 LAURA SCHAEFER

15 DONALD MACKENZIE

16 KEVAN ABRAHAMS,
17 Minority Leader

18 ROSE MARIE WALKER

19 DENNIS DUNNE

20 JUDITH JACOBS

21 JAMES KENNEDY

22 DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

23 CARRIE SOLAGES

24 SIELA BYNOE

25 MICHAEL PULITZER,
 Clerk of the Legislature

LIST OF SPEAKERS

| | | |
|----|--|--------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | KATY KLEIN..... | 19 |
| 5 | JOAN KLEIN..... | 19 |
| 6 | BRUCE GINSBURG..... | 23 |
| 7 | JAY ROSENBAUM..... | 26 |
| 8 | LEV FRIEDMAN..... | 28 |
| 9 | NOAH SCHWARTZ..... | 31 |
| 10 | JOSEF SILVERSTEIN..... | 36 |
| 11 | AVI ORLOW..... | 38 |
| 12 | CHARLES KLEIN..... | 42 |
| 13 | KEN GREENBERG..... | 44 |
| 14 | NOAM GILBOORD..... | 46 |
| 15 | AVI POSNICK..... | 52 |
| 16 | JEFFREY PRESS..... | 56 |
| 17 | SENATOR ALFONSE D'AMATO..... | 60 |
| 18 | SUPERVISOR JUDY BOSWORTH..... | 78 |
| 19 | ACTING COMMISSIONER THOMAS KRUMPTER... | 10, 85 |
| 20 | ROSANNA MASSARO..... | 96 |
| 21 | SUE AURIEMMA..... | 99 |
| 22 | PRESIDENT JAMES CARVER..... | 8, 103 |
| 23 | GLENN CICCONE..... | 110 |
| 24 | BRIAN HESSLE..... | 112 |
| 25 | | |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

LIST OF SPEAKERS

| | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| JOANNE BORDEN..... | 122 |
| MAYOR JEAN CELENDER..... | 125 |
| JERRY LARICCHIUTA..... | 138 |
| JACK MCCLOY..... | 151 |
| ROBERT KUGELMAN..... | 155 |
| JOHN BUDNICK..... | 158 |
| DANNY OOFLOOT..... | 161 |
| JEFF PERESS..... | 170 |
| CHRIS YANSICK..... | 178 |
| JOSH MEYER..... | 181 |

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: With
3 everyone in their seats, we will begin with
4 Dennis Dunne, please lead us in the Pledge
5 of Allegiance.

6 (Whereupon, the Pledge of
7 Allegiance was recited.)

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I will ask
9 the clerk to please call the roll.

10 CLERK PULITZER: Thank you,
11 Presiding Officer. Deputy Presiding Officer
12 Richard Nicolello?

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.

14 CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
15 Presiding Officer Howard Kopel?

16 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.

17 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Siela
18 Bynoe?

19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

20 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
21 Carrie Solages?

22 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.

23 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
24 Denise Ford?

25 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
3 Curran?
4 LEGISLATOR CURRAN: Here.
5 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
6 Vincent Muscarella?
7 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
8 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator C.
9 William Gaylor III?
10 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Here.
11 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Ellen
12 Birnbaum?
13 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
14 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia
15 DeRiggi-Whitton?
16 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
17 Here.
18 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator James
19 Kennedy?
20 LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
21 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
22 Schaefer?
23 LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
24 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
25 Dennis Dunne?

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.
3 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
4 Judith Jacobs?
5 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Here.
6 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
7 Rosemarie Walker?
8 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
9 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
10 Donald MacKenzie?
11 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Here.
12 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
13 Steven Rhoads?
14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
15 CLERK PULITZER: Minority Leader
16 Kevan Abrahams?
17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
18 CLERK PULITZER: Presiding Officer
19 Norma Gonsalves?
20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Present.
21 CLERK PULITZER: We have a
22 quorum.
23 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you
24 very much. We are going to go a little out
25 of order today because of the nature of the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 business that is before us. But we will do
3 the top cops first. And, so, Mr. President
4 of the PBA, Mr. Carver, please come to the
5 podium and introduce your top cops.

6 PRESIDENT CARVER: Good afternoon
7 everybody. I'm joined here today, the
8 police commissioner is behind me, members of
9 the police department.

10 Our top cop for the month of May
11 2016, there's several of them, including
12 some police medics, which just goes to show
13 you the teamwork that's together between the
14 police officers and the medics that are out
15 in the street every single day.

16 Will Police Officer Klowa, Rip,
17 Tate and Valella. The medics are Freeman,
18 Doquette and Supervisor Dupino.

19 On January 31st, 2016,
20 approximately 17:35 hours, a radio
21 assignment was broadcast for an aided
22 assignment located to the Seaford Middle
23 School.

24 The communications bureau
25 followed up with more details including that

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 a male serving as a referee for a basketball
3 game collapsed from an apparent heart attack
4 and was turning blue. Upon arrival, it was
5 determined that the male was in full cardiac
6 arrest.

7 The officers, working together
8 with the police medics, administered an AED
9 as well as CPR. They were able to
10 reestablish a pulse and stabilize the
11 patient.

12 Once on board the ambulance, the
13 assisting officers provided a full emergency
14 escort blocking off sections on their way up
15 to Winthrop Hospital, again, going from
16 Seaford up to Winthrop which is a great
17 heart hospital.

18 The aided was later identified as
19 Mr. Terry Twivill of Seaford and he is here
20 today. Mr. Twivill was subsequently treated
21 and has since made almost a full recovery
22 and he will talk about that.

23 For the dedication of duty, these
24 police officers and medics are our top cops
25 for the month of May. Great job again by

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 our police officers.

3 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

4 One of the things we're very proud of in the
5 Nassau County Police Department is the model
6 we use which is very unique in providing
7 aid, medical emergency aid, and in this
8 particular case, it just goes to show you
9 that it's the teamwork of the police
10 officers and EMTs working together that
11 saved this man's life.

12 It's not just about fighting
13 crime for Nassau Police Department, it's
14 about quality of life, it's about providing
15 that medical care when required.

16 We are probably the largest
17 department that runs an ambulance in this
18 way where in Nassau our ambulances are
19 answering somewhere in the neighborhood of
20 65, 70 percent of all ambulance calls.

21 We work together as a partnership
22 with the volunteer fire departments. It's
23 really a collaborative approach. Today I
24 would like to congratulate the AMTs, and I
25 would like to congratulate the officers

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 because of their quick actions because of
3 their skills. It resulted in this
4 individual's life being saved.

5 I would also like to thank the
6 legislators again for taking the time to
7 recognize the great work.

8 As you know and as you hear day
9 in and day out there are is no shortage of
10 great work being done by the men and women
11 of the Nassau County Police Department. So
12 thank you.

13 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Would you
14 like to speak about the individual that was
15 aided?

16 PRESIDENT CARVER: How do you
17 want to handle this?

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: It's up to
19 you.

20 PRESIDENT CARVER: The aided will
21 speak. He's right here.

22 MR. TWIVILL: Thank you very
23 much. It's very difficult to put in words
24 what I want to say. One of the gentleman
25 who helped in my aid asked me about a half

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 hour ago, are you tired of these? And I
3 told him, I'm never too tired to meet with
4 you guys once again. I will meet five
5 times, ten times a year, to thank each and
6 every one of you.

7 I was in a lot of trouble on
8 January 31st and because of these gentleman
9 and a couple of other people they came to my
10 aid immediately. I can't say enough about
11 AEDs and how important they are in any
12 public building, anywhere, restaurants. I'm
13 a big advocate now.

14 In the old expression of Lou
15 Gehrig, I feel like I'm the luckiest man on
16 the face of the earth. So, once again, I
17 can't thank each and every one of these
18 gentleman. I've had the opportunity a
19 couple of times and I certainly told them
20 any time they're on Clark Street in Seaford
21 they're welcome to knock on my door for
22 dinner. Thank you.

23 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We have a
24 citation for each of the individuals who
25 saved Mr. Twivill's life and, if you don't

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 mind, we are coming down to you instead of
3 you coming up to us. I think that would be
4 a good idea.

5 At this point I believe that you
6 are a Seaford resident, am I right?

7 MR. TWIVILL: That's right.

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I invite
9 anyone who represents that area to come down
10 and join me in taking a photo and the
11 Minority Leader as well.

12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Presiding
13 Officer, I just wanted to add, as a
14 volunteer fireman myself, for the last 24
15 years and nine years as a captain of an
16 engine rescue company, we can provide with
17 you the best training equipment, but
18 ultimately it comes down to the people when
19 seconds count who do the right thing at the
20 right time.

21 You will never do anything more
22 important than saving a life. I want to
23 offer, I'm sure on behalf all of us, our
24 heartfelt congratulations. You are true
25 heroes, and we appreciate everything that

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 you do for us every day.

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Colleagues,
4 take your seats again. We're going to move
5 on. There's going to be a lot of out of
6 order today primarily because of the nature
7 of the calendar and of course of the nature
8 of today's events. Please bear with me and
9 my colleagues to do the best we can to
10 accommodate each and every one of you.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Madam
12 Presiding Officer, before you proceed, I
13 just wanted to make sure you knew that
14 Supervisor Bosworth is here today and she's
15 looking forward to getting back to running
16 the Town of Hempstead.

17 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I
18 understand that.

19 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It would be
20 great if we are able to bring her up so she
21 can say her comments.

22 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I
23 understand. You notice all those young
24 people in there?

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I know, I

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 totally agree. I just believe that the BDS
3 hearing is something of tremendous value as
4 such what Supervisor Bosworth is here for,
5 but I believe Supervisor Bosworth will not
6 take much time in her presentation.

7 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Well, what
8 I'm going to do is, as I said, we have one
9 point of personal privilege which I will
10 call because of the nature of that personal
11 privilege, and then I'm going to ask to
12 spend the public comment period to go with
13 the first order of business on the calendar
14 which is the hearing on BDS, primarily
15 because there are a number of people here
16 who are on a timetable as well, Minority
17 Leader, and I don't know -- I did not expect
18 all of this today, but we have to adjust to
19 changes that are made.

20 So, Legislator Walker, please.

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let me make
22 sure I understand this correctly. We are
23 going to have a point of personal privilege,
24 then have the hearing on the BDS, and then
25 we're going to bring up the Supervisor of

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 the Town of North Hempstead last?

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Not last.

4 First in public comment.

5 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: First in
6 public comment but last after what you are
7 presenting?

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Yes.

9 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That makes
10 sense. It really doesn't, but all right.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Sometimes
12 we need to adjust, Minority Leader. I also
13 have Senator D'Amato here as well, Minority
14 Leader.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If Senator
16 D'Amato is here speaking on behalf of the
17 county, he should have -- -

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We are
19 taking up valuable time. Go on, Legislator
20 Walker.

21 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Thank you.
22 It really gives me great honor today and I'm
23 really glad we have a full house here.
24 Because I have a point of personal privilege
25 today, myself and Legislator Laura Schaefer,

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 and we are honoring a little girl named
3 Katherine Klein. It's all right if I call
4 you Katy or Kate? Kate is good. Kate is a
5 ten year old student attending Central
6 Boulevard Elementary School in Bethpage.
7 Kate has a sibling who has autism and she is
8 an awareness entrepreneur.

9 Kate has raised thousands of
10 dollars for the nonprofit, profit Autism
11 Speaks through various efforts including a
12 homemade lemonade stand, t-shirt sales, and
13 participation in the 16th annual Walk Now
14 for Autism speaks at Jones Beach. Her
15 efforts have been inspired by her brother
16 Michael who is eight. I love doing it for
17 my brother, Kate said. When you look at
18 someone, you can't tell they have autism.
19 So I like making people more aware.

20 She raised more than \$8,000
21 through the autism walk by soliciting
22 scholarships based on her participation and
23 requesting donations in lieu of gifts for
24 her birthday which was on September 2nd.

25 Her awareness efforts included

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 distributing more than 500 blue glow sticks
3 to Central Boulevard pupils in honor of
4 World Autism Awareness Day, and the travels
5 of the blue gnome, which I have a blue gnome
6 here from Katy, who visited our legislative
7 chambers last month can be seen on Facebook.
8 The widespread travels effectively united an
9 entire community. Katy has painted the
10 whole Bethpage community blue.

11 She is currently in the midst of
12 securing non-profit status for Piece by
13 Piece, a campaign she started for autism
14 awareness. Kate, a Girl Scout, is in her
15 school's math, fitness and technology clubs.
16 She also participates in building leaders a
17 group in which older kids mentor younger
18 children with special needs.

19 Joining Kate today is her mom
20 Joan, her grandparents, Maggie and Ray
21 Shelton. And Ray is a 35 year member
22 retired now from the Nassau County Police
23 Department. And her principal Steve Fury,
24 and her teacher Ms. Rob. I'm going to ask
25 us all join by the podium and maybe Katy

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 would like to say a few words.

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Go ahead,
4 Katy. We will hear you. Tell us about what
5 you did to raise this \$8,000.

6 KATY KLEIN: I had a T-shirt
7 sale. I have multiple lemonade stands and
8 then people donated to my team for the 2016
9 autism walk. I had an event at St. Martin's
10 to help raise awareness.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Good job,
12 Kate.

13 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Her mom is
14 just going to say a few words.

15 MS. KLEIN: Kate is very grateful
16 for this honor. We thank everyone here for
17 this. It's been a long time coming. She's
18 been working on autism awareness since the
19 first grade. It all started from her heart
20 for the love for her brother and each year
21 it's grown and the Bethpage community has
22 just really wrapped their arms around her
23 and made it bigger and better every year.
24 So we are so proud of Kate and everything
25 she has done and we thank you for this honor

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 today.

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Now,
4 Mr. Clerk, I'm going to ask you to call the
5 first item on the calendar which is the
6 hearing on the BDS.

7 CLERK PULITZER: BDS Item Number
8 One on the calendar is a hearing on the
9 proposed local law to amend the
10 administrative code of Nassau County in
11 relation to the prohibition of
12 discriminatory anti-competitive business
13 practices by companies that participate in
14 boycott divest sanction activities.

15 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: A motion
16 to open the hearing.

17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.

18 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.

19 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
20 Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator
21 Dunne. All those in favor of opening the
22 hearing signify by saying aye.

23 (Aye.)

24 Any opposed?

25 (No verbal response.)

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 The hearing is now open. I have
3 several speakers. I'm going to ask
4 Legislator Kopel to begin.

5 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you.
6 First of all, we thank you, most of you who
7 are here for this item, we thank you for
8 coming.

9 We have a number of esteemed
10 rabbis, local community rabbis, and students
11 I see that we have virtually the entire
12 student body of the Rambam school. Rambam
13 is an acronym, a Hebrew acronym for
14 Maimonides who is a philosopher and doctor
15 physician who lived about a thousand years
16 ago. It's a very appropriate thing.

17 Now, this legislation is intended
18 to, and you will be happy to hear that I'm
19 not going to go through my entire speech as
20 earlier, but, very simply, the legislation
21 is intended to have the County of Nassau
22 avoid dealing with any organization with any
23 business or any organization that refuses to
24 deal with the state of Israel, actively
25 boycotts the state of Israel, and is a

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 member of the so-called BDS movement, which
3 is at its heart, an anti Israel, not an anti
4 occupation movement, it's an anti Israel
5 movement saying that the destruction of the
6 state of Israel and it's an Anti-Semitic
7 movement. If you look at the leaders, and
8 I'm sure we will hear more about that from
9 the speakers.

10 The students here are going to
11 get a little bit of a lesson in civics. I
12 commend all of you and especially the
13 principal for even for having brought you
14 here today. You get to see a little bit of
15 how the proverbial sausage gets made and of
16 course today it's kosher sausage, imitation.

17 The Presiding Officer suggests
18 quite correctly, can we have all of you
19 students and faculty members of Rambam,
20 please just stand and show yourselves.
21 Thank you. It's very gratifying. And we're
22 going to go through a number of speakers.

23 First we're going to call on
24 Rabbi Ginsburg and his congregation
25 subdivisional in Woodmere.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 RABBI GINSBURG: Thank you for
3 inviting me here today to speak, on the
4 occasion of the stand that you've taken. I
5 needn't explain to most of those people
6 behind me, that in synagogues throughout the
7 diaspora today, Jews did recite a verse from
8 the Book of Leviticus which is a verse that
9 virtually that any American public student
10 knows, no matter what religious heritage or
11 ethnic he or she belongs to. That verse is
12 proclaim liberty throughout the land to all
13 of the inhabitants thereof.

14 The reason this should be a
15 familiar verse is of course it's inscribed
16 on the Liberty Bell situated just before
17 Independence Hall. Americans going back to
18 the time of our founding father's identified
19 with this verse because they believe the
20 people should live free of tyranny.

21 If this is true for each and
22 every American, this is certainly true in
23 terms of the Jewish people, who for a good
24 couple of thousand of years were relegated
25 to second class citizenship throughout the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 world.

3 And, finally, at a time of some
4 of the people living today, and some people
5 in this room saw for the first time in such
6 a long time freedom, the ability to
7 establish yet another commonwealth on
8 ancestral soil.

9 We take a look at the Jewish
10 people returning to the land of Israel and
11 we ask the question in terms of virtually
12 any other nation on earth, where else can
13 you find people dwelling in the same land,
14 tilling the same soil, speaking the same
15 language, observing the same holidays,
16 adhering to the same commandments and
17 holding the same text sacred that its
18 ancestors did some three thousand years ago?

19 Yet, the worldwide BDS movement
20 would take that away from these decedents.
21 They legitimize not a particular policy of
22 any government in Israel, but the very
23 existence and legitimacy of Israel itself.
24 One they say should be obvious, Americans of
25 whatever religious background would

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 understand the danger of BDS, not only for
3 Israel, but for all freedom loving people
4 everywhere.

5 One could say it would only be
6 obvious to recognize that Israel is a beacon
7 of democracy in an area of the world that is
8 very often shrouded in darkness. An area
9 that so many people are fleeing from because
10 of the dangers that are there.

11 Some may say in the United States
12 of America it's obvious that Israel is one
13 of America's best allies in the whole world.

14 But, to tell you the truth, given
15 the tenor of so much conversation even among
16 enlightened western Europe, it gives all of
17 us who care about the state of Israel, a
18 great sense of gratification, encouragement
19 and hope when we see states throughout this
20 great country of ours understand the danger
21 and adopt the position you have today.

22 So, on behalf of not only the
23 Jewish community, but freedom loving people
24 everywhere, I thank each and every one of
25 you who have taken this stand and, God

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 willing, it will be good for all mankind in
3 the future. Thank you.

4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you,
5 Rabbi Ginsburg.

6 I should have mentioned a little
7 earlier, we are going to try to limit
8 statements, each of us, to about three
9 minutes please. Otherwise we'll be here a
10 while. I understand that the school has to
11 leave soon.

12 So, in that case, I would like to
13 call Rabbi Jay Rosenbaum.

14 RABBI ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Mr.
15 Kopel. It's an honor to be here. Not only
16 as a spiritual leader of the Temple Israel
17 of Lawrence, the oldest synagogue in Nassau
18 County, but also president of the North
19 American Board of Rabbis. We serve as
20 boards of rabbis across the United States.

21 BDS, Boycott Divest Sanction. I
22 don't think those letters mean that. To me,
23 BDS means bad divisive system. It's
24 aggressive. It's against the worker. It's
25 elitist is against the open exchange of

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 ideas across national, international
3 religious and ethnic lines. It promotes
4 fear instead of fraternity. It erects walls
5 rather than building bridges of
6 understanding. Embraces an ideology of war
7 rather than creating an atmosphere which
8 promotes the welfare of all. BDS, a bad
9 divisive system. A testament to the
10 teachings of the three Abrahamic states,
11 namely to treat the stranger as the home
12 born, the other, the non-Jew, the
13 non-Christian, the non-Muslim as the home
14 born for you as strangers in the land of
15 Egypt. It's against embracing this focus on
16 rejecting.

17 BDS is a contradiction to our
18 American values and the ideals we embrace in
19 this great hall of understanding, dialogue,
20 and government.

21 The ideals we embrace and cherish
22 which are part of the very soul of this
23 great community of Long Island. God bless
24 you and thank you for listening.

25 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Rabbi

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Friedman.

3 RABBI FRIEDMAN: Thank you for
4 this opportunity. I want to thank the
5 Nassau County Legislature for taking this
6 historic step. It's the first largest
7 county in the United States and the first to
8 pass this legislation and hopefully will
9 inspire others to do the same.

10 Specific thanks to the Presiding
11 Officer, Ms. Gonsalves, Legislator Kopel and
12 Legislator Rhoads who shared his office with
13 us and gave us some nourishment while we
14 were waiting.

15 I want to thank this opportunity
16 also to thank the life saving efforts of the
17 policemen we just honored and also Katy's
18 inspirational activities which shows you
19 just a handful of people can really make a
20 difference and I think that's really the
21 theme today.

22 We brought with us all of the
23 students in our school, Rambam Mesvita High
24 School because, as was mentioned, we think
25 it's an important lesson in civics and they

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 are the future of our people in the United
3 States to be leaders and we have to teach
4 them and inspire them to take a leadership
5 role as this panel is doing today.

6 Many of them may be Democrats or
7 Republican or Conservatives, or Liberals but
8 I think they're all united in one thing
9 which is speaking out against this insidious
10 effort called BDS. As I mentioned to the
11 media outside, to my mind, BDS stands for
12 blackmail, dishonesty and silence. It's
13 predicated in all three.

14 Blackmail in the sense that when
15 a company such as Reebok recently tried to
16 put together a sneaker to celebrate Israel's
17 68th Anniversary, they were quickly
18 bombarded with messages according to media
19 reports to divest and deny their activity.
20 They basically disavowed. They made a
21 politically neutral statement. We have
22 nothing to do with this, we don't politicize
23 anything even though the record shows that
24 they have had other speakers made
25 politicizing and celebrating Israel,

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 celebrating the United States or Germany or
3 England and it's really a shame that they're
4 under the threat of blackmail as are many
5 other multi national corporations.

6 D for dishonesty because,
7 although they allege to be a human rights
8 organization, they have not once spoken out
9 against the horrific crimes carried out by
10 Arab sympathizers who call themselves
11 Palestinians that will stab the mother of
12 five, not once have they spoken out against
13 those human rights violations or obviously
14 the human rights violations taking place in
15 Syria.

16 As for silence, because we know
17 that evil perpetrates and sprouts forth when
18 good people are silent. I think that's
19 exactly the mandate that we have today, that
20 Nassau County Legislature is taking a lead
21 in not being silent.

22 I am son of Holocaust survivors.
23 My parents went through Auschwitz death
24 marches, the worst of everything, and
25 unfortunately this happened because of that

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 time American population was silent.

3 I commend the Legislature for
4 speaking out and really hoping to do the
5 best in terms of stopping this insidious
6 threat which is not just to the state of
7 Israel but really to western values, our
8 values in our democracy. I'm proud to live
9 in Nassau County. I applaud your efforts
10 and certainly proud to be in the United
11 States. God Bless America. Thank you.

12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Noah Schwartz.

13 MR. SCHWARTZ: Throughout our
14 nation's history, we, as Americans, have
15 proven to the world and to ourselves, that
16 the United States is not afraid or rather
17 we're eager to take the initiative and stand
18 up for what we believe in.

19 When the Soviets were ravaging
20 through Asia and Europe, attempting to
21 spread communism globally, we stepped
22 multiple times attempting to put a stop to
23 the matters and protect the Democrat
24 principles we pride ourselves on.

25 In World War II, we fought not

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 only to protect ourselves, but to stand up
3 for our Democratic allies whose views we
4 share were under attack by Nazis and fascist
5 imperial Japan.

6 When we fought World War I, we
7 did so to ensure that we can trade and
8 communicate with our allies without both us
9 and them having to worry about threats
10 militarily or economically.

11 Today, ladies and gentlemen of
12 the Legislature, we, as Americans face a
13 similar foe. The Boycott Divestment and
14 Sanctions Movement, similarly, as our
15 adversaries have in the past, seeks to end
16 all trade and commerce by actively seeking
17 one nation states destruction. That very
18 state, which is targeted at the BDS
19 movement, is our greatest middle eastern
20 ally, Israel.

21 Israel is the one true Democracy
22 in the Middle East that offers full equality
23 for all of its citizens, offers fair
24 elections, and thrives on western
25 progressive values.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 By default, if a movement
3 contradicts Israel's values, it is
4 contradicting ours. We, as Americans, as a
5 county, cannot sit idly by as our greatest
6 ali in the Middle East is being threatened
7 on a global scale by an organization which
8 embodies the values of Jihadist terrorists,
9 fascists and Anti-Semites.

10 In fact, one of the leaders of
11 the BDS movement at Columbia University
12 dropped out of school in order to join
13 others who shared his radical organization's
14 views, Teris [sic] and Hezbollah, the
15 terrorist entity which targets Israel's
16 civilian populations all over the country.

17 Organizations which embody these
18 values should not be allowed to thrive in
19 our country. If we harbor them, we are just
20 as bad as they are.

21 Our local congressional
22 representatives feel similarly as
23 Congressman King and Congresswoman Rice have
24 both cosponsored House Bill 4514 commonly
25 known as the Combatting BDS Act of 2016.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 The act protects our right as a county to
3 disassociate contracts and pensions with any
4 entity that boycotts, divests or sanctions
5 Israeli goods.

6 We've been given this amazing
7 opportunity today as a county to end any
8 local affiliation with BDS and it's an
9 opportunity we must not hesitate to take.

10 By combatting BDS as a county and
11 being one of the first to do so in the
12 country, we have set the precedent for every
13 other community, county, city and state to
14 do the same.

15 By setting that precedent and
16 showing that leadership and ability to take
17 initiative, Nassau County would finally
18 become that city upon a highly that John
19 Winthrop, first Governor of Massachusetts
20 Bay Colony, envisioned his colony to be ever
21 so long ago.

22 So today, let's embody our
23 American values on a local level to counter
24 those who are the antitheses of Democracy
25 and all America and Israel stand for.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 By doing so, we will weaken the
3 BDS movement and move one step closer to
4 creating a climate that could lead to
5 peaceful coexistence.

6 On a more personal note, during
7 World War II, as the Nazis were making their
8 way through Europe, my grandparents lost
9 much extended family because the world
10 didn't act quickly enough.

11 Therefore today, I'm here to
12 ensure that this time around, the world
13 won't hesitate on major issues, but rather
14 will act with haste and zeal led by Nassau
15 County and America and knit this familiar
16 anti-Semitic foe in the butt.

17 On behalf of all my colleagues
18 present, I would like to sincerely thank
19 Presiding Officer Gonsalves, along with
20 Legislator Kopel and all the other members
21 of the Legislature for making this possible
22 and for letting us stand up for what we
23 believe in and being able to take part in
24 this very historic day. Thank you.

25 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Josef

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Silverstein.

3 MR. SILVERSTEIN: Good afternoon.

4 I would first like to thank the Legislature
5 for allowing myself and my peers the
6 opportunity to speak at such a monumental
7 event.

8 One of the major goals of the BDS
9 movement is recognizing the fundamental
10 rights of the Arab Palestinian citizens of
11 Israel to full equality.

12 Now, at first glance, this seems
13 like a very admirable pursuit; fighting for
14 the rights of a seemingly oppressed people.
15 However, one must simply take a look at the
16 facts to realize that this movement is
17 nothing virtuous and is, in fact, hugely
18 inconsistent.

19 An effort to grant Palestinians
20 basic human rights is definitely admirable,
21 however, in the Middle East, Israel will be
22 the last place for such an effort to take
23 place.

24 Take Jordan, for example, where
25 the government revokes the citizenship of

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 thousands of Palestinians or Lebannon, or
3 even today, hundreds of thousands of
4 Palestinians are banned by law from working
5 in a large number of jobs and aren't able to
6 acquire property, social security, or even
7 medical care. Even places like Egypt and
8 Syria along with several other Arab
9 countries continue to impose severe travel
10 restrictions on Palestinians.

11 This issue of Palestinian basic
12 human rights in the Middle East is
13 completely ignored by the BDS movement.
14 Which instead chooses to focus its efforts
15 on the one country in the Middle East where
16 all citizens including Israeli Arabs who
17 identify with the Palestinians are treated
18 equally under Democratic rule.

19 This double standard that BDS has
20 against Israel must be unmasked for what it
21 truly is, anti-Semitism not a fight for the
22 plight of others, not an endeavor for peace
23 between Israelis and Palestinians, nothing
24 short of the destruction of the state of
25 Israel will satisfy BDS.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 That is why the fight against BDS
3 is to critical for all freedom loving and
4 decent people. This is a movement that
5 specifically targets the only Jewish state.
6 It is eerily reminiscent of the Nazi boycott
7 against Jewish places of business and of
8 Jewish employees. No one spoke up then and
9 we all know what happened.

10 This time we must have the
11 courage to speak out and to take clear and
12 concrete action against this evil movement.
13 Thank you.

14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Avi Orlow.
15 Three minutes please everyone.

16 MR. ORLOW: Those who founded BDS
17 make no bones about it. Their objective is
18 not to treat a Palestinian state in the west
19 bank or in Gaza. Their stated goal is to
20 eliminate the Jewish state altogether.

21 As Omar Barghouti, one of the
22 founders of BDS has said, definitely, most
23 definitely, we oppose a Jewish state in any
24 part of Palestine. No Palestinian, rational
25 Palestinian, not a Cilad [sic] Palestinian

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 will ever accept a Jewish state in
3 Palestine.

4 Despite this, there are still
5 those that say BDS is not anti-Semitic.
6 It's merely anti-Zionist. They are against
7 Israel but not against the Jews.

8 In response to this, Charles
9 Krauthammer put it best, don't tell me this
10 is merely about Zionism. The roost is
11 transparent. Israel is the world's only
12 Jewish state. To apply to the state of the
13 Jews a double standard you apply to none
14 other, to judge one people the way you judge
15 no other, to single that one people for
16 condemnation and isolation is to engage in a
17 gross act of discrimination. And
18 discrimination against Jews has a name, it's
19 called anti-Semitism.

20 Harvard Law Professor Alan
21 Dershowitz compares the way that BDS
22 proponents single out Israel for its human
23 rights violations with the way Harvard
24 President A. Lawrence Lowell defended his
25 decision to impose anti Jewish quotas in the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 beginning of the 20th Century.

3 When asked why there should be a
4 quota on Jews, Lowell replied, Jews cheat.
5 When reminded that Christians cheat too, he
6 responded, you are changing the subject. We
7 are talking about Jews now. Natan
8 Sharansky, the famous Jewish refusnik from
9 the former Soviet Union, who went on to
10 become minister in Israeli government
11 created a test called the 3Ds for
12 determining when legitimate criticism
13 crosses the line into anti-Semitism.

14 The first D stand for double
15 standard, singling out Israel for criticism
16 while ignoring the more egregious behavior
17 of major human rights abusers in the Arab
18 world and Muslim world and beyond.

19 The second D stands for
20 deionization, distorting the Jewish state's
21 action by means of insidious and false
22 comparisons with the Nazis or South Africa's
23 apartheid view.

24 The third D stands for
25 delegitimization. When Israel's fundamental

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 right to exist is denied, alone among all
3 the nations in the world, this too is
4 anti-Semitism.

5 BDS fails every portion of this
6 3D test. It singles out Israel while
7 ignoring terrible Human Rights abuses by
8 Syria, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Hezbollah, Hamaas
9 and even the Palestinian authority. It
10 compares Israel the Nazis and to the South
11 African Apartheid, when Israeli Arabs are
12 the freest Arabs living in the Middle East.

13 As I explained earlier, it
14 outright denies Israeli's right to exist.

15 As freedom loving Americans, it
16 is our obligation to recognize BDS for what
17 it is, it is anti-Semitic. It promotes
18 hatred. It singles out one group for harsh
19 and discriminatory treatment and does this
20 to the only liberal freedom loving Democracy
21 in the Middle East. So the only country in
22 that region, in that region of the world
23 that truly shares American values.

24 As an American, I find this
25 offensive and I believe its our obligation

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 to do everything we can to fight BDS.

3 That is why I'm here to say thank
4 you to this Legislature. Thank you for
5 standing up for American values and for
6 doing your part to putting an end to BDS.

7 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Rabbi Charles
8 Klein.

9 RABBI KLEIN: Thank you. I am so
10 very grateful and I expressed before to
11 every member of this Legislature for taking
12 on this very, very significant issue.

13 I'm grateful that you have joined
14 together to address one of the issues that
15 this country must and should address so that
16 Americas greatest ally in the Middle East is
17 protected from those who wish to see its
18 destruction.

19 Let's understand what BDS is. It
20 is an effort to demonize Israel. To cast it
21 as a perilous state; a state that has no
22 legitimacy and, therefore, deserves to be
23 destroyed. Those who promote BDS single out
24 Israel.

25 What makes BDS so morally

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 indefensible is that it offers and directs
3 disproportionate hatred and vitreal against
4 the state of Israel and its disproportionate
5 silence regarding the wrongs of so many
6 others. The Russians, the Chinese, the
7 Turks, the North Koreans, the Iranians, the
8 Syrian government, the Saudis, the
9 Palestinian authority itself all get a pass.

10 BDS wants not to address any of
11 those moral or ethical issues raised by the
12 way in which these governments act. There
13 is no moral high ground for BDS. No moral
14 high ground when it looks away and ignores
15 human rights violations committed by so many
16 others and focuses solely upon the state of
17 Israel.

18 They look away at the unending
19 tragedy on Muslim on Muslim violence and
20 turn their glance away from Muslim on
21 Christian crimes in the Middle East.

22 By the way, because of the
23 latter, Israel has become one of the great
24 refuge areas in the Middle East for those of
25 Christian faith.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 To unjustly single out Israel for
3 boycott and sanctions and delegitimization,
4 is affront to any reasonable standard by
5 which nations should be judged.

6 Since it targets only one nation,
7 only one nation in the world, we have to see
8 it as an expression of the oldest hatred in
9 the world which we call anti-Semitism.

10 I surge that you pass this
11 legislation. Let Nassau County stand with
12 those who support America's greatest ally
13 and friend.

14 Send a message to the BDS
15 leadership that in this county their lies
16 and distortions and their hatred for the
17 state of Israel will be repudiated. Thank
18 you very much.

19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Ken Greenberg.

20 MR. GREENBERG: My name is Ken
21 Greenberg and I'm the Long Island Regional
22 President of the American Jewish Committee.

23 AJC is one of the oldest and most
24 significant Jewish organizations in the
25 United States with 22 offices in the U.S.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 and 10 offices overseas.

3 I would like to thank the
4 legislators of Nassau County for allowing me
5 to say a few words in support of Nassau
6 County's new legislation precluding the
7 county from entering into business contracts
8 with companies and individuals participating
9 in the BDS movement against Israel.

10 The goal of the BDS movement,
11 boycott, divestment, and sanctions is not a
12 two state solution to the Israeli
13 Palestinian conflict. BDS is an
14 international advert to stigmatize, isolate
15 and ultimately delegitimize Israel.

16 On Long Island, the BDS movement
17 has fostered the climate of hostility toward
18 Jewish and non-Jewish allies of Israel in
19 our colleges and in the private sector.

20 What makes the BDS movement's
21 accusations against Israel particularly
22 heinous is that Israel has maintained a
23 system of parliamentary electoral Democracy
24 since its inception in 1948.

25 While Human Right atrocities

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 occur daily in other countries in the middle
3 eastern region, Israel is a liberal
4 democracy that protects the rights of
5 religious minorities, women and the LGBT
6 community.

7 Israel and its diverse population
8 have flourished. Israel has built a climate
9 of respect for many faith groups including
10 Bahas [sic], Christians and Muslims and
11 fully safeguards their places of worship.
12 No other nation in the region can make that
13 claim.

14 This legislation is a declaration
15 that Nassau County will not allow itself to
16 conduct, nor its conduct of business to be
17 held hostage to political falsehoods against
18 America's strongest ally in the Middle East.
19 Thank you so much.

20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Noam Gilboord.

21 MR. GILBOORD: Good afternoon.

22 My name is Noam Gilboord. I come before you
23 today representing the Jewish Community
24 Relations Council of New York and more than
25 60 local Jewish organizations in New York

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 City, Westchester and of course Long Island.
3 I'm here to speak in favor of
4 passing the proposed local law and I want to
5 specifically thank Presiding Officer Norma
6 Gonsalves and Legislator Howard J. Kopel
7 whose efforts have been instrumental in
8 bringing this bill before us today.

9 I would also like to thank the
10 entire legislature and to both sides of the
11 aisle for your time today.

12 As we are all aware, this bill
13 concerns supporting the U.S. Israel
14 relationship, which isn't just a Democratic
15 issue or Republican issue, it's an American
16 issue and one which we all must work to
17 protect as successive administrations has
18 shown us.

19 Over the last decade, the state
20 of Israel has been a victim of economic
21 warfare in the form of well orchestrated and
22 well funded campaign to delegitimize the
23 worlds only Jewish and Democratic state.
24 Activists from all around the world even
25 here in America has sought to cripple Israel

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 through BDS campaigns, which are boycotts of
3 Israel products, divestment from Israeli
4 companies and American companies doing
5 business and is in Israel and to implement a
6 global sanctions regime upon Israel.

7 But why is Israel targeted? The
8 propaganda spewed by such activists claims
9 that the BDS movement is to pressure Israel
10 into ending its occupation of the West Bank,
11 East Jerusalem and Gaza.

12 However, as been stated before, a
13 further examination of the stated goals of
14 the BDS movement shows that BDS isn't
15 interested in simply providing the
16 Palestinians with an independent state along
17 Israel, but it's actively trying to replace
18 the Jewish state with a Palestinian state by
19 flooding Israel proper, not the West Bank,
20 not Gaza with Palestinians from all over the
21 world. The need for my people to be in
22 control of their own destiny.

23 Over the years, massive armies,
24 Arab boycotts and Palestinian terrorism has
25 been used to try and bring the Jewish state

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 to its knees. But all has failed.

3 As we say in our culture, "umne
4 sera hail" [sic], the Jewish people, the
5 people of Israel, we live.

6 Now, in an era when the last
7 survivors of Hitler's holocaust are passing
8 away, malevolent individuals are seeking to
9 deprive my people of that same right, the
10 right to self determination, while
11 vigorously supporting that same right for
12 the Palestinian people. It doesn't take a
13 PHD to see that this is most certainly anti
14 Semitic.

15 We, as allies, of Israel, must
16 not permit those who seek to promote BDS and
17 the dissolution of Israel to go about their
18 business as usual in America.

19 The goal of peace in the Middle
20 East depends on both Israelis and
21 Palestinians working together with
22 international support toward the mutual goal
23 of a negotiated two state solution which
24 would see a Jewish and Democratic Israel
25 side by side in peace and security with an

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 independent Palestinian state.

3 Many Americans, individual
4 Israelis, successive Israeli governments and
5 even the Palestinian authority have
6 supported this goal.

7 In contrast BDS presents a
8 distorted caricature of the conflict which
9 does no justice for any of the parties. Its
10 tactics are one sided and are focused solely
11 on pressuring Israel thus creating a
12 distorted picture of the region rather than
13 focusing on improving the situation for both
14 people, BDS activists undermine the
15 internally backed peace process that is
16 premised on the development of creating
17 mutual understanding and respect between the
18 parties.

19 Economic boycotts and divestment
20 actions will not help the Palestinian
21 people. The path to peace depends on
22 creating an atmosphere of mutual
23 understanding and reconciliation combined
24 with economic development and political
25 achievement. And the way of this stands the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 BDS movement.

3 I urge you all on both sides of
4 the aisle to support this bill. If you
5 believe in the right of the Jewish people,
6 safety and security in their own national
7 home land, I urge you to vote for this bill.
8 If you believe in the right of the
9 Palestinian people to their own state
10 alongside but not instead of Israel, I urge
11 you to vote for this bill.

12 If you oppose divisive
13 destructive tactics that attempt to cripple
14 Israel and move away from a true peace
15 process, I urge you to vote for this bill.

16 I want to make a clear statement
17 that the first in New York State that in
18 Nassau County we will not do business with
19 those who seek to harm Israel.

20 Ladies and gentlemen, resolution
21 supporting Israel and the peace process send
22 wonderful messages to the American Jewish
23 community and to the Israeli public that
24 America stands with Israel but actions speak
25 louder than words.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 We have an opportunity here to
3 take advantage of, to demonstrate the
4 everlasting bonds between the United States
5 and Israel and I hope we will take it.
6 Thank you for your time.

7 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Avi Posnick.

8 MR. POSNICK: Thank you,
9 Presiding Officer.

10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Keep it to
11 three minutes.

12 MR. POSNICK: Thank you to
13 Presiding Officer Gonsalves, Legislator
14 Kopel and all the members of this
15 Legislature for moving this legislation
16 forward.

17 My organization, Stand With Us,
18 an international Israel education
19 organization supports those who want to
20 educate others about Israel.

21 We have been a leading
22 organization fighting the boycott campaign
23 against Israel in major corporations,
24 college campuses, food courts, trans systems
25 and more throughout the country and here in

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 the State of New York.

3 I urge this Legislature to pass
4 this legislation which would be good not
5 just for Israel but for Nassau County.
6 Nassau County already requires bidders for
7 county contracts to commit to
8 non-discrimination and this is no different.

9 The county is standing up to
10 discrimination against Israel. Ignoring
11 discriminatory practices and boycotts by
12 companies doing business with the county
13 would make Nassau a de facto partner in
14 these discriminatory practices and/or
15 boycotts.

16 This local law protects the
17 citizens and governments of Nassau County
18 from unknowingly becoming party to
19 discriminatory business practices or
20 boycotts. Supporting this legislation is
21 good for the future prosperity of the State
22 of New York and Nassau County.

23 It is also important to pass this
24 legislation for the benefit of younger
25 generations. As I mentioned earlier, Stand

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 With Us, an organization for which I serve
3 as managing director of the Northeast, has
4 been at the forefront of educating students
5 on college campuses and in high schools for
6 15 years. We believe education is the road
7 to peace and through people to people
8 experiences and building bridges among
9 various communities we will see a more
10 peaceful region and create a better world.

11 The goal of the BDS campaign is
12 the elimination of Israel plain and simple.
13 While some who support BDS may claim it is
14 about other issues, Omar Barghouti, the
15 founder of this campaign has said, even if
16 the occupation were to end, my call for BDS
17 would not.

18 Boycotting Israel does not
19 improve the lives of Palestinians, in fact,
20 it hurts them. Soda stream, a target of
21 boycott, once employed 600 Palestinians who
22 worked side by side with Israelis at wages
23 far above the average in the West Bank. Now
24 these Palestinians are out of jobs.

25 As Palestinian Human Rights

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 activist Balsom Eve [sic] said, when we
3 brought him to meet the legislators in
4 Albany a few weeks ago, BDS hurts me as a
5 Palestinian. It hurts the Palestinian
6 economy, not only that, but it destroys the
7 Palestinian economy, and, interestingly
8 enough, in 2013, Mahmoud Abbas, the
9 president of the Palestinian Authority came
10 out against BDS.

11 Peace takes two. Calling for a
12 boycott of Israel and putting the blame
13 solely on Israel for which BDS does pushes
14 peace further away.

15 Israel wants peace. Israel
16 continues to work for peace. And as Prime
17 Minister Netanyahu said he will clear his
18 schedule any day at any time to meet with
19 the Palestinian leader.

20 While we at Stand With Us in
21 partnership with other organizations, some
22 of whom are in this room today, work on a
23 grass roots program to educate communities
24 and students and empower the next
25 generation, we also need the leadership of

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 our elected officials. We need you to do
3 what is right. We need you to stand up to
4 racist anti-Semitic campaign known as BDS
5 and we need you to vote for this legislation
6 which will ensure a better tomorrow for the
7 people of the region, for the citizens of
8 Nassau County and for the United States.
9 Thank you very much.

10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you.
11 Our last speaker before that, I just want to
12 thank the Presiding Officer for affording me
13 the opportunity to lead this important
14 matter. It's quite, for those of you who
15 haven't been here, it's a fairly unusual
16 thing to happen and it's very kind of you
17 and I appreciate that.

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You're
19 welcome.

20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. Jeffrey
21 Press.

22 MR. PRESS: Thank you for giving
23 me the time to speak. I wish to speak on
24 the minority position.

25 I'm American, I'm Jewish, I'm a

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 New Yorker, proud New Yorker, and a long
3 life resident of Nassau County.

4 First of all, I would like to
5 know why you would even waste your time and
6 resources and taxpayer money putting this
7 through?

8 Zionism has nothing to do with
9 Judaism. I have lived in Israel before. I
10 know what it's like. I have family in
11 Israel before and they don't share the same
12 positions.

13 You took the oath first, I want
14 to point this out, as a county Legislature
15 to uphold the U.S. Constitution, the State
16 of New York, and the County Charter. Not
17 the Israeli Constitution. Our loyalties
18 belong here in America. My father came to
19 this country. He was an Iraqi Jew, he was
20 in Israel before that. When he was alive,
21 when I was with him in Israel there were
22 elections going on.

23 I asked him, even though you are
24 allowed to vote in the elections over there,
25 even though you are a US citizen here, why

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 are you not voting? He said, because I took
3 my oath. And because he said that, I take
4 my oath seriously if I were elected to any
5 position.

6 If you vote for this resolution
7 and waste your time, it is very unpatriotic.
8 Anti-Semitism has nothing to do with
9 Judaism. It does not equate to Judaism.
10 Zionism does not equate to Judaism, all
11 right?

12 I'm also related to the former
13 state of Israel comptroller, the account
14 general, who is now a professor. So,
15 therefore, I'm telling you to uphold the
16 first amendment. The U.S. Supreme Court
17 already said that.

18 So another question, let's say
19 there's another action. You are setting a
20 dangerous precedent. So if there is another
21 unpopular idea for divestment, what would
22 you do next? Are you going to vote for that
23 too and then so on? That's another thing
24 too. That's pretty much what I have to say
25 except for the last few words, from the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 mountains to the prairies to the oceans
3 light white with foam. God bless America,
4 my home sweet home.

5 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you for
6 the concert.

7 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Are there
8 any additional comments from the
9 legislators?

10 (No verbal response.)

11 There being none, take a motion
12 to close the hearing.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So moved.

14 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.

15 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
16 Legislator Nicolello, seconded by Legislator
17 Dunne. All those in favor of closing the
18 hearing signify by saying aye.

19 (Aye.)

20 Any opposed?

21 (No verbal response.)

22 The hearing is now closed.

23 We are going to resume public
24 comment as promised, we can wait for the
25 item, I'm sure that won't be a problem. I

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 hope not.

3 I'm going to begin. There are
4 several people here to speak on the Sixth
5 Precinct, prior to that Senator D'Amato has
6 been here earlier to speak on a single issue
7 on today's public comment session. I'm
8 going to call the Senator D'Amato to the
9 mike.

10 SENATOR D'AMATO: Madam Chairman,
11 thank you for the opportunity of sharing
12 some thoughts with this legislative body.
13 It's been a long time since I've been in a
14 legislative hall of Nassau County. I guess
15 it goes back 36 years ago. And I walked
16 into the wrong building because that's where
17 we used to in the olden times hold the
18 hearings.

19 Let me first say that I don't
20 think there is any greater service than
21 public service any more service that is
22 necessary and any more service that is more
23 difficult because you have the
24 personalities, the community's interest at
25 heart, and I want to commend you.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 I spent 18 years in the senate
3 but I must say to you the most fulfilling
4 times I had was being a county legislator
5 and a supervisor in the Town of Hempstead.
6 There you got to do things for people and
7 make a difference.

8 I know that each and every one of
9 you regardless of political party are really
10 in this and devoted to the public interest.

11 So I just wanted to share that
12 with you. I don't think there is any more
13 noble calling. You certainly don't do it
14 for the enrichment. This job does not pay
15 you adequate. It is not enough, and you
16 really should be better compensated, because
17 you're really taking from your time and
18 making a great sacrifice.

19 So thank you for the sacrifices
20 that each and every one of you make on
21 behalf of your communities and behalf of the
22 people of Nassau.

23 I'd like to share with you
24 something that goes back to my freshman term
25 in the United States senate. I got into

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 quite a controversy with Senator Bob Dole.
3 At that time he was chairman of the Finance
4 Committee. He went on to be a majority
5 leader and a great leader of our party and
6 of our nation.

7 The issue was over a principle.
8 And that principle was whether or not
9 industrial revenue bonds issued by the local
10 development authorities should be abolished.
11 Bob wanted to abolish them. He felt that
12 they interfered with a free economy.

13 I maintained then with properly
14 applied industrial revenue bonds and the
15 role of the IDAs were an effective tool
16 particularly in distressed areas to bring
17 about economic regeneration and jobs.

18 As a freshman senator, it's
19 pretty tough to take on a leader of your
20 party. But I did because I felt very
21 strongly that the local government that the
22 integrity of those agencies, the stewards of
23 the industrial revenue bonds, and that the
24 programs would aid communities seeking to
25 rebuild their future, communities that we

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 have that are depressed such as -- I won't
3 go into them, but they're here and we know
4 them. I don't want to mention their names
5 and seem to be denigrating them because I'm
6 not.

7 But there are communities that
8 need incentives to encourage private
9 development, to make it possible to create
10 jobs, and, yes, in the future tax revenues.

11 I said that the personal
12 integrity and I fought with Bob of those who
13 would be the stewards of the industrial
14 revenue bonds would ensure that the programs
15 would aid those communities seeking to
16 rebuild.

17 He went on and told me well,
18 yeah, don't believe it. That's part of the
19 old political clubhouse and there's going to
20 be manipulation. In those days we fought
21 over dirty book stores, and whether or not
22 money was being used, and I recall it like
23 it was yesterday to promote these. Oh, stop
24 it. He didn't look at other things. But
25 that was the battle we took on and we won

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 it. I said I had confidence that the
3 industrial revenue bonds and the tax
4 incentives offered by the industrial
5 development agencies would be carefully
6 reviewed and scrutinized and open for public
7 inspection and the microscope of the
8 critical press. I believed that. I
9 believed that for decades.

10 Then we come to the proposed
11 super block in Long Beach. A piece of
12 property that is one of the hottest best
13 pieces six acres on the ocean, in a
14 community that has turned around and where
15 people are looking to develop and seeking to
16 spend money.

17 A community in which a real
18 estate giant spent \$53,999,000 for that six
19 acres. Without much as a stick 'em up
20 announcement, the IDA in three days is about
21 to rob the city of Long Beach, the taxpayers
22 of the county, and the taxpayers of the
23 school district and grant this developer a
24 one hundred, over \$100 million tax abatement
25 over the next 20 years. The IDA will

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 shortly vote their approval for a tax break
3 on the ocean front luxury apartments that
4 every hard working middle class homeowner in
5 the school district, in the city, and in the
6 county will be helping to subsidize.

7 We will, if this takes place, be
8 subsidizing a company that claims to manage
9 \$7 billion worth of property. A company
10 whose executive has come before and said we
11 can start the construction within 90 days of
12 the approval of a variance given to them by
13 the zoning board to increase the density by
14 122 units so it is 522 units and to go up an
15 additional five stories, making it the
16 tallest structure ever constructed 17
17 stories high in the city of Long Beach.

18 Today the legislative body,
19 you've been put on notice as to what's
20 taking place. The Nassau IDA is about to
21 pass this legislation Wednesday and give
22 approval to this incredible giveaway of
23 taxpayer's money.

24 Let me tell you it's the
25 taxpayers not only in that school district

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 but throughout the county who will be
3 subsidizing this corporation.

4 When they came before the zoning
5 board, they said give us approval and within
6 90 days we will construct, 90 days of that
7 approval. They never said they needed a
8 penny. And if one would take the time to
9 read the zoning board records, you would see
10 that they said, we're ready to start. We've
11 got the money. And, oh, by the way, we will
12 only make 13 percent interest if you give us
13 13 percent on our investment, if you give us
14 the ability to go up.

15 Now they come before the IDA and
16 they say, we can't build it unless you give
17 us \$105 million tax subsidy.

18 When they started out, they
19 wanted to \$129 million. Now they cut it
20 down to \$105 million.

21 Let me suggest to you that it's
22 time to take action. Time to investigate
23 and look into what the IDA is about to do.
24 Enrich your rich developer at the expense of
25 our taxpayers.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 I hope this legislative body
3 looks into this, puts a halt to this,
4 undertakes an investigation to get to the
5 bottom of what change since the time they
6 came before the zoning board and said give
7 us this and we will build. Now they come
8 before the IDA and say, we can't build
9 unless you give us this give-away. A
10 give-away of over \$100 million.

11 I leave it to you. If you have
12 any questions, I will be happy to attempt to
13 answer them.

14 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
15 Ford.

16 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you,
17 Senator, for coming down and addressing this
18 issue. Just to add on to this, what's very
19 interesting, is that hundreds upon hundreds
20 if not close to a thousand residents came
21 out to two hearings to protest this tax
22 give-away.

23 The sad fact is that this
24 developer is claiming that without this tax
25 incentive, they will not develop this parcel

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 of land. We find it hard to believe that
3 somebody would invest \$50 million and not
4 build on it, and they would just allow \$50
5 million to sit in a bank account or sit on
6 this land for the next 20 years.

7 Added to this is just a few
8 blocks down from where this location is, the
9 Hebrew academy of Long Beach has moved into
10 the Five Towns. That property has been sold
11 to a developer who has proposed to the city
12 that they too would like to build two 15
13 stories which would equal 17 stories
14 apartment houses as well.

15 So we know that this property
16 really and truly is very viable, very
17 desirable, and I'm sure these people, they
18 did get a very generous variance.

19 So what is going to happen is,
20 despite the fact that our school board and
21 our school district has come out re-soundly
22 against granting this tax incentive, the
23 County Executive and myself on the county
24 level have also come out and said, do not
25 grant this pilot agreement.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Unfortunately, the City of Long
3 Beach has gone along with it so we feel that
4 we are going to lose this fight. But if
5 anybody feels free, send a letter to the
6 IDA, please do so on behalf of your
7 residents because you're going to pick up
8 the tab because they are not going to be
9 paying taxes or they're going to be paying
10 relatively low taxes, probably about less
11 than a million a year on this property, on
12 luxury apartments, on retail space, and
13 we're going to be the ones that have to make
14 up the difference. I thank you very much.

15 SENATOR D'AMATO: Thank you,
16 Legislator Ford. I must say that the IDA
17 hangs its hat on this incredible incompetent
18 economic report done by a company located up
19 in Saratoga Springs. They paid \$25,000 for
20 it.

21 If you took their assumptions,
22 you can see right off the bat, on page 11,
23 they assume that the City of Long Beach is
24 only going to raise their taxes point 1-2
25 percent. .12, do you know what that is?

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 It's less than two tenths of one percent.

3 Of course, this year alone, and
4 this study came out in May, this is what
5 they're hanging their hat on. They say, the
6 property will be vacant for the next 20
7 years. It won't be vacant for the next 20
8 years, but even if it was vacant, they
9 increased the tax rate this year by 8.2
10 percent. It throws this thing off by a half
11 a million dollars there. They do the same
12 thing with the school district, they go .12.

13 The fact of the matter is, the
14 school district increased their taxes by
15 1.8. In the coming years, you know it's
16 going to be at least two percent if not
17 more. They do the same thing with the
18 county. It is absolutely fallacious to
19 think that they're not -- and it's based on
20 this, nowhere, nowhere in all of the
21 proceedings has the developer come in and
22 demonstrated that he needs this money in
23 order to build the project. Nowhere. It's
24 absurd.

25 I have to tell you, if you allow

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 it to take place, I think it's really
3 something that is against the interest of
4 the people and should become involved, every
5 one of you. Absolutely. It is scurrilous
6 and the County Executive should look into
7 who is on that IDA.

8 You have two union
9 representatives. That's all they care
10 about, union jobs. They demonstrate that
11 the cost in their studies of building this
12 that the construction workers will get \$100
13 million.

14 Let me say this to you. If you
15 go nonunion you save 20 to 30 percent.
16 They're going union. You know why? Because
17 they have the two votes lined up on the IDA
18 from the union representatives. That's a
19 fact.

20 We have a public hearing. If you
21 are going to have the public hearing
22 Wednesday, all the seats will be taken up by
23 guys wearing their union shirts. The City
24 of Long Beach, the residents had to wait to
25 end to speak. They all spoke against.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Hundreds and hundreds of people
3 who did not live in the school district and
4 the community spoke in favor. They created
5 an atmosphere of intimidation.

6 So they got two of their
7 representatives on the IDA. They absolutely
8 sold out. It is wrong. And if they could
9 pay 30 percent more or 20 percent more for
10 union workers, that means that's 20 to \$30
11 million more that they are paying. Why?
12 Because they're getting \$105 million
13 subsidy. They are going to make \$75 million
14 on that deal. I don't think they should be
15 making it on the backs of the taxpayer.

16 I hope I don't have to call Bob
17 Dole and say, hey, Bob, you were right. I
18 was wrong. It's the political clubhouses
19 that are still running the process.

20 But I want to thank you for your
21 attention, for your time. Presiding Officer
22 Gonsalves, thank you.

23 I wanted to say one thing else
24 about your colleagues, and I would say it
25 regardless of political party. She is a

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 tremendous fighter. This thing would have
3 passed two years ago when the first IDA was
4 scheduled to hold a hearing. And you know
5 where they were going to hold the hearing?
6 At the public library on ten days notice,
7 but Denise Ford stood up and said, wait a
8 minute, what is going on here. And the IDA
9 folks said, the city doesn't want it to be
10 held at City Hall because, after all, it's
11 the IDA that's making the decision.
12 Baloney. Baloney.

13 The city fathers had already
14 gotten their own in a backroom deal, had a
15 host agreement to do this. They didn't like
16 the fact that hundreds and hundreds of
17 people showed up. And hundreds never got to
18 speak. They left because they couldn't even
19 get a chair at the second hearing. That's
20 the kind of nonsense that's going on.

21 When the IDA says, oh, our
22 economic report says it's good for the
23 county. If you really read the last 20
24 pages of it, they just tell you the jobs
25 that are in the school district, the jobs

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 that are in the county. It's incredible.
3 They paid \$25,000 for junk from someone from
4 Saratoga who doesn't know a darn thing about
5 the community. They must have picked this
6 guy out specially for this deal and told him
7 how to arrive at it. Thank you very much.

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
9 Dunne.

10 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Senator, just
11 quickly, Senator. It's Legislator Dunne
12 here. Just to preface. Two and a half
13 decades ago, the County Executive then,
14 Thomas Gulotta, 60 veteran leaders and
15 myself, we flew down to Washington, D.C.,
16 and you held a hearing with then Congressman
17 Ray McGrath urging the Veterans
18 Administration to open a VA outpatient
19 clinic here in Nassau County.

20 Because of your leadership and
21 your efforts we were successful. We brought
22 60,000 letters, if you remember, us carrying
23 them, it was like Miracle on 34th Street,
24 piles and piles of letters came in and we
25 won because of your leadership.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Now I'm sitting on the other side
3 of the table and listening to yourself and I
4 have to tell you, we don't live in Long
5 Beach, but we stand shoulder to shoulder
6 with you against this IDA issue.

7 So I just want you to know, it's
8 not just the Long Beach people that support
9 you.

10 SENATOR D'AMATO: Thank you, but
11 let me say this to you. In my prepared
12 remarks, which I deviated from, I talk about
13 Levittown. The citizens of Levittown are
14 going to pick up because the taxes that
15 would be paid to the county aren't going to
16 be paid. You're going to get a tiny little
17 fraction of those taxes. It's ridiculous.

18 By their own statement, they make
19 13 percent. Now they come in and say, wow,
20 we need help otherwise we can't build it.
21 You know why? They found a perfect scam.
22 Bring in 300 union people to stay there and
23 say you'll support this construction. Then
24 you get them to pressure the two union
25 representatives on the IDA and then you got

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 three others, who knows, they're collapsing
3 and they base it on an economic study that
4 an eighth grader can do better on. If you
5 read the last 20 pages, it's nothing but a
6 recitation of salaries. It is nonsense.

7 And guess what? They're saying
8 that for 20 more years -- and, you know,
9 this property hasn't been developed for 35
10 years. It's been tied up in litigation, et
11 cetera. The city owned the property for
12 years. They kind of screwed it up.

13 Now, when it finally got sold,
14 all right, a developer comes in and bids
15 \$53,999,000. Now that same developer,
16 Istari, now operates under another name, Way
17 Farer. Way Farer says I can't build it, I
18 need this tax.

19 We're all paying and I just think
20 it's a disgrace and it should be
21 investigated and stopped. It's wrong.

22 This is one the biggest highway
23 robberies I have ever seen. And to think
24 that it would take place in my county. By
25 the way, you know who I represent? Nobody,

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 other than the interests of the people, the
3 taxpayers of the county.

4 I've had plenty of people
5 approach me saying, would you like to
6 represent us? The builder of this project
7 came in and said, Senator, would you like to
8 represent -- I said, if you had other
9 projects someplace else, but I certainly
10 can't represent you. But I've had people
11 approach me, all trying to get me to try to
12 drop my opposition. I oppose it because
13 it's the wrong thing and the public will be
14 paying.

15 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: I think they
16 can still do it with union workers, but just
17 take less after profit.

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Excuse me.
19 Thank you, Senator.

20 MS. MASSARO: Excuse me. I have
21 a question. With all due respect, I
22 submitted a card to speak and I know that
23 usually I've never been to any of your
24 meetings, but I have to pick up my children.
25 This is not really fair to the people.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: What's
3 your name?

4 MS. MASSARO: Rosanna Massaro.
5 I'm here to speak. I appreciate the history
6 and all the different things I've learned
7 today and the wonderful things. But there
8 are people who also have an agenda. I have
9 children to pick up. I mean, we can have
10 people speak for hours and hours and hours
11 and hours. There is no reason to have some
12 of these organizations speaking.

13 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Listen,
14 Rosanna, I'm going to the supervisor of the
15 Town of North Hempstead. I apologize for
16 having you wait so long. Judy Bosworth.

17 SUPERVISOR BOSWORTH: Thank you,
18 Presiding Officer. Boy, this brings back
19 memories. It really does.

20 So, good afternoon, thank you,
21 Presiding Officer Gonsalves and Minority
22 Leader Abrahams. I appreciate having the
23 opportunity to address you, my former
24 colleagues about a very important matter and
25 that's the reopening of the Sixth Precinct.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Four years ago, in these very
3 same chambers, I expressed my concern about
4 the plan. I expressed it to the
5 Legislature, I expressed it to the County
6 Executive and I expressed it to my
7 constituents. My concern about the
8 administration's plan to eliminate four out
9 of the eight then operating police precincts
10 including the Sixth Precinct.

11 I voiced concern then that in
12 this era of increasing drug use and related
13 crimes and home invasions and robberies,
14 that decreasing police presence in the
15 community would not help combat crime.

16 Now, I'm not a sooth sayer.
17 Newsday's front page story is proof that my
18 concerns were well founded. This is a
19 headline from March talking about Nassau
20 burglary spikes. Police launching task
21 force amid 28 percent rise from last year.

22 We can sit here and we can debate
23 statistics. I keep hearing all different
24 kinds of statistics. You can show them to
25 show anything that you want.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Here's not a statistic. The
3 people the Town of North Hempstead feel a
4 concern. They see home invasions rising,
5 break ins more than they ever did before.
6 It doesn't matter how many times people are
7 telling us this isn't the case, it is the
8 case.

9 During the presentation made by
10 former Police Commissioner Dale about the
11 merger of eight precincts down to four back
12 in 2012, we were told this would save \$20
13 million annually. It was told it was cost
14 saving measure during the time when the
15 county was looking for innovative ways to
16 cut spending.

17 Well, this plan does not seem to
18 have delivered on these cost savings. It's
19 very difficult to get any information about
20 it but we do know that Comptroller Maragos
21 reports that from 2009 to 2015, the police
22 overtime budget was \$96 million over budget.

23 The ineffectiveness of this plan
24 was cited as one of the reasons for this.

25 The area is so large. We just

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 heard Police Commissioner Krumpster when he
3 was talking about our top cops, when they
4 were being honored, we heard about the
5 importance of just a few seconds. We heard
6 that it's not just about crime. It's about
7 quality of life. That's really why we are
8 here. It's about both things. It's about
9 crime and it's about the quality of life.
10 Officers making arrests have longer
11 distances to travel when they are heading to
12 the Third Precinct and back for process
13 processing. This is worsened by
14 overcrowding of the arrest room in the Third
15 Precinct because of the additional
16 processing that now happens there.

17 Officers are waiting for
18 available work spaces and detectives to
19 assist in the process and this is greater
20 wait times.

21 Not only does this increase
22 overtime, but it keeps officers in the
23 precincts for an extended period of time.
24 If they're in the precinct, they're not in
25 our community, they're in the precinct. It

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 reduces the protection that we once had in
3 our neighborhoods that the Sixth Precinct
4 once covered.

5 I'm told again and again how
6 resources have been shifted from what was
7 once the Sixth Precinct to areas covered by
8 the former Third Precinct. We no longer
9 have detectives at the Sixth Precinct or
10 undercover police. The Sixth Precinct once
11 had four POP cops, and the last four years
12 we had no POP cops, and just recently two
13 were just reinstated.

14 The coverage area for the merged
15 Third Precinct is just too large. It
16 encompasses all of the town of North
17 Hempstead, and that's about a quarter of a
18 million people.

19 We heard just some time ago about
20 the fact that that's the size of a city.
21 What city would have one precinct covering
22 that large of an area and that number of
23 population? The combined precinct extends
24 from Manorhaven and Port Washington to
25 Nassau University Medical Center on Old

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Country Road including the Roosevelt Field
3 Mall.

4 The ramifications of one precinct
5 directing 50 sector cars, that is just a
6 recipe for disaster.

7 The Sixth Precinct used to be in
8 control of 24 sector cars. The Third
9 Precinct 26 sector cars, and now it's
10 altogether in one precinct 50 patrols being
11 managed by one desk sergeant.

12 In addition, the former Sixth
13 Precinct has fallen into a state of repair.
14 Missing ceiling tiles, leaking sewage pipes,
15 rodents. These are some of the issues that
16 our police officers have to deal with as
17 well as our residents who are supposed to be
18 able to drop into what was going to be a
19 community policing center.

20 This once proud building is
21 quickly becoming a blight in our community.
22 The fact is, the residents of the Sixth
23 Precinct don't feel as safe and as well
24 protected as they did four years ago.

25 Today I'm presenting hand signed

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 petitions of over 500 signatures that were
3 collected in one of our local stores on
4 Plandome Road. Sue Auriemma thought she was
5 going to be able to be here long enough to
6 present, she has over 1,500 local signatures
7 that were collected on line by a petition
8 company called Care Two. I have to tell
9 you, there were signatures on that petition
10 that came from all over the place. They
11 were eliminated. We're not looking to
12 represent something that's no so. These are
13 over 1,500 local signatures of people who
14 are saying, reopen the Sixth Precinct.

15 There's no price that you can pay
16 on feeling safe in our homes. Our taxpayers
17 who pay some of the highest property taxes
18 in the county deserve better. They deserve
19 to feel safe in their homes and in their
20 neighborhoods. They want to know if they
21 need a police officer, there won't be a long
22 wait until one arrives to come and help
23 them.

24 Most of us move to our homes in
25 North Hempstead for the wonderful quality of

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 life we are afforded. We don't want to feel
3 that our safety is compromised, that our
4 local precinct is not responsive to
5 community needs, or that our quality of life
6 is diminished because of this.

7 I had written to the County
8 Executive last year and I know Legislator
9 Birnbaum did as well, wrote to him and the
10 acting commissioner about a month ago about
11 this matter.

12 I did speak with Commissioner
13 Krumpter. I'm still waiting for a response
14 from the County Executive. The residents in
15 the Sixth Precinct, you know what? They're
16 also waiting. This merger did not achieve
17 its intended goals. The residents of the
18 Town of North Hempstead, we need your help.
19 Let's take a step forward today and get the
20 Sixth Precinct back online. Thank you.

21 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you,
22 Supervisor Bosworth. Now I would like to
23 call up Acting Commissioner Krumpter,
24 please.

25 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Good afternoon, Commissioner Krumpster,
3 acting commissioner of police. Legislator
4 Gonsalves, Minority Leader Abrahams,
5 legislators, thank you for this time this
6 afternoon.

7 I heard some interesting things
8 and what I will start with is crime. The
9 top priority of the police department is to
10 provide for the public safety. And one of
11 the things we looked at over the last
12 several days, we looked at the crime.

13 In 2011 Nassau County was a safe
14 place and, what I will put forward today, is
15 that it's safer today than it was in 2011.
16 In 2011, we reported 7,191 index crimes.
17 Those are major crimes that we talk about,
18 robberies and burglaries.

19 Today, in 2015, we finished the
20 year 6,303. So, what that is a 12 percent
21 reduction from before the consolidation to
22 today. More interesting than that is total
23 burglaries are down 35 percent.

24 So it really is irresponsible to
25 further this belief that the public isn't

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 safe. They are safer today than they were
3 five years ago. They are safer here than
4 any other suburban community in the area,
5 and Nassau County maintains the proud
6 distinction of being one of the safest
7 largest suburban communities in America.

8 Robberies are down 21.64 percent
9 over that five year period, from 707 to 554.

10 Now we are going to get into some
11 interesting data. Last year we opened the
12 Fifth Precinct. Today, crime in the Fifth
13 Precinct is up ten percent. I would no more
14 suggest that we close the Fifth Precinct,
15 because there is no nexus to the precinct,
16 than I would suggest opening the Sixth
17 Precinct.

18 As a matter of fact, if you
19 segregate the crime in the Sixth Precinct,
20 year over year in comparison from the Third
21 Precinct total, crime is actually down in
22 the Sixth Precinct as we sit here today.
23 That's the first thing.

24 The residents of Nassau County
25 are safer today than they were, that's not a

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 tribute to me, that's a tribute to the men
3 and women out there doing the job. To
4 suggest anything else, really isn't fair to
5 the men and women of this department. And
6 to politicize the crime that doesn't exist
7 really isn't fair. That's the first thing.

8 The second thing, if the precinct
9 is open, it is going to require 25 bodies
10 and Controller Maragos had pointed out, and
11 the interesting thing was pointed out how
12 Comptroller Maragos said the savings aren't
13 there. Well, the truth is, that's a direct
14 conflict of the comptroller's report that
15 was generated at the time of the precinct
16 consolidation.

17 If you were here and you recall
18 what did they say about the precinct
19 consolidation? What they said was, crime
20 would going to go through the roof. Crime
21 didn't go through the roof. Crime went
22 down.

23 As far as the POP cops. That's
24 another thing. We eliminated the POP cops
25 as a result of staffing that depleted during

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 the wage freeze. As we hire today, we will
3 continue to add to the problem orientated
4 policing. We have added back two POP
5 officers to every precinct. We also have a
6 county wide COPE which is focused on problem
7 oriented policing on a county wide level
8 that gives us a degree of flexibility under
9 the contracts.

10 So, as we sit here today, we have
11 approximately 26 officers dedicated to
12 problem orientated policing that we did not
13 have a year ago.

14 Very shortly, we will have a
15 class graduate of 160 officers. When that
16 class graduates, we will add six more
17 problem orientated police officers. That
18 will put it to 32 which will be highest
19 number of dedicated POP officers that we've
20 had probably in close to 20 years. So,
21 where are we at with that?

22 Let's talk about the condition of
23 the building. Earlier today, at one of the
24 press conferences, they talked about a
25 sidewalk that was damaged at the building.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 That sidewalk is at a rear entrance door and
3 was in disrepair prior to the closing of the
4 precinct.

5 They also talk about another
6 portion of sidewalk that was damaged. That
7 was damaged when they were bringing in
8 another power line. Those are all items
9 that we are waiting for repair. But those
10 are capital projects. As such, the capital
11 budget has not been approved.

12 There are maintenance items and
13 there are items that we do have to repair.
14 We do address those maintenance items as we
15 go forward.

16 So there were a lot of things
17 that were talked about today. They talked
18 about a cable hanging and lack of security
19 on our radio tower. I will be more than
20 happy to get into our security out radio
21 system but I believe that would be something
22 that would be --

23 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Actually
24 you brought up a very good point I wanted to
25 explore with you.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Hold on.
3 You will have an opportunity.

4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Gaylor,
5 where were you when Supervisor Bosworth sat
6 here for an hour and 45 minutes, did you
7 think that was rude? Of course you didn't.

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Minority
9 Leader, let him finish his presentation, and
10 then if you have a question --

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I don't
12 even know if he's making a presentation
13 today. When was it on the list? Is it on
14 the legislative hearing? I don't see it on
15 the copy here.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why
17 wouldn't you want to hear from him? He's
18 raising issues about the Sixth Precinct.
19 Wouldn't you want to hear from the
20 commissioner?

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Because
22 maybe you guys don't want to hear it, but
23 there's people here that would like to
24 speak.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I would

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 think they want to hear from him too.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But you
4 just heard from a lady that got up who said
5 she's got children to pick up. Somehow,
6 some way we're going to talk about that I'm
7 being rude.

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You're
9 delaying the process.

10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Why don't
11 you explain how you're being rude to the
12 public when you don't allow them to speak,
13 or the supervisor sitting here for an hour
14 and 45 minutes, you don't want to say that
15 part of rudeness though, pick and choose.
16 When Senator D'Amato spoke for 20 minutes, I
17 didn't hear you say anything about
18 Supervisor Bosworth not being able to speak.

19 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I cut her
20 off.

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you,
22 Legislator Gaylor, for pointing that out.
23 You're a very classy person.

24 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Acting
25 Commissioner, go ahead.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: So
3 one of the other items brought up today was
4 the radio tower. The radio system is a
5 redundant system that no single point of
6 failure will bring the system down. So if
7 somebody were to engage in criminal mischief
8 at the radio site which hasn't happened as
9 far as I know at any of our sites, and we do
10 have a significant number of sites in this
11 county, that system would continue to
12 operate.

13 So, the system, I can assure you
14 is secured and it can withstand any kind of
15 single act of criminal mischief to that
16 system.

17 There's a lot of things you can
18 talk about. As far as the quality of life,
19 one of the things we do focus on in this
20 department is the quality of life issues and
21 those are things I work frequently with
22 legislators and other elected leaders as
23 well as other people, and the COs also work
24 with those people and we will continue to do
25 so.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 There are a number of items that
3 we can talk about but this is the bottom
4 line. The county has saved over \$50 million
5 as a result of the consolidations.

6 I mean, in and of itself I can
7 spend a significant amount of time debating
8 Comptroller Maragos' report, but with that
9 being said it had little or no value. It
10 was deeply flawed. Without getting into all
11 the details, I did provide a copy of my
12 response and you can read that. I outlaid
13 those wishes that report.

14 When you're talking about \$50
15 million in savings, when you're talking
16 about a significant amount of crime
17 reduction, what we have done is we have
18 taken those 25 officers and we put them to
19 good use in the county. This department
20 shrunk to a size of below 2,100 and this
21 department stepped up to the amount of
22 crime.

23 As far as the capital plan, there
24 is money in there for emergency repairs such
25 as sidewalks and such, that's built in the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 conditions contracts that this body
3 frequently sees. Whether that is masonry
4 work, driveways, electrical systems, whether
5 that's asbestos abatement, that money is
6 embedded into that DPW plan. Not directly
7 attributed to the Nassau County Police
8 Department but to the county as a whole.
9 Legislator, if you would like me to take
10 questions, I would be more than willing to
11 do so.

12 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: No, but I
13 would like you to submit a copy of that
14 report to us though.

15 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:
16 The comptroller's report, I actually already
17 submitted it to you.

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Great.
19 That's fine. Thank you very much. You can
20 ask a question. I didn't say you can't.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I thought
22 you wanted to hear from the people. First
23 you want to hear from them and then you
24 don't want to hear from them.

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You're a

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 funny guy. Where were you the last hour and
3 a half when the people needed to speak?
4 Where was that commentary an hour and 45
5 minutes ago. That's fine. You can ask a
6 question.

7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You had
8 Nassau County residents who wanted to speak
9 on an issue.

10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, you
11 were nowhere for an hour and 45 minutes.

12 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I was
13 sitting right here with you.

14 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You didn't
15 care about the people.

16 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You're
17 wasting time. Rosanna Massaro. Please come
18 up. I'm going to take everybody else first.
19 Listen, Kevan, don't even go there.

20 MS. MASSARO: My name is Rosanna
21 Massaro. I represent the Manhasset
22 Neighborhood Watch which has approximately
23 1,900 members. We started this group a few
24 years ago when we realized that the quality
25 of service in our area was starting to go

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 down. I'm not insulting the police officers
3 every day who work very hard to try and keep
4 us safe. I don't think individually it is
5 their fault.

6 Actually, I've had police
7 officers tell me off the record that they do
8 also agree that the quality of service has
9 changed.

10 In Manhasset, we have problems
11 with underage drinking. We have teenagers
12 walking the streets at night pulling out
13 signs. Where are the police officers?

14 This is not anything to do with
15 crime going down even though I would like
16 you to specifically look into my area
17 because I think that's a lie.

18 I think that the quality of
19 service in our area, quality of life has
20 changed. My daughter plays soccer at Valley
21 Field. There was a man videotaping them,
22 three times a strange man was videotaping my
23 daughter and her teammates within the last
24 year. There was a homeless man living in
25 the bathroom at Valley Field.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Where is the service? We're not
3 talking about burglaries. By the way, there
4 was one again this weekend in Manhasset. I
5 welcome you to join my group because maybe
6 you're listening to just your police
7 officers. Listen to us, the quality of
8 service in our area is not the same. We do
9 not feel safe.

10 I would like to see a report of
11 the savings. You know what? Take the
12 savings and reopen the Sixth. Make us feel
13 safe in our town. That's what I say. You
14 have unbelievable officers working for you.
15 This is not against them. This is against
16 the higher-ups, and I don't know what you
17 are getting out of this. We don't feel safe
18 in our communities.

19 We pay an incredible amount of
20 money in taxes. How dare you tell us how we
21 should feel about where we live. Who are
22 you? Thank you for letting me speak.

23 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You are
24 welcome. Elizabeth Miller? She had to
25 leave. Sue, I think, Auriemma.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 MS. AURIEMMA: Yes. Thank you,
3 Legislator Gonsalves, for allowing me speak.
4 Thank you to everyone for your time.

5 I'm Sue Auriemma. I'm
6 representing the Council of Greater
7 Manhasset Civic Associations, along with the
8 surrounding communities that include, among
9 others, Roslyn, Great Neck, Port Washington,
10 Manor Haven, Sea Cliff, Glen Head.

11 As Judy mentioned, we started a
12 care to online petition to garner support
13 for reopening the Sixth Precinct amongst the
14 residents.

15 I stood here four years ago in
16 front of many of you and I presented a
17 petition that represented the concerns of
18 the eight precincts about the proposed
19 merger. There were 5,244 signatures on that
20 online petition. Those were all local
21 signatures. They were edited by me so that
22 they were local.

23 I sat last night for two and a
24 half hours and edited this list down to
25 1,600 local signatures. If you do the math,

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 one precinct is speaking up about their
3 concerns. If that were eight precincts as
4 it was last time, that would be 12,800, more
5 than twice as loudly we are speaking and are
6 saying that there are concerns within our
7 community. You can look at averages. You
8 can look at overall numbers. Plandome
9 Heights has had four break-ins, a very small
10 village, just in the last year.

11 So, if you look at the overall
12 stats, you may have crime down, but we
13 talked about crime and we talked about the
14 fact that crime is not the only measure of
15 how police do their job. Our police do
16 their job, including the CO and two deputy
17 COs tasked with handling this super
18 precinct. They're doing a great job with
19 what they have. But they are handling an
20 enormous precinct.

21 We were told that millions would
22 be saved each year. Well, then, why would
23 you reopen the Fifth Precinct? And why did
24 we abandon merging the First and the Seventh
25 Precinct if there is so much cost savings,

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 why aren't we continuing?

3 We talked about this when I was
4 here four years ago, some of you may
5 remember that prior to any of our
6 discussions, Detroit, and we are not
7 Detroit, and I'll speak to that, Detroit had
8 12 precincts and they chose to merge to six
9 precincts. Guess what? If you look it up
10 on Wikipedia, as I did, it took them about
11 four years to realize that the cost savings
12 were not being realized. Quality of life
13 issues, safety issues, and crime were
14 changing because they had merged the
15 precincts. So they went from six back to
16 the 12.

17 No, we are not Detroit. We are
18 Nassau County. We pride ourselves in the
19 services we offer our residents and we pay
20 some of the highest taxes in the entire
21 country. So, no, we are not Detroit. If it
22 didn't work for Detroit to merge our
23 precincts, it doesn't work for us either.

24 I'm going to finish with a quick
25 story. When I spoke at the last rally, I

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 had a quick story so today I have one for
3 you also.

4 To underscore just what it means
5 to have a super precinct. Two weeks ago I
6 called the precinct to the front desk to
7 speak to someone who I know who works in the
8 building. I asked for them by name. The
9 person at the desk said, I don't know who
10 that is. I spelled his last name and I
11 actually described exactly what his job is
12 and where in the building he works. He
13 said, no, I think you are supposed be
14 calling for him at headquarters. He doesn't
15 work here.

16 I said, I know he does. I was
17 there a month ago and I saw him, he does
18 work there. This man then, maybe he was
19 new, turned around to the other two or three
20 officers behind the desk and said, do you
21 know who this person is, and, by the way,
22 this is a person who has a larger than life
23 gregarious personality and he's really hard
24 to miss, nope, nobody knew who he was. I
25 had to hang up the phone because nobody knew

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 who he was.

3 When I spoke to somebody else in
4 the precinct, they said, you know, Sue,
5 that's exactly what's going on here. No one
6 even know who works within this building.
7 That's how large the precinct is. That's
8 how packed we are with bodies.

9 So I ask, if they don't even know
10 each other in the building, how can the
11 commanding officers, and the administrative
12 personnel who task the officers with going
13 out into the communities to address our
14 concerns, how can they know our individual
15 communities and our individual concerns when
16 they work together and they don't even know
17 each other.

18 So, please, I implore you to
19 reconsider the stance of merging the
20 precincts and give us our Sixth Precinct
21 back. Thank you.

22 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: James
23 Carver, President of the PBA.

24 PRESIDENT CARVER: Thank you for
25 allowing us to speak here today.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 I think that the supervisor did a
3 pretty good job in indicating what the
4 issues were up in the Sixth Precinct, but a
5 picture is worth a thousand words. Give me
6 a photo. If you look at this one, this is
7 just a sidewalk where the handicapped have
8 to utilize to go into the station house.

9 Again, I understand sometimes we
10 talk about a capital plan, but the county
11 can't get a couple of yards of concrete to
12 be able to repair that in due time, I think
13 it's pretty sad around here that we can't
14 accomplish that.

15 I want to show you this, this is
16 a picture of inside the station house. This
17 is the floor of the station house. Neglect
18 is everywhere.

19 This is right above the desk,
20 there is tiles falling down, mildew on
21 there. There is a lot of stuff.

22 I want to go to this tower, this
23 tower we speak of. I understand, with all
24 due respect, we do have a system that if one
25 of the towers go down, something else, but

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 when you have a tower and you look at the
3 wires hanging out there with cones that
4 actually draw your attention to it, that
5 there are wires hanging out there, several
6 things, what if a kid comes by and touches
7 that wire when he's walking up with the kids
8 because there's a walkway, if you can see,
9 there's parking spaces right up here, and
10 what you can't see is there's a walkway
11 right up there.

12 So, you know what, there is
13 neglect in the precinct. It's got to be
14 fixed. The last time that we were there
15 back in April we went there, they power
16 washed the building, they put a brand new
17 flag up, the flag was horrible. They went
18 around and they swept the parking lot and
19 made it all look nice. They only power
20 washed the part that would come for a
21 picture in the press conference. When you
22 looked at the side, it remained the same.

23 The bottom line here is, we can
24 sit here and talk about the past and
25 everything else like that. The past was the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 past. We went through a rough few years and
3 everything. There were decisions made based
4 upon low manpower, money, wage freeze.
5 There were a lot of decisions made back
6 then. It's over. We are starting to
7 rebuild this police department. I will
8 commend the commissioner doing a good job
9 against difficult circumstances, hiring as
10 much as he can.

11 But, at the same time, we need to
12 bring back what we had before it's too long.
13 We need to reopen the precinct. The Sixth
14 Precinct probably has the most villages, it
15 actually doesn't, probably has the most
16 villages.

17 Here, this county, everybody
18 wants it for them. Three towns, every
19 village, everybody thinks that they are the
20 County Executive. Some was the village
21 mayor in Lynbrook, whether you're in East
22 Rockaway, no matter where you're from,
23 you're important.

24 You now have one commanding
25 officer dealing with the Third Precinct

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 issues and the Sixth Precinct issues. We
3 all know as legislators you have a bunch of
4 constituents and you go out there, if you
5 want to double the size, just think about
6 that, would you be able to be as effective
7 taking on the next legislative district?
8 Think about that for a minute.

9 In the beginning, we were
10 promised things, there were going to be 40
11 POP cops. They all disappeared these POP
12 cops. They disappeared. They come back and
13 we had two. We used to have four, we have
14 two. I said this before, they want you to
15 be happy with that. Think about this. They
16 make you forget what happened. They took
17 away. They give you back half and say be
18 happy with it.

19 Talk about extra POP cops. The
20 countywide community POP is not the same as
21 the local POP. Norma, you know that better
22 than anybody else.

23 They're not adding any more POP
24 cops to the Sixth, they'll add them to the
25 First, the Third, the Fifth. The Third

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 meaning the Third South, not the Third
3 North.

4 So, again, there are things that
5 need to be repaired. We have to rethink
6 what decisions that were made. No matter
7 which side of the argument that we want. We
8 need to rethink, and there's nothing wrong
9 with that, and open the place back up again
10 and give the service. Crime is crime. We
11 live in a great county. Our police officers
12 do a better job than anybody else in the
13 world and I stand by them and I know the
14 commissioner does too.

15 Detectives, if you remember in
16 the beginning, we were told there were
17 actually going to be detectives in that
18 building. They're not there. They're down
19 in the Third. Some people come in the
20 station house, I worked the station house
21 for a couple of years, you go into the
22 station house, you don't want to have a car
23 come to your house, because sometimes most
24 people don't want a car sitting in front of
25 their house. They don't want all the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 neighbors talking.

3 Some people have issues that you
4 want to discuss inside the station house
5 with the police officer that's taking a
6 report, and then, afterwards, be able to
7 talk to a detective. Not be told you now
8 have to go down to the Third Precinct if
9 you're living up on the north end there, it
10 discourages people.

11 So what I'm saying here right now
12 and, commissioner, I'm telling you this,
13 need to rethink. We all need to rethink
14 this thing. We went fine for a while and we
15 understood that times are tough, times are
16 getting better, 160 police officers are
17 graduating. You're putting 150 more on.
18 Maybe it's not tomorrow you open this place,
19 maybe it's January 1st. Maybe we can all
20 sit there and all come to an agreement. You
21 know what I mean? 25 more guys. Maybe it's
22 not 25 more cops, maybe it's about 15, but I
23 think we should come to something.

24 I think we should come to
25 something and I think the people in the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Third Precinct deserve to have the Sixth
3 Precinct taken away from them and have their
4 resources totally dedicated to them because
5 the Sixth Precinct resources, they're all
6 going down south where there's a lot more
7 issues. That's all I have to say. There
8 should be real consideration on doing this.
9 Again, you're not talking about a lot of
10 money in my opinion.

11 Glenn Ciccone and Brian Hessle
12 are here too.

13 MR. CICCONE: Good afternoon.
14 Glen Ciccone, president of the Detective's
15 Association.

16 Like Jim said, they combine two
17 precincts, and four years ago we protested
18 it. Our argument was, it's such a large
19 space. You have the north side, the Sixth
20 Precinct which is spread out and then you
21 have the south side, the Third Precinct,
22 which is very, very congested.

23 Detectives not only cover the
24 areas where Nassau County is, but we also
25 cover all the villages including the Village

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 of Hempstead. Most of our resources go to
3 the Village of Hempstead in the Detective
4 Division.

5 Now, picture the space from the
6 North Side to the South Side of the precinct
7 combined. You can go all the way as far as
8 Manor Haven, you can go to Great Neck, go to
9 Sands Point, and also go to East Meadow, the
10 medical center, even east of the medical
11 center.

12 So if you're a detective and
13 working and let's say you're covering
14 something in the medical center which is a
15 common spot for detectives, and all of a
16 sudden you get a call that there's an
17 important investigation that needs immediate
18 attention up in Sands Point, or up in Great
19 Neck, or up in Port Washington, and you're
20 covering that, so what I'm going to say
21 here, I'm not going to talking about the
22 cost savings, not going to talk about the
23 crime analysis, but I am going to talk about
24 a simple thing called efficiency.

25 It is not efficient for a

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 detective to be based out of Williston Park
3 and to cover such a large area, when most of
4 those resources in the Third Squad, when you
5 get called out, let's say to Hempstead which
6 is a regular area for the Third Squad to
7 cover, you don't go out with one or two
8 detectives, whoever is working, when there
9 is a homicide, every one jumps out and they
10 head over to that.

11 So when you have these two
12 precincts combined and everybody is down
13 south, the people up north are being
14 disadvantaged for it. Thank you.

15 MR. HESSLE: Good afternoon.
16 Brian Hessle, president of the Superior
17 Officer's Association.

18 Jimmy touched on it in an analogy
19 I was going to use. It's actually a very
20 simple one.

21 Norma, if tomorrow they told you
22 you have to cover Denise's district and take
23 away all of Denise's administrative people
24 and you are going to cover twice the area
25 that you covered before, I think you know

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 that that would never work.

3 You would not be able to address
4 the issues as well as you did before.
5 That's exactly what happened with this
6 precinct consolidation.

7 You took the busiest precinct in
8 Nassau County, the Third Precinct, which I
9 worked in almost my entire career here, and
10 combined with the Sixth Precinct, a quarter
11 of a million people at least live in that
12 area, a quarter of a million people. I
13 don't think you can go anywhere in the
14 United States and find a city of a quarter
15 of a million people that only has one
16 precinct. It doesn't happen.

17 The problem is that because the
18 Third Precinct is so busy, our COs are
19 involved with a lot of issues in the Third
20 Precinct and they can not address all the
21 precinct issues that are in the Sixth. It's
22 not a good situation. It's not efficient.

23 We have very good employees.
24 Very good police officers, very good
25 civilian employees. But, let's face facts.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 All employees need supervision. They need
3 direction. They need counsel. They need
4 supervisors. All we save when we combine
5 these precincts was getting rid of some
6 administrative people and the supervisors.
7 It doesn't work.

8 I will give you an example. The
9 city of San Diego. 1.3 million people.
10 Same size as Nassau County. Nassau County
11 is a very large city if you thought of it as
12 a city in the United States.

13 The San Diego Police Department
14 in San Diego is not a high crime area. They
15 have nine precincts. Let's go back right to
16 our area right here.

17 Suffolk County, the exact same
18 size, a little bit bigger now, used to be
19 smaller than the Nassau County Police
20 Department, but now they have about 2,500
21 cops.

22 Out of the ten towns in Suffolk
23 County they only cover five of them for
24 police services. Yet, what they did was,
25 about ten years ago, because the population

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 was starting to increase in Suffolk County
3 they added a precinct. New York City Police
4 Department just recently added a new
5 precinct in Queens.

6 What does the Nassau County
7 Police Department do? They come up with
8 this plan where they have to cut our
9 precincts in half. There's no reasonable
10 rational explanation to do that.

11 The population in Nassau County
12 has increased two percent just in the past
13 five years. Area wise, you can't find very
14 many blank empty spots anywhere in Nassau
15 County where somebody is not building some
16 businesses or apartments or residences or
17 whatever. We are getting bigger and bigger
18 as more businesses come into Nassau County,
19 more people come in from Suffolk County and
20 from New York City on a day-to-day basis.
21 We are getting bigger and we should be, if
22 anything, adding precincts instead of
23 excluding them.

24 The Third and the Sixth does not
25 work. It will never work. I cover all the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 ranks right up to deputy chief and assistant
3 chief.

4 I don't think if you could
5 honestly ask any of my top forces on this
6 job they would tell you this is a good plan.
7 They are in a certain position where they
8 have to agree sometimes, or they don't
9 really agree but I speak to these people and
10 none of them think it's good plan. Everyone
11 knows it's ridiculous and should not
12 continue.

13 25 people, they didn't save any
14 25 people. To reopen the Sixth Precinct,
15 they would need about six supervisors, six
16 or eight cops and they need some
17 administrative people. I don't even see
18 where that's going to cost \$5 million.

19 We closed the Fifth Precinct.
20 When we reopened it, we were told it would
21 not cost any more money.

22 We have 50 more supervisors now
23 than when we reopened the Fifth Precinct.
24 So I don't understand why they can't find
25 the people to reopen that precinct.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 I don't believe a word of it.

3 They can open it at no cost to you and the
4 people in the six precinct would be better
5 off for it. Thank you.

6 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you
7 very much. Legislator Jacobs.

8 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Gentlemen, I
9 just want to say thanks to all three of you.
10 I think if I had to summarize it in my mind,
11 I think Jimmy said it at the beginning,
12 there needs to be a reevaluation of the
13 effectiveness of this program, and I was
14 always opposed to consolidation but I know
15 you're hearing from people that the
16 petitions are signed by people who live in
17 our area.

18 And everyone is forgetting
19 something. There was another precinct that
20 was combined, the Eighth into the Second.
21 And the Second, by the way, goes all the way
22 to the shore also on the north shore. So
23 the Second was a fairly large district.

24 Now you have the Eighth Precinct
25 which went from Plainview all the way to

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Levittown and beyond. This is something
3 logically that I think good heads like
4 yours, who are the heads of the different
5 unions that represent all this, plus the
6 administration, plus people from the Public
7 Safety Committee should be able to come to a
8 conclusion.

9 I don't see any conclusion except
10 that this consolidation has not worked. But
11 that's not the way to walk into
12 negotiations.

13 I do believe there has to be a
14 reevaluation of the effectiveness. I have
15 not seen the effectiveness from day one from
16 when I was here and the vote was to close
17 the precincts.

18 So I thank you for being here
19 today.

20 PRESIDENT CARVER: And just to
21 let you know, we haven't forgotten about the
22 Second and the Eighth.

23 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I don't know.
24 I'm beginning to feel that way.

25 PRESIDENT CARVER: Rome wasn't

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 built in a day. A step at a time.

3 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I want you to
4 know, some people forget that there were
5 two. I mean, I have to say it's serious.
6 It really is serious. When people like this
7 wonderful speaker there, that lady from the
8 district, Sue, said she feels threatened and
9 she feels like she's not safe, that's
10 talking -- she represents a lot of people.
11 It's not just this one person. She took the
12 time to not pick up her children on time to
13 be here today to make her statement. I
14 appreciate that. I'm a grandma. I was a
15 mother of three. I understand what that
16 means. That's important for to us hear from
17 people that are living in that area who feel
18 that they're not being represented.

19 I appreciate your presentations.
20 Now, see what you can do.

21 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
22 Birnbaum.

23 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: I want to
24 thank you all for speaking today because I
25 think your words just speak volumes. You

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 know the situation. You know how the police
3 are feeling in the precinct and you've told
4 us your story.

5 I hear it from the residents in
6 the 10th Legislative District, from the
7 mayors. All these people would have loved
8 to have been there today if it wasn't the
9 middle of the day, we could have filled this
10 room twice over with people who believe that
11 the Sixth Precinct should be reopened.

12 Four years ago, when this was
13 proposed, the residents were completely
14 against it and over the years it has not
15 been approved as a cost saver, residents
16 don't feel safe, that's their perception,
17 and we haven't seen that this really has
18 saved anything.

19 When we live in a county as great
20 as Nassau County, our residents deserve the
21 best police force, they deserve to have a
22 local precinct. I really want to thank you
23 again for your words today.

24 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
25 Solages.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you
3 very much, Madam Chair. Good afternoon,
4 gentlemen. I would like to thank the three
5 wise men in the room, Glenn, Brian and Jim
6 for helping the communities of Elmont and
7 Valley Stream regain their Fifth Precinct.

8 As you may recall, the
9 consolidation plan called for the Fifth
10 Precinct to be shut down and due to the
11 efforts of many in the community and also
12 the commissioner who was here today who was
13 able to reopen the precinct without any
14 costs.

15 How can we justify reopening the
16 Fifth and not justifying reopening this
17 precinct?

18 So I thank you very much and the
19 Acting Commissioner mentioned that crime in
20 the Fifth Precinct has increased ten percent
21 since its reopening. Perhaps that's due to
22 the fact that it was shut down for some time
23 and people still believe it's shut down.
24 Please, let's do the right thing. There's
25 never a wrong time to do the right thing.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Thank you.

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Joanne
4 Borden.

5 MS. BORDEN: Good afternoon.
6 Breaking news: This is the 21st Century,
7 really. When are you conservatives going to
8 stop denying transgender people equal
9 protection from the evils of harmful
10 discrimination.

11 Doctors no longer bleed people.
12 Wake up. Medical science knows we are born
13 transgender. It's time for you to start
14 protecting us from harmful discrimination.
15 The Hebrew Bible, the Christian Bibles and
16 the Koran instruct us not to judge by
17 appearances but judge the way God, your
18 creator, does. He judges us from what is in
19 our hearts and what's beneath the surface.
20 That's where our gender and everyone's
21 gender resides.

22 For many decades, medical science
23 has found we are born transgender. Thus, we
24 are all children of the all high creator.

25 Our forefathers envisioned

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 equality for all. Lincoln reaffirmed that
3 at Gettysburg. Jefferson said everyone
4 should be treated equally, identically in
5 the law. Teddy Roosevelt said that no man
6 is below the law. Reagan said protecting
7 the rights of the individual is the only
8 reason you exist.

9 Even the Tea Party claims that
10 they believe in individual freedom and every
11 one should live the way they want. The
12 bathroom issue we hear about searches for a
13 solution to a problem where none exists.

14 In the long experience with non
15 discrimination laws and here in Nassau
16 without a law, there has never been a
17 bathroom problem or a privacy invasion
18 complaint. Ever. What can possibly be
19 leading you to ignore all of that and ignore
20 the fear hard working people have of losing
21 their job and being denied housing?

22 In your hearts you must know this
23 inhumanity is wrong. Purely immoral.
24 Plainly un-American and outright anti-Judeo
25 Christian teachings.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Where are your heads? Do you
3 think like a law maker should or do you
4 March to a bigger tune? Rise up and do what
5 Reagan advised. We must have the courage to
6 do what we know is morally right. Give us
7 the identical protections for the evils
8 people of harmful discrimination that you
9 give to everyone else, pass the Gender
10 Clarification Law. Thank you for listening.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
12 Curran.

13 LEGISLATOR CURRAN: Thank you. I
14 just want to say, Joanne, you are a head of
15 the curve. You have been coming here for
16 years talking about this. Now we see the
17 stories on the front page, six years, thank
18 you, now we see the front page story, all
19 the national papers now. You are a
20 trendsetter.

21 It's a shame that this
22 Legislature did not get ahead of the curve
23 and we're woefully behind the times on this.

24 MS. BORDEN: It's a great
25 disappointment to me that Americanism

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 doesn't rule, and that some odd ball
3 politics, I can't fathom it even, the same
4 politics that's destroying the Republican
5 party nationally, their concentration on
6 social issues when they should be taking
7 care of the population that elected them to
8 take care of them.

9 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Jean
10 Celender, Mayor.

11 MAYOR CELENDER: Good afternoon,
12 Presiding Officer Gonsalves and members of
13 the Nassau County Legislature. It's a
14 pleasure to be here for this hearing and an
15 opportunity to comment. My name is Jean
16 Celender, I'm the Mayor of the Village of
17 Great Neck Plaza. I'm here today
18 representing my village board of trustees
19 and myself to urge the Nassau County
20 Legislature to take steps to ensure the
21 Nassau County Police Department and County
22 Executive Ed Mangano reopen the Sixth
23 Precinct and undo the merger of the Sixth
24 and Third Precinct.

25 I want to thank my Nassau County

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 Legislator Ellen Birnbaum who is a
3 legislator from my village. Thank you,
4 Ellen. She along with Supervisor Bosworth
5 who you have heard earlier have really
6 championed this effort and have had several
7 successful rallies. I couldn't come to the
8 one this afternoon, but I've been to a prior
9 one that was held about a month ago.

10 We are all united in one voice to
11 express our serious concerns on the current
12 status of policing services and to send a
13 strong message that we need the Sixth
14 Precinct building reopened, fully staffed,
15 and separated from the Third Precinct.

16 I know you've heard these
17 comments by other speakers but I think it's
18 important that you continue to hear it
19 echoed by village representatives like
20 myself who represent a broader constituency.

21 I know there would be other
22 mayors here if it weren't during the day and
23 Legislator Birnbaum mentioned that and
24 that's absolutely true. We talk about it at
25 our village official's association and I

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 hear it daily that it's just not working.

3 I was opposed to the mergers back
4 when it was considered in 2012. I attended
5 the meetings, the legislative hearings that
6 were held. I spoke in opposition then. I
7 sent in letters. The merger plan back then
8 was flawed. We all said that but we would
9 give it an opportunity because that's what
10 happened, it was voted on and determined to
11 go forward, but we don't see that it solved
12 policing issues.

13 There is a lack of visibility of
14 patrol cars. They are virtually non-exist
15 in my village. There are many days that our
16 612 sector police car isn't manned. It sits
17 in my garage, and how do I know it, it's
18 right outside my offices.

19 The number of tickets being
20 written by Nassau County Police are way down
21 and dropping further from historically low
22 levels that existed back in 2012 before the
23 merger.

24 Just for some statistics that I
25 had run recently, in comparison, in 2013, in

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 the month of February, we had 64 moving
3 violations written by Nassau County Police
4 and 90 parkers.

5 In 2016, for the same period for
6 February, these tickets are reduced down 35
7 percent to 41 movers, and down 50 percent to
8 only 44 parkers being written in February.
9 That is about roughly one ticket a day.

10 In one of the busiest downtowns
11 in Nassau County, it's almost laughable if
12 it wasn't just so low figures.

13 In the first we had four times as
14 much tickets being written and offenses that
15 were being called out. And they're still
16 there to be written. It's still happening
17 every day.

18 It's not just concerns that I
19 heard Acting Commissioner Krumpter talk
20 about how the crime statistics of burglary
21 are down, but these are issues of quality of
22 life such as speeding and U turns and
23 failure to yield and cell phone and texting.
24 These are issues that can cause accidents,
25 lives potentially, pedestrians that can get

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 hit and killed.

3 We a very walkable downtown and I
4 hear every day from seniors that call me
5 that say that they are scared to cross the
6 streets in the Village of Great Neck Plaza.

7 So I'm here to ask that this has
8 to be undone or we need the amount of cars
9 patrolling to be upped. We were told when
10 the merger was going to take place that the
11 177 police cars will remain in their current
12 locations. That promise didn't happen.
13 There may still be 177 police cars but I
14 don't know where they are. If they're in my
15 village, they are possibly sitting in the
16 garage being unmanned and that's just not
17 acceptable.

18 Again, I want to reiterate what
19 the woman from Manhasset stated earlier.
20 This is not against the police officers that
21 we have present. They do a terrific job.
22 There are just not enough of them. We need
23 more police officers to get the job done and
24 to do it at levels that the people in the
25 community feel are acceptable.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 I'll try to wrap it up. I know
3 you have been here a lot of hours. I want
4 to talk about the savings and the reason for
5 doing this is the \$20 million savings.
6 Acting Police Commissioner said that the \$50
7 million has been realized. I would like to
8 see that somehow documented because in
9 reviewing the reports of overtime that have
10 been presented by the County Comptroller, he
11 has said all the police overtime costs have
12 exceeded the estimated reduction in salaries
13 and fringe costs in the period from 2009 to
14 2014 which included before and after the
15 merger was implemented.

16 So, therefore, the savings in
17 money, I don't see that that's been
18 achieved. I know the commissioner talked
19 about him believing in that report but
20 somewhere there has to be an accounting for
21 the arithmetic here, and I believe that this
22 Legislature deserves to have that outlined
23 and to see where are the facts, how do they
24 line up, because something is not working.
25 We need to know the facts and have an answer

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 on that.

3 I hope that the County Executive
4 and the County Legislature will reconsider
5 as this merger plan is not working. We need
6 the quality of life issues addressed. The
7 concerns about safety. The concerns about
8 the uptick in burglary. We did see that
9 reported, so one is saying it isn't an
10 uptick, and others are reporting that it has
11 been, so that also needs to be clarified.

12 I would just say no savings have
13 been achieved. And we are losing on the
14 protection of the public and our communities
15 which is the most essential function that we
16 do in government. I thank you for your
17 time.

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you,
19 Mayor Celender.

20 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Can I just
21 ask you a quick question, ma'am?

22 MAYOR CELENDER: Yes.

23 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Those
24 numbers that you gave regarding the numbers
25 of tickets that were written, where did

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 those come from?

3 MAYOR CELENDER: From the police
4 department. I just pulled out a comparison.
5 They give us monthly the reporting of the
6 parkers and the movers that they write.

7 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: So it's
8 numbers that the wrote, it's not numbers
9 returnable to your courthouse, those are
10 directly from the police?

11 MAYOR CELENDER: Well, they give
12 them to my village so I don't know about
13 outside villages other than my own. They
14 give them to me regarding my village.

15 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Meaning,
16 is it possible the tickets are written in
17 your village but made returnable to another
18 courthouse that you're not aware of?

19 MAYOR CELENDER: They shouldn't
20 be. Any tickets written by the Nassau
21 County Police in my village are returnable
22 to my court.

23 LEGISLATOR MACKENZIE: Thank you.

24 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Acting
25 Commissioner Krumpter, please.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

3 Just a couple of points of interest. The
4 first is, the summons activity at the Nassau
5 County Police Department as we sit here
6 today is at it's highest levels since 2010
7 when the department had started the year
8 with just over 2,700 members. So the level
9 of summons activities is significantly
10 greater. That's the first.

11 The second item is that, in the
12 coming weeks, the motorcycle platoon will be
13 back, that was the enforcement arm that when
14 we dropped below 2,100 was one of the things
15 that we had to cut back, and that will be
16 reinstituted as a full time detail. They
17 write a significant number of summonses.

18 So, what I would suggest is, in
19 the future you will be seeing those numbers
20 of clips 2010, which was like the banner
21 year, the gold standard, for summons
22 activity.

23 The second item is the, I
24 committed to 177 post cars and that's what
25 we had today, that's what we had then. One

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 of the things that we've done though is,
3 over the last year and a half, we now have
4 vehicle location systems in those cars so
5 whenever anything happens within a given
6 location we take a look at those police
7 officers activity. So there's a higher
8 degree of accountability on those 177 cars
9 than there was ever before at this point as
10 we sit here today. We get reports every
11 week to make sure those cars are up.

12 As far as the overtime report,
13 when you look at the cumulative -- they used
14 2009 as their standard for overtime. In
15 2009, there was 2,700 and change on the
16 department.

17 So this department today has 500
18 less police officers. But that's not the
19 only story there. Also, during that time,
20 the first 36 hours of overtime was paid at
21 straight time. That went into a different
22 pool of time. It wasn't counted as the
23 overtime budget.

24 In 2010, that was phased out.
25 That item alone was \$6 million a year

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 through a contractual change. That was
3 completely outside the management of the
4 administration of the department or for that
5 matter the county. That's the first thing.

6 The other thing is, at that point
7 in time, 2009, they were still doing quarter
8 days. What we use those quarter days for
9 was overtime offset. That was another \$4
10 million.

11 So, while the overtime was \$36
12 million dollars. If you adjust for those
13 two items, it was \$46 million. You take
14 into consideration the 500 less heads that
15 we have on the county payroll, you're
16 talking over a \$100 million in future
17 savings on termination pay and tens of
18 millions of dollars on health insurance
19 savings. On top of that, you're talking
20 about 130, \$140 million in savings a year
21 which far dwarfs the \$25 million increase in
22 overtime this county has saved.

23 This county has realized
24 significant savings by reengineering the
25 police department. To suggest anything else

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 is misinformed. You know what, I'm not
3 going to get into a whole public debate on
4 this again, but the report speaks for
5 itself. The comptroller's report speaks for
6 itself and my response speaks for itself.

7 I provided the response to both
8 caucuses and I would urge you that if you
9 have questions on overtime to read the
10 report. Anybody that reads it will see it
11 for what it is and that is truly, the
12 comptroller's report was truly worthless.

13 He had an agenda. It was quite
14 clear. If you take the time to read the
15 report, I never heard of an overtime audit
16 response that I think my response is over 20
17 pages because I had to go into great detail
18 because it was a very complex issue. We
19 will continue to always work on those
20 quality of life issues. Those are concerns
21 of this police department.

22 That's what we hear from the
23 communities throughout this county. What
24 people of this body have to realize is,
25 there are 177 postcards out there. They

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 haven't moved one inch. The same response
3 plan that was used pre-merger is the
4 response plan today.

5 So better than our computer
6 system, if a car is not available, it goes
7 to next post, next post, next post. So, for
8 example, if in the Five Towns they need a
9 car for service and there's no cars
10 available in the whole county, the car that
11 responds there before and after the mergers
12 will be the same car, a car from Bayville.

13 So it goes based on a computer
14 model that's embedded in the system. That
15 computer model was not touched at all. So
16 anybody that would say that resources are
17 being pushed in other directions, that's
18 just not the case. There are a lot of
19 things that can be debated and that's not
20 one of them.

21 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Acting
22 Commissioner, thank you very much. The CSEA
23 president, Jerry Laricchiuta has been
24 sitting there very patiently, I think that
25 it's about time we hear from him.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 MR. LARICCHIUTA: Good afternoon,
3 Presiding Officer Gonsalves, Minority Leader
4 Kevan, he was here just a minute ago, I
5 appreciate the time.

6 There were just a couple of items
7 and issues that I just wanted to bring to
8 your attention. Some of them specific to
9 actions that could be taken later one.

10 Of course when Acting
11 Commissioner Krumpter came up and then the
12 Town of North Supervisor Judy Bosworth, it
13 was good to see her again, but she brought
14 up some interesting facts.

15 So I'm going to get a little
16 derailed. I promise I'm not going to take a
17 long time, but I do have to respond to a
18 couple of things.

19 One, let's talk about the Sixth
20 Precinct real quick. I have members that
21 are stationed in the Sixth Community Center
22 right now. We want to see this precinct
23 opened in the worst way. We believe our
24 police officers and civilians, together,
25 make up one of the finest police departments

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 in the country when they are directed and
3 given the tools and the support that they
4 need. When they're not, they're actually
5 left out to dry a little bit. That's just
6 the way it goes. I'm going to tell you,
7 that building is in need of serious repair.
8 Unfortunately I didn't bring my pictures
9 today like the PBA President, but I can draw
10 you some pictures if you want. I'm only
11 kidding.

12 Actually they made some good
13 points. There's air quality issues in that
14 building. Issues downstairs in the basement
15 where my members works where she has carved
16 out a nice little niche for herself, but the
17 rest of the basement is loaded with dust.
18 I'm not going to say mold because that would
19 be irresponsible for me to say that because
20 it hasn't been tested for mold yet, but I'm
21 going to say other things that don't look
22 nice that I wouldn't want to be breathing
23 in.

24 There's cracked cement, there's
25 steps, if you're not watching where you're

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 going, you can go tumbling down, the whole
3 building is in need of serious repair, and I
4 would hope that this legislative body would
5 insist that if we open this precinct as we
6 should, and you heard good testimony as to
7 why, that we do it right.

8 Let's do it right. Nassau County
9 needs to start doing things right. I don't
10 want to sound like somebody because I just
11 did in my own head and that was scary, real
12 scary.

13 I just want to bring up one issue
14 that's going to be a little sensitive. I'm
15 sure Acting Commissioner is going to want to
16 run up here the minute I walk away.

17 But I'm going to tell you
18 something, there is a trend going on in this
19 country. That trend is not just limited to
20 Nassau County or it's not limited even to
21 New York, it's going on in New York City,
22 getting a lot of attention right now, it's
23 the way crime statistics are reported.

24 You need to take a serious look
25 at that, okay? I can't. I mean, I can and

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 I did. But you need to do that. Because
3 anybody can say crime is down. You're
4 seeing these allegations made, not just here
5 in New York. I'm not even saying there is
6 anything improper or there's any impropriety
7 going on, but there is a way that crime
8 stats are being reported that differ.

9 I do not believe that crime is
10 down. I believe crime is up a lot. You
11 can't open up a newspaper today, which is
12 our only paper, unfortunately, I'll get
13 killed for that one, but you can't open up
14 Newsday -- if you've been living here for a
15 while, you can see the difference. There's
16 robberies, burglaries, drugs, deaths, and
17 murder. It's more now than ever. I believe
18 crime stats are up. I could be wrong.

19 He referenced the comptroller's
20 audit which, by the way, I did take
21 inference to, because the two auditors that
22 he did write back in his reply are CSEA
23 members, and they're CPAs. They are the
24 highest qualified auditors in Nassau County
25 and the Acting Commissioner actually said on

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 the record that he felt the report was
3 biased because they were, now I'm
4 paraphrasing, he didn't use the word
5 enemies, but he said they're on the other
6 side, meaning, I don't know what, side,
7 because then when the CSEA does negotiations
8 to get unfrozen under the NIFA agreement. I
9 don't even understand that.

10 I negotiate with people for a
11 long time, every day. I don't consider
12 those people my adversaries by virtue of the
13 fact that I negotiated. They weren't even
14 negotiating. They were a tool that we
15 needed to verify numbers. We didn't have
16 the resources that the police department and
17 the county had, so we had to use CSEA
18 members that are qualified to see if the
19 numbers jived. Okay.

20 I said my peace on crime stats
21 and I think he owes CSEA an apology on the
22 fact that he said that we gave a -- my
23 people that work in the comptroller's office
24 gave a bias report. That's not even why I'm
25 here.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Two things I want you to
3 remember. You are going to be voting
4 sometime today on a capital projects, amount
5 of money. A big amount of money on capital
6 projects. So I just want you to remember,
7 that as you do so, keep in mind that Nassau
8 County workers do a lot of this kind of
9 work. I know in the past we have had some
10 success working together, if we can't get it
11 done in meetings with the administration, we
12 do get it done here at the leg by both sides
13 and Presiding Officer Gonsalves and Minority
14 Leader Kevan Abrahams have been helpful in
15 saying, is this your work or not? We
16 appreciate that. I need that to continue.

17 I'm here to remind you that if
18 you do pass this fairly large amount of
19 money for capital projects, that we are
20 still going to let you know if that's our
21 work or not. Because, to be honest with
22 you, CSEA numbers are the lowest they've
23 ever been. We believe our work is being
24 subcontracted out more now than ever before.
25 Because of need. Because we don't have the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 personnel. And that's not a legitimate
3 reason or excuse.

4 You can't not hire people and
5 then use contractors to do our work. That's
6 why what happens is the county winds up
7 paying twice, quite frankly, because we wind
8 up going to grievance and winning 95 percent
9 of them.

10 I'm going to end it with the
11 sewer plant that I'm dealing with every day.
12 I understand that you're going to pass a
13 fiscal arrangement to allow a feasibility
14 study. That's fine. I think that should be
15 done.

16 However, I want you to know
17 there's already a deal in place with Nassau
18 County, the CSEA, and United Water slash
19 Suez, I think it's Suez today. That's deal
20 number one. That deal is not going too
21 good. I have been in more hearings, more
22 fights, more battles, more lawsuits going on
23 because of deal number one than all the
24 other arrangements in Nassau County put
25 together.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 So, just keep in mind that if
3 there's going to be a larger financial lease
4 deal agreement, we would expect that the
5 CSEA be brought into the conversation at
6 some point because we have an active,
7 credible agreement on the table right now.
8 It needs to be addressed. It needs to be
9 dealt with. It can't be overlooked. Deal
10 with the CSEA when it comes up.

11 That's not how it's going to
12 work. We're going to wind up losing. We
13 don't want the residents to pay. The
14 residents -- you have to -- I don't have to
15 remind you, we all have to be mindful,
16 residents pay a lot of taxes here, and we
17 want to make sure that we get a deal that's
18 not going to be costly to our residents, our
19 taxpayers, but I'm not going to sit here and
20 allow my union members that I represent to
21 get trampled on because of this sewer
22 agreement that is coming up.

23 So we need to be kept in the
24 loop. I know I'm going to get an earful for
25 what I just said. But I thank you for your

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 time, each and every one of you, and I will
3 be back every week.

4 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: And you
5 will be welcomed. How's that?

6 MR. LARICCHIUTA: Thank you,
7 Norma.

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
9 Curran.

10 LEGISLATOR CURRAN: Thank you. I
11 will be very brief.

12 I just want to close out the
13 Sixth Precinct conversation. I read the
14 comptroller's report with great interest. I
15 read Acting Commissioner Krumpter's report
16 responding to that with great interest and I
17 mean the one thing that we take away from
18 that is overtime has been higher than
19 expected since consolidation. I know
20 there's reasons for that and I know we had
21 an incentive and a lot of people left. You
22 can explain why.

23 But, at the end of the day,
24 overtime was higher than expected, than
25 budgeted for in those years, and that's just

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 a truth. That's one thing about
3 consolidation that was promised that did not
4 come through.

5 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:
6 It's probably the -- I would like to say
7 crime is the biggest challenge here in the
8 county, but overtime management is the
9 biggest challenge and I will tell you
10 honestly, I worked for the Commissioner of
11 Police since 2002. If you look back prior
12 to that I think what you'd find
13 unfortunately it's a place that we struggled
14 as a department. We never don't work hard
15 on it. We focus our energy on it. It is an
16 area where we are constantly over budget,
17 and I don't know if there is a lot of years
18 in the last 25 years where we have met the
19 overtime budget.

20 It has been an issue long before
21 the consolidation and an issue since the
22 consolidation. Has totally disconnected.

23 In the case of the last five or
24 six years though, this department is
25 structured with minimum staffing that we

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 have very little flexibility in the amount
3 of posts that we have and how we staff. As
4 a result of that, if we don't have the
5 adequate number of people to put in those
6 cars, as you saw from the report, the
7 majority of that is from minimum staffing
8 overtime.

9 So when you actually look, that's
10 60 plus percent of that overtime is directly
11 attributable to minimum staffing. And
12 there's a percentage that's indirectly.

13 So, for example, if I have a
14 small detail that I know about in advance, I
15 can't pull post cars like they do in Suffolk
16 County to cover that detail for an hour or
17 two hours. What I have to do is bring in
18 people on overtime, so there's that indirect
19 result of overtime.

20 Or New York City. New York City
21 will have a detail, they will pull people
22 from all over the city out of their post
23 cars to cover that detail. We don't have
24 that flexibility with the way our contracts
25 are structured.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 We focus a significant amount of
3 energy on overtime. This is a topic of
4 conversation on a daily basis in the
5 department. Every Thursday we meet at the
6 command staff where everybody reports up the
7 overtime the week before and we go over all
8 that overtime to make sure that it's all
9 appropriate. We do a lot of different
10 items.

11 But without the adequate
12 staffing, remember, that when the precinct
13 consolidation was originally planned, it was
14 planned to have a staffing level of 24-40
15 for four precincts consolidated. Ultimately
16 we are working with two precincts.

17 And, at the low, dropped below
18 2,100 on the street. We had 340 officers
19 less on the street. That's 500,000 hours
20 less of staff. So that does impact that
21 overtime. Does that answer the question?

22 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I'm going
23 to allow the president of the PBA to come up
24 again. But there are at least four or five
25 people that have been sitting here patiently

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 and we have gone way way beyond the 30
3 minutes of public comment. Because you have
4 been sitting here very patiently, guess
5 what, we are going to continue so you can
6 have your opportunity to come up here and
7 speak. The rest of my colleagues are going
8 to just listen.

9 PRESIDENT CARVER: Thank you for
10 having me back up. I put in the slip
11 before, talked about the Sixth, and I forgot
12 about the other issue that is it is very
13 important to my members and the police
14 officers that patrol the streets every day.
15 I know that there's an item, I think Item
16 Number 46, that's coming up, it's computers
17 for the new police cars that are coming in.

18 As the commissioner stated,
19 actually the commissioner just left, and we
20 were on the same page, he's not here any
21 more. But this is a very important item.
22 New cars, we need them. We have had 177
23 post that the commissioner talked about. We
24 have 30 to 35 percent have well over 100,000
25 miles on those cars. There's 80 new cars

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 coming in by the end of the summer. We need
3 those computers to be able to put in those
4 cars, otherwise those cars are going to stay
5 in a lot until the money is approved for
6 that.

7 So we're urging all the police
8 unions, urging everybody to vote for this
9 item, to pass this item, so we can get the
10 computers in the car because we average
11 3,000 miles a month. We have a total of 10
12 cars that have been totaled out that patrol
13 the post that are backfilling with other
14 cars. This is a very important item to all
15 of us. We need this Item Number 46. Thank
16 you very much.

17 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Jack
18 McCloy.

19 MR. MCCLOY: Good afternoon,
20 members of the Legislature. Thank you for
21 allowing me to address you again. As you
22 may remember, I have spoken to you each
23 month for the last six months about the road
24 diet proposal for Grand Avenue in Baldwin.

25 I would like to mention that I

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 try to speak regarding the facts about the
3 proposal and not vilify those who are
4 proposing it and not become extremely
5 agitated about the situation, but this is a
6 very very important situation now that the
7 capital project vote is coming up.

8 The road diet, I hope all of you
9 got a copy of the sheet that I handed in.
10 If you didn't, let me read the first point
11 here: The road diet road configurations are
12 not recommended for roads with traffic
13 counts above 20,000 cars per day. This is
14 according to the US Department of
15 Transportation.

16 The count on Grand Avenue, south
17 of Sunrise Highway, was 19,488, and north of
18 Sunrise Highway was 20,127 as of 2015
19 reported by Liro. With traffic increasing
20 by the time the road diet is implemented, it
21 would meet the standards for it to be
22 reversed. I'm trying to point out to you
23 that this idea is not appropriate for Grand
24 Avenue in Baldwin.

25 It not only doesn't meet the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 guidelines established by the U.S.

3 Department of Transportation, but it also
4 will directly affect the main Baldwin Fire
5 Department which is located in the zone
6 where two lanes in each direction will be
7 reduced to only one lane in each direction.

8 The First Precinct travels this
9 area to get to any emergencies in North
10 Baldwin and anyone in North Baldwin that
11 needs to get to our closest hospital, South
12 Nassau Community Hospital, needs to
13 transverse the same route, and that will be
14 a tremendous problem if someone has a
15 medical emergency that needs to be tended to
16 right away.

17 I wanted to point out the second
18 to the last dot there on this list. The
19 road diet reconfiguration will cost between
20 \$6.5 million and \$7 million, and these are
21 according to estimates provided by the
22 proponents in public session which is money
23 that the county could and should spend in
24 more sensible ways.

25 I would like to also point out

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 that this section of Grand Avenue has been
3 reconfigured within the last two years.
4 It's in great condition. This is a very
5 needless way to allocate capital
6 expenditures in this area, and I ask you to
7 take a look at this and reexamine how this
8 amount of money should be spent in Baldwin.

9 There are over 300 residents that
10 have voiced their opposition to this roadway
11 being reconfigured for this cost and we hope
12 that you agree that this is not the best way
13 for our money to be spent.

14 Thank you very much and, finally,
15 before I'm going to head out, I just want to
16 mention that I look at speaking to you as an
17 honor every month and I have noticed that
18 some of the photographs that are coming in
19 are coming in in attire that would not be
20 allowed even in the Town of Hempstead to pay
21 a parking ticket with shorts and T-shirts
22 and sandals.

23 I hope that a message can be
24 given to some of the staff photographers
25 that being in front of you should be looked

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 at as an honor and not something as a stop
3 over on their way to the beach. Thank you.

4 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you,
5 Mr. McCloy. I have Robert Kugelman.

6 MR. KUGELMAN: I too would like
7 to discuss the Grand Avenue road project.

8 As an aside on his way out I told
9 Senator D'Amato to apologize to Bob Dole.
10 The Town of Hempstead IDA is a big ripoff
11 artist too. I can cite an example in
12 Baldwin.

13 I told you last month about
14 Hamburg, New York, Liro Engineering's
15 shining success story. I met a guy from
16 Hamburg and asked him about the bike lanes.
17 He laughed. He told me there's ice on the
18 road from the beginning of November -- this
19 is just south of Buffalo, until the end of
20 April. They get lake effect snow there,
21 sometimes ten feet a year. You can't ride a
22 bicycle for six months a year.

23 Another case cited in Liro
24 Engineering's report was Prospect Avenue in
25 New Cassel. I checked out Prospect Avenue

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 and I did spot a few bicyclists. They ride
3 on the sidewalk. They don't use the bicycle
4 lanes in the street. So you should check
5 out Prospect Avenue, Legislator Curran.

6 Grand Avenue is 100 percent
7 commercial. A major north south
8 thoroughfare, very valuable real estate,
9 converting a ten foot wide lane to exclusive
10 bicycle traffic is a total waste. You can
11 get away with that nonsense on Prospect
12 Avenue, but not a major thoroughfare like
13 Grand Avenue or Newbridge Road or Hicksville
14 Road or Elmont Road.

15 Now, I also this month visited
16 Richmond, Virginia and I saw they had a
17 great solution for bicyclists. Using what I
18 learned in Richmond, Virginia, I need to
19 redraw my plans for Grand Avenue.

20 We can have diagonal parking,
21 doubling parking on both sides of the
22 avenue, the historic Baldwin downtown can
23 look like a New England town, like main
24 street in Northport, Long Island.

25 Additional parking is the key

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 catalyst for economic development. We can
3 accommodate bicyclists, we can calm traffic
4 smartly with diagonal parking and narrower
5 traffic lanes, and bumped curbs.

6 We can make Grand Avenue a
7 landscape parkway worthy of Robert Moses
8 within your \$7 million budget.

9 Now, I don't have any skin in
10 this game. I'm just a good samaritan
11 watching a blind man heading for cliff's
12 edge. I've already warned you. My
13 conscience is clear. But I'm not going to
14 do new drawings until I get a vote of
15 confidence from the Public Works Committee.
16 Four of you will have to personally request
17 the new improved drawing and the Richmond
18 photos showing the better way to deal with
19 bicyclists.

20 Nassau County has a very small
21 footprint in Baldwin. It's mostly the Town
22 of Hempstead's turf. You have a police
23 station currently being rebuilt and Grand
24 Avenue. Originally, the police station was
25 going into a shopping center. Don't screw

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 up Grand Avenue.

3 It's no skin off of my teeth if
4 you want to follow the Liro Engineer
5 officers over the edge, so be it. Thank
6 you. I gave you fair warning. Thank you,
7 Madam President.

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: John
9 Budnick.

10 MR. BUDNICK: Good afternoon.
11 God bless you one and all. Madam Chair,
12 Minority Leader Abrahams, honorable members
13 of the Legislature.

14 I just want to talk quickly about
15 a few things. First and foremost is the
16 heroin epidemic in this county. It is
17 creating additional crime problems. It must
18 be dealt with.

19 We still have not replaced what
20 disappeared in I believe 2008, the Michael
21 Empotito [sic] Memorial Topic House. That
22 and other programs must be implemented as
23 quickly as possible.

24 In the Town of North Hempstead,
25 at the North Hempstead Town Beach, what used

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 to be Hempstead Harbor Beach, large portions
3 of it are not able to be used by the public
4 because there is a bus terminal there,
5 almost 100 commercial buses plus parking for
6 all the people there, an office building,
7 and a radio tower. That needs to be gotten
8 out of there, so all the people of Nassau
9 County are able to use that beach.

10 That area of it is terribly
11 underused and nobody can get there from the
12 rest of Nassau County let alone the areas
13 such as New Cassel and areas of Port
14 Washington and other low income areas of the
15 county that have no access to any
16 transportation there.

17 Next, Nassau County Family
18 Courthouse. I don't know how much of your
19 capital program, if any, is going to that
20 issue. I just was speaking recently with a
21 justice who had been stationed there for a
22 period of time who was telling me about how
23 a roof fell in the middle of a hearing that
24 they were running or attempting to run in
25 that building.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 I haven't seen any further action
3 on the facility that used to be the Nassau
4 County Department of Social Services. One
5 of these days if we continue to ignore this
6 problem there may be a terribly tragic
7 situation that occurs at the family court
8 building.

9 Finally, we need to get everybody
10 together on to one page with regard to the
11 Grumman Navy Plume getting extracted from
12 the aquifers such as the county has been
13 doing over at Mitchell Field, and the Nassau
14 County Fireman's Training Center for the
15 last 20 or 30 years. And having a lot of
16 success with that. There is no reason why
17 those projects are not being used as models
18 for the Navy Grumman plumes which are
19 heading towards --

20 CLERK PULITZER: Sir, your three
21 minutes have expired.

22 MR. BUDNICK: The county
23 facilities of Takapusha and Massapequa
24 Preserve, thence to the bay, thence to the
25 ocean to foul it up.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Thank you all very much. I wish
3 you the very best, each of you individually.
4 God bless you.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you
6 so much, John.

7 The last speakers that I have
8 that are part of public comment, by the way,
9 not on the calendar I hope I'm saying it
10 right, is it Dan? Introduce yourself.

11 MR. OOFLOOT: My name is Danny
12 Oofloot. People raise your hand if you
13 believe all humans deserve equal rights.
14 So, basically, I'm here again because the
15 County Legislature has again failed to pass
16 transgender civil rights, you know, which
17 goes against what we stand for.

18 Basically, just recently, a
19 trans-woman in New York City was jumped
20 because of the hate and this County
21 Legislature and any other government body
22 that hasn't done anything to help on this
23 issue is basically enabling this hate to
24 continue which is absolutely unacceptable.

25 We pay your taxpayer dollars and

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 it's unacceptable that people whose rights
3 are not protected are paying out of their
4 pockets to support your life when you won't
5 do anything to help their life by simply
6 voting on something that gives them the
7 rights everyone else has. That's not asking
8 for anything. That's just asking to do the
9 right thing which some of you haven't done.

10 Basically, a lot of other people
11 would be here but some people are afraid to
12 be in public because they're not treated --
13 forget about not treated as equals to
14 others, they don't feel safe because of who
15 they are. This is the kind of behavior that
16 the people in this legislative body have
17 enabled by not doing anything and
18 particularly Presiding Officer Norma
19 Gonsalves has by not putting this up for a
20 vote.

21 This is something in the next few
22 years that will be put up for a vote. It's
23 just a matter of who remains on the
24 Legislature or not. Because once -- people
25 don't understand the issue and once people

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 are educated on this issue, which they will
3 be, they will understand that it's a no
4 brainer to do this and when that comes,
5 either this will be put up for a vote or the
6 people who don't want to vote on this, some
7 of them will be voted out.

8 Either do the right thing or be
9 voted out in a few years because this is an
10 issue that needs to be passed. This isn't
11 something that's optional. It must happen.
12 We shouldn't have to keep doing this for
13 each different group of people. Everyone
14 should be treated equally which basically is
15 the law. We just don't follow it.

16 Basically people have been abused
17 by county officers because of who they are.
18 People have been kicked out of housing.
19 Haven't been able to get jobs. Been denied
20 service at a restaurant. It's legal here in
21 Nassau County for someone to be asked to
22 leave a restaurant.

23 CLERK PULITZER: Sir, your three
24 minutes have expired.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Dan, you

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 want to wrap it up for us.

3 MR. DAN OOFLOOT: I'm done.

4 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
5 Jacobs.

6 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: And I think
7 it's only fair to say something to you.
8 Joanne and I are very good friends. I know
9 she's been coming here for six years. I
10 obviously entered a bill to amend our bill
11 of Human Rights Law all this time for those
12 six years.

13 Let me explain something to you,
14 and I think it's important that you
15 understand this. When we passed our Human
16 Rights Bill, we thought it was all
17 encompassing.

18 I brought in people from every
19 avenue you can think of; be it housing
20 discrimination, religious discrimination.
21 We thought we covered gender. We thought we
22 covered everything. We had complete
23 agreement. It was unanimously passed by
24 this Legislature.

25 We had every religious group

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 agreeing with everything we did. When
3 Joanne first got up, my first reaction was
4 to tell her, no, no, no, you're included,
5 which I understand there is a disagreement
6 with lawyers as to whether our bill really
7 does include it. But we do not give a
8 definition of gender. We mention the word
9 gender but we don't define it.

10 That's what sets us apart from
11 those who have taken that extra step of
12 amending.

13 So I just want you to know that
14 this group as a whole voted for human
15 rights, so that's why I'm so frustrated
16 right now. Because if everyone knew what
17 that one line is of what gender is, I don't
18 think there would be such a problem like
19 everyone is having.

20 To have someone like Joanne here
21 six years in a row it just breaks my heart.
22 I can't even look at her right now because I
23 don't know what to say any more. I know
24 that doesn't make you feel better right now,
25 but I can tell you that some of the lawyers

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 who I have spoken to and I really respect
3 really feel everyone is covered.

4 I tend to agree with you, if
5 there has been the change in the city and a
6 change upstate and a change in Suffolk, we
7 should do the same change. We should have
8 been on the top of it, which we tried, but
9 at least we should do it now.

10 I just felt it was important you
11 know this because you are so kind and you
12 explain to us how you are feeling. I don't
13 want you feel it's going on deaf ears. The
14 bill is in.

15 Maybe for Joanne's next birthday
16 we will have the present ready for her, I
17 don't know, but I just want you to
18 understand that when it originally passed,
19 if I had ever known in my head that we
20 needed a gender definition, it would have
21 been done.

22 MR. OOFLOOT: I understand, and I
23 appreciate you, Legislator Kevan and
24 Legislator Laura Curran who have been very
25 involved in this issue. Was at the Trans

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 rally last year on June 14 and hopefully
3 able to make it on June 5th.

4 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Actually I
5 can't because my grandson Bar Mitzvah is
6 that weekend. It's a good reason. I feel
7 badly. It's the first time I missed it.
8 But I just wanted you to understand that
9 Dan. Okay?

10 DAN OOFLOOT: Okay.

11 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Thank you
12 very much.

13 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Believe it
14 or not, that ends public comment. Jeffrey,
15 you're not part of public comment. The item
16 is on the calendar. When we do the item we
17 will call you. Be patient.

18 As usual, we usually do the
19 consent calendar, and that means that the
20 items that we will block together are those
21 items that were passed unanimously in
22 committees.

23 So I'm going to call those items
24 which is Item 3, Resolution 67; Item 4
25 Ordinance 46; Item 8, Ordinance 50; Item 9,

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 Ordinance 51; Item 10, Ordinance 52; Item
3 11, Ordinance 53; Item 12, Ordinance 54;
4 Item 13, Ordinance 55; Item 14, Ordinance
5 56; Item 15, Ordinance 57; Item 17,
6 Ordinance 59; Item 18, Ordinance 60; Item
7 19, Ordinance 61; Item 20, Ordinance 62;
8 Item 21, Ordinance 63; Item 22, Resolution
9 68; Item 23, Resolution 69; Item 24,
10 Resolution 70; Item 25, Resolution 71; Item
11 26, Resolution 72; Item 27, Resolution 73;
12 Item 28, Resolution 74; Item 29, Resolution
13 75; Item 30, Resolution 76; Item 31,
14 Resolution 77; Item 32, Resolution 78; Item
15 33, Resolution 79; Item 34, Resolution 80;
16 Item 35, Resolution 81; Item 36, Resolution
17 82; Item 37, Resolution 83. I believe
18 that's it.

19 Motion, please.

20 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

21 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

22 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
23 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
24 Walker. All those in favor of those items
25 that were just called, signify by saying

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 aye.

3 (Aye.)

4 Any opposed?

5 (No verbal response.)

6 Since this is a consent calendar,
7 I don't expect any opposition therefore the
8 items pass unanimously.

9 Now let's go back to those items
10 that require a separate discussion.

11 We will begin and I'm going to
12 ask the clerk to call Item 2 which is the
13 vote on proposed local.

14 CLERK PULITZER: Yes, ma'am.

15 A local law to amend the
16 administrative code of Nassau County in
17 relation to the prohibition of
18 discriminatory anti-competitive business
19 practices by companies that participate in
20 boycott diverse sanction activities.

21 Motion, please.

22 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So moved.

23 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.

24 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Second.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Legislator Kopel, seconded by Legislator
3 Dunne. Actually, I'm going to ask that
4 Legislator Jacobs second that. Better that
5 way. Any additional comments from the
6 legislators regarding this item?

7 Now you can speak since you're on
8 the item. Hopefully you got something more
9 to add than you did in public comment.

10 MR. PERESS: Yes, I do.

11 Legislator Kopel, I think it's a shondaler
12 of what you're doing in this case. I think
13 it's a slap in the wrist for the veterans,
14 namely Jacob Fletcher, Wilfredo Rubina, and
15 all the other 5,000 people that were killed,
16 that died for this country, and a slap in
17 the face for Christopher McGlynn who once
18 ran for County Legislature to go up there
19 and fight for their country. It's bad.

20 To say it's discriminatory, it's
21 discriminatory to go against one particular
22 company because they don't agree politically
23 with another. This is America. You're
24 loyalties are to the red, white and blue,
25 not the blue and white. You took that oath.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 If you don't, I'm going to call
3 upon you as being unpatriotic because you
4 haven't put the legislative -- because you
5 voted for this. It's a waste, again, of
6 county time. Look at it. Look what
7 happened with the police force. They're
8 having difficulty right now with the Sixth
9 Precinct. You can't even bring up this
10 issue. You're taking months and months.

11 And, Delia, I saw you, you're all
12 talking, I saw you before and I spoke to you
13 and said you don't know. How are you going
14 to vote on this?

15 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You will
16 see how we're going to vote when it's time
17 to vote.

18 MR. PEREZ: It's a violation of
19 the First Amendment and it needs to be --

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You're not
21 going to single out anybody.

22 MR. PEREZ: How about you,
23 Legislator Dunne? You're the only veteran
24 on this committee, or on this legislature?

25 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I just

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 said, do not single out any of the
3 legislators.

4 MR. PEREZ: All right. I won't
5 single them out. But this is America, not
6 Israel. We are loyal here. I'm loyal as an
7 American Jew here to this country, to this
8 state, to Nassau County, and you should take
9 your oaths seriously. It's a slap on the
10 wrist. There's corruption in Nassau County
11 with all the contracts. You're dealing with
12 this instead.

13 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you
14 very much. I said you're done.

15 There being no other comment, all
16 those in favor of Item 2 signify by saying
17 aye.

18 (Aye.)

19 Any opposed?

20 (No verbal response.)

21 I guess the vote is unanimous.
22 The item passes unanimously.

23 Do you realize that we have a
24 Rules Committee after this? Item 5,
25 Ordinance 47, a bond ordinance providing for

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 a capital to finance the capital project
3 specified herein within the County of Nassau
4 authorizing \$3 million of bonds of the
5 County of Nassau to finance said expenditure
6 and making certain determinations pursuant
7 to the State Environmental Quality Review
8 Act pursuant to the Local Finance Law of
9 New York and the County Government Law of
10 Nassau County.

11 Motion, please.

12 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

13 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

14 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
15 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
16 Walker.

17 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:
18 Good afternoon, Acting Commissioner Thomas
19 Krumpter. How are you?

20 So earlier this year, this
21 legislative body saw the need to make a
22 significant investment in the department's
23 fleet. Those vehicles have been ordered and
24 they will be shipped in the near future.

25 As part of those cars, the last

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 item is the computers. The in-car computers
3 is the one piece of this.

4 The second piece is a Homeland
5 Security Grant in the amount of \$750,000 of
6 about \$200,000 of that funding will be used
7 for that match to harden our target's
8 security -- excuse me, cyber security in the
9 police department to a level in order to
10 assure that the data and information that we
11 hold and protect cannot be compromised, or
12 mitigate any risk of it being compromised.

13 So that was funded in part by a
14 Homeland Security grant and the remaining
15 would be funded as part of this bond
16 ordinance. That is the two items.

17 The vehicles for the in-car
18 computers are replacing in-car computers
19 that are not compatible with new computer
20 systems. Without these computers, we will
21 not be able to put those cars on the road
22 because they become an integral part of the
23 department's operations. They have the
24 computer aid dispatch rest on that.

25 The new records management system

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 will rest on that and a number of the
3 computers are not compatible with either one
4 of those two new systems. That's the reason
5 we are asking for this funding at this time.
6 Are there any questions?

7 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
8 questions of Acting Commissioner Krumpster?

9 (No verbal response.)

10 Any public comment? This is Item
11 Number 5, Ordinance 47, all those in favor
12 of Ordinance --

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I can say
14 this while you guys come out. I don't have
15 a question for Commissioner Krumpster, but I
16 do want to say for the record, our caucus
17 took a very steadfast look in the county
18 procurement process here in this county.

19 I think by the testimony that
20 District Attorney Madeline Singas has
21 identified, our procurement process is a
22 recipe for corruption.

23 That being said, from our
24 position, we have decided to not bond, not
25 because we don't believe what the

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 commissioner has brought up to us is not
3 worthy, but we truly believe that the
4 procurement process in this county is
5 flawed.

6 Before we would release hundreds
7 of millions of dollars that would go through
8 this process and not be able to have
9 independent oversight that is questionably
10 needed in this county, it would be very
11 difficult for us to proceed.

12 So, therefore, we will be voting
13 in the negative for this item, not because
14 we do not think it's worthy, but we will be
15 voting in the negative because the
16 procurement process in this county is
17 flawed.

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: All those
19 in favor of Item 5, Ordinance 47 signify by
20 saying aye.

21 (Aye.)

22 Any opposed?

23 (Nay.)

24 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Can we have
25 a roll call vote on that because there are

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 some members missing from the room?

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Whose
4 missing? Judy Jacobs left. Only 12. I
5 will do a roll call if you want, so if you
6 want to call the roll, go ahead. But I just
7 called the roll. It was 12 to 6. The item
8 fails. Legislator Jacobs was not part of
9 the vote because she had a previous
10 engagement and needed to keep it.

11 Other than that, there were six
12 in the minority who voted against it, and 12
13 in the majority who voted for it. That's
14 it. The item fails. That's it. You're not
15 going to debate it. You don't want to go
16 that road, today has been pretty long.

17 Next, Item 6, a bond ordinance
18 providing for a capital expenditure to
19 finance a capital project specified herein
20 within the County of Nassau authorizing
21 \$11,600,000 of bonds of the County of Nassau
22 to finance said expenditure and making
23 certain determinations pursuant to the State
24 Environmental Quality Review Act pursuant to
25 the Local Finance Law of New York State and

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16
2 the County Government Law of Nassau County.
3 Motion, please.

4 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

5 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Second.

6 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
7 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
8 Rhoads. Who is here to speak on this item?

9 MR. YANSICK: Hello. Chris
10 Yansick, Nassau County Department of Public
11 Works.

12 This bond ordinance will provide
13 for the continuation of support for an
14 existing federally mandated program related
15 to our replacement of the county storage
16 tanks.

17 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
18 questions? Legislator Rhoads.

19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So I
20 understand, this is a federally mandated
21 program?

22 MR. YANSICK: That's correct.

23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: And if we
24 fail to approve the bonding, what happens?

25 MR. YANSICK: I will get that

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 answer for you. This program I know has
3 been going on for a number of years. It is
4 ongoing. I believe there is an EPA consent
5 order that's involved as well.

6 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Minority
7 Leader.

8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Does this
9 have to be paid through capital bonding or
10 could it be paid out of operate?

11 MR. YANSICK: I believe this is a
12 capital expenditure that should be paid out
13 of capital funds.

14 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Could it be
15 paid out of bond premiums?

16 Are you familiar with the excess
17 bonding?

18 MR. YANSICK: Understood, but
19 there is no appropriation for the bond
20 premiums into the capital program right now
21 that could be used to fund that.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But, in the
23 future, could the appropriation be made?

24 MR. YANSICK: I would have to
25 speak to Carnell about that.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
3 comments?

4 (No verbal response.)

5 Is there any public comment?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 There being none, all those in
8 favor of Item 6, Ordinance 48 signify by
9 saying aye.

10 (Aye.)

11 Any opposed?

12 (Nay.)

13 The item fails 12 to 6.

14 Item 7, Ordinance 49, an
15 ordinance to amend Ordinance 76-2000 related
16 to the establishment of various fees of the
17 Department of Public Works.

18 Motion, please.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So moved.

20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

21 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
22 Legislator Nicolello, seconded by Legislator
23 Kopel. Anyone here to speak on this item?
24 The Minority Leader Kevan Abrahams has
25 recused himself and of course Legislator

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Jacobs is not in the room.

3 MR. MEYER: Good afternoon. Josh
4 Meyer, Pannone, Lopes, Devereaux & West, 81
5 Main Street, White Plains, New York 10601.

6 So we're before you here today on
7 an amendment to an ordinance passed in 2015
8 regarding the Department of Public Works
9 design review fee

10 The issue that this is addressing
11 came to our attention as a result of the
12 recent real property transaction with
13 Memorial Sloan Kettering.

14 As a result, this ordinance seeks
15 to clarify that the building permit review
16 fee to be charged to a not-for-profit,
17 purchasing real property from the county, is
18 the fee schedule applicable on the date that
19 the legislature approved the sale.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
21 questions? Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

22 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi.
23 How are you? Can you just state on the
24 record that it's your legal opinion that
25 this is not an unconstitutional gift for a

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 not-for-profit?

3 MR. MEYER: That's correct. It
4 is our opinion that this is not an illegal
5 gift.

6 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can
7 you just elaborate on that a little? How do
8 you classify that?

9 MR. MEYER: Sure. This is a law
10 of general applicability. It applies to any
11 entity. What we're really clarifying here
12 is the time frame. Because there was a
13 confusion when the Sloan Kettering contract
14 of sale was approved, at the time that was
15 entered into, not-for-profits were exempt
16 from this fee.

17 So what we're clarifying here is,
18 it's at the time when you'll make the
19 determination as to what fee is in effect is
20 when the Legislature approves this.

21 So, in this instance, all we're
22 doing is restoring and clarifying the
23 intention of the parties. There is -- there
24 is generally this case law about a gift and
25 loan is generally been held where there is

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 no corresponding benefit or consideration at
3 the time.

4 What they are really trying to
5 get at for gift and loan is that there is no
6 consideration or little consideration.
7 Here, there is \$6.5 million of
8 consideration. So, our opinion is, it was
9 not a gift or loan.

10 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Thank
11 you.

12 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
13 comments or questions?

14 (No verbal response.)

15 Is there any public comment?

16 (No verbal response.)

17 There being none, all those in
18 favor of Item 7, Ordinance 49 signify by
19 saying aye.

20 (Aye.)

21 Any opposed?

22 (No verbal response.)

23 The item passes 17 to zero with
24 one refusal.

25 That's the end of the calendar.

1 Full Legislature/5-23-16

2 Motion to adjourn.

3 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

4 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by

6 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator

7 Walker. All those in favor of adjourning

8 the calendar signify by saying aye.

9 (Aye.)

10 Any opposed?

11 (No verbal response.)

12 Guess what, we are moving right
13 into Rules, those of who are on Rules please
14 don't leave.

15 (Whereupon, the Full Legislative
16 Committee adjourned at 4:36 p.m.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and
Notary Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby stated:

THAT I attended at the time and place
above mentioned and took stenographic record
of the proceedings in the above-entitled
matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true
and accurate transcript of the same and the
whole thereof, according to the best of my
ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
my hand this 7th day of June, 2016.

FRANK GRAY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

RULES COMMITTEE

NORMA GONSALVES, Chairwoman

1550 Franklin Avenue
Mineola, New York

Monday, May 23, 2016
4:38 P.M

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S :

NORMA GONSALVES,
Chairwoman

RICHARD NICOLELLO,
Vice Chairman

DENNIS DUNNE

HOWARD KOPEL

KEVAN ABRAHAMS,
Ranking

JUDY JACOBS (Substituted by
Delia DeRiggi-Whitton)

CARRIE SOLAGES

MICHAEL C. PULITZER,
Clerk of the Legislature

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

LIST OF SPEAKERS

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| GREG MAY..... | 5 |
| JOE DAVENPORT..... | 7 |
| DONNA BOYLE..... | 8 |
| BRIAN SCHNEIDER..... | 10 |
| ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER..... | 11 |
| DAVID RICH..... | 14 |
| LISA LOCURTO..... | 15 |
| DENNIS MCDERMOTT..... | 18 |
| PAUL BRODERICK..... | 30 |
| ASSISTANT CHIEF JOHN PRIEST..... | 33 |
| MARYELLEN LORRAINE..... | 35 |
| DONNA HALL..... | 50 |
| JEFF LINDGREN..... | 64 |

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We have
3 everybody that is supposed to be here.
4 Please call the roll for the Rules
5 Committee.

6 CLERK PULITZER: Thank you,
7 Madam. Legislator Carrie Solages?

8 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.

9 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
10 DeRiggi-Whitton substituting for Judy
11 Jacobs?

12 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
13 Here.

14 CLERK PULITZER: Ranking Member
15 Kevan Abrahams?

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.

17 CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
18 Presiding Officer Howard Kopel?

19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.

20 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
21 Dennis Dunne?

22 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.

23 CLERK PULITZER: Vice Chairman
24 Richard Nicoletello?

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 CLERK PULITZER: Chairwoman Norma
3 Gonsalves?

4 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: She's
5 present.

6 CLERK PULITZER: We have a
7 quorum.

8 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you.
9 We will begin with Item A-19, a resolution
10 authorizing the Director of Nassau County
11 Office of Purchasing to award and execute a
12 contract between the County of Nassau acting
13 on behalf of the Nassau County Correctional
14 Center and Rockland Bakery, Inc.

15 Motion, please.

16 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
19 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
20 Nicolello. Tell us about this.

21 MR. MAY: This is the standard
22 bread products contract, or purchasing
23 contract for the county that we use for the
24 corrections facilities. Any questions?

25 (No verbal response.)

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public
3 comment?

4 (No verbal response.)

5 There being none, all those in
6 favor of A-19 signify by saying aye.

7 (Aye.)

8 Any opposed?

9 (No verbal response.)

10 Any abstentions?

11 (Abstain.)

12 Four, zero, three.

13 Item B-13, a contract between the
14 County of Nassau acting on behalf of the
15 Nassau County Department of Public Works and
16 RJ Industries, Inc.

17 Motion, please.

18 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
21 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
22 Kopel.

23 MR. MAY: Mr. Joe Davenport from
24 Public Works to answer any questions on this
25 item.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 MR. DAVENPORT: This is a
3 publically bid construction contract for
4 improvements at the Cedar Creek Pollution
5 Control Plant for specially replacement of
6 belt filter presses with centrifuges for
7 drying the digested solids. The bids were
8 open on January 19th. The County received
9 six bids. We are recommending an award to
10 the lowest bidder, RJ Industries.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
12 questions of Mr. Davenport? Legislator
13 Dunne.

14 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: This isn't
15 going to increase the odor?

16 MR. DAVENPORT: No. Actually, if
17 anything, it will improve. The centrifuges
18 are totally an enclosed process as compared
19 to belt filter presses that are open to the
20 interior of the building.

21 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
22 comments?

23 (No verbal response.)

24 Is there any public comment?

25 (No verbal response.)

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 There being none, all those in
3 favor of B-13 signify by saying aye.

4 (Aye.)

5 Any opposed?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 Any abstentions?

8 (Abstain.)

9 Four, zero, three.

10 Our next item is B-16, a contract
11 between the County of Nassau acting on
12 behalf of the Nassau County Department of
13 Public Works and NUCO Painting Corporation.

14 Motion, please.

15 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

16 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

17 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
18 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
19 Kopel. Who do we have?

20 MR. MAY: Ms. Donna Boyle from
21 the Department of Public Works.

22 MS. BOYLE: Good afternoon. This
23 contract is with NUCO. They were
24 approximately 1.5 less than the next guy on
25 the list. It was open bid. We had four

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 bidders and we will get an 80 percent
3 reimbursement on this contract from the
4 federal government through New York State.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
6 questions of Ms. Boyle?

7 (No verbal response.)

8 Is there any public comment?

9 (No verbal response.)

10 There being none, all those in
11 favor of B-16 signify by saying aye.

12 (Aye.)

13 Any opposed?

14 (No verbal response.)

15 Any abstentions?

16 (Abstain.)

17 The item passes four, zero,
18 three.

19 The next item is B-20, a contract
20 amendment between the County of Nassau
21 acting on behalf of the Nassau County
22 Department of Public Works and Hi-Tech
23 Air-Conditioning Services, Inc.

24 Motion, please.

25 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
4 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
5 Nicolello.

6 MR. SCHNEIDER: Good afternoon.
7 Brian Schneider from Public Works.

8 This is an amendment to an
9 existing building contract HVAC contract
10 with Hi-Tech Air Conditioning Services.

11 This amendment will extend the
12 cap for an additional \$2 million anticipated
13 to be \$1 million for each year which will
14 increase the maximum cap in the contract to
15 be \$5 million.

16 We are not extending the term of
17 the contract. That will remain the same.
18 We are recommending approval of the
19 extension of the contract cap for an
20 additional \$2 million to bring to bring it
21 up to \$5 million.

22 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
23 questions of Mr. Schneider?

24 (No verbal response.)

25 Is there any public comment?

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 (No verbal response.)

3 There being none, all those in
4 favor of B-20 signify by saying aye.

5 (Aye.)

6 Any opposed?

7 (No verbal response.)

8 Any abstentions?

9 (Abstain.)

10 Four, zero, three.

11 The next item is an amendment
12 E-111, an amendment to a personal services
13 agreement between the County of Nassau
14 acting on behalf of the Nassau County Police
15 Department and American Securities
16 Technologies, Inc. D/b/a Life Button 24.

17 Motion, please.

18 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
21 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
22 Nicolello.

23 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

24 Good afternoon Legislator Gonsalves, Acting
25 Commissioner Thomas Krumpter, Nassau County

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Police Department.

3 This contract amendment is kind
4 of unique in that it significantly changes
5 the terms and conditions and scope in
6 particular. As far as the quantity which we
7 are buying, significantly reducing the
8 quantity that is being bought by the Nassau
9 County Police Department of panic buttons.
10 Going from several thousand devices down to
11 \$500. The per unit cost remains the same
12 and this is an additional licensing cost
13 because of the reduction.

14 But, overall, it will result in a
15 significant reduction in savings. 300 of
16 the 500 devices and a proportionate amount
17 of the technology is all being funded by a
18 New York State grant with the remaining 200
19 of the devices being funded out of the
20 county operating funds.

21 The 300 devices will be dedicated
22 to domestic violence victims. They are
23 replacing the department's current and
24 existing caper system. This is one of two
25 technologies that the Nassau County Police

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Department is adopting.

3 The second is a panic button app
4 that will go on the phones where we are
5 buying an enterprise license for that
6 particular license.

7 This gives two different
8 technologies which will be used for
9 robberies and other types of ongoing crime.

10 I'd be more than happy to answer
11 any questions.

12 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
13 questions of the Acting Commissioner?

14 (No verbal response.)

15 Is there any public comment?

16 (No verbal response.)

17 There being none, all those in
18 favor of E-111 signify by saying aye.

19 (Aye.)

20 Any opposed?

21 (No verbal response.)

22 Any abstentions?

23 (Abstain.)

24 Four, zero, three. It passes.

25 The next item is E-113, an

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 amendment to a personal services agreement
3 between the County of Nassau acting on
4 behalf of the Traffic and Parking Violation
5 Agency and Quest Computer Products, Inc.

6 Motion, please.

7 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

8 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

9 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
10 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
11 Kopel. We have?

12 MR. RICH: David Rich, assistant
13 executive director of the Nassau County
14 Parking and Violations Agency.

15 This is amendment 15 to the
16 original contract. Basically it extends the
17 term to January of '18 with an on option to
18 renew for five one year periods. This is
19 the vendor whose system has been in since
20 1995 which basically processes and handles
21 all our traffic and parking violations in
22 the IT system.

23 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
24 questions of Mr. Rich?

25 (No verbal response.)

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Is there any public comment?

3 (No verbal response.)

4 There being none, all those in
5 favor of E-113 signify by saying aye.

6 (Aye.)

7 Any opposed?

8 (No verbal response.)

9 Any abstentions?

10 (Abstain.)

11 Four, zero, three.

12 The next item is E-121, a
13 personal services agreement between the
14 County of Nassau acting on behalf of the
15 Nassau County Executive's Office and the
16 Office of the Nassau County Attorney and
17 Robert J. Bishop.

18 Motion, please.

19 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

21 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
22 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
23 Nicolello.

24 MS. LOCURTO: Good afternoon,
25 legislators. This is a contract covering

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 the lobbying services for the period of
3 January 1, 2016 through December 31st, 2016.

4 This firm will provide the county
5 with representation in Albany to fight for
6 the county on various state bills that
7 affect county residents. It is essential to
8 have effective advocacy on behalf of the
9 county for constructive legislation that can
10 help the county function productively and
11 efficiently.

12 Examples of some of the
13 legislation that have helped us in the past
14 and we hope to help the county with in the
15 future would include state funding being
16 provided for the prevention of contagious
17 diseases specifically spraying for or
18 providing assistance with the Zika virus as
19 well as West Nile, aid to our community
20 colleges, real property or tax assessment
21 reforms, Medicaid, Medicare reimbursements
22 from the state. Those are just a few of the
23 things that they work with the county on.

24 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Minority
25 Leader.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.
3 Quick question. Ms. Locurto, has the
4 outside counsel incurred more than \$50,000
5 in fees prior to this approval?

6 MS. LOCURTO: This isn't an
7 outside counsel. They are a specific
8 lobbying firm that provides lobbying
9 services. Have they incurred, yes, while
10 their contract has been pending, it is a
11 flat rate that is paid. This is on the
12 Bishop contract?

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes. This
14 is not a law practice that he has?

15 MS. LOCURTO: No, it's a contract
16 with Robert J. Bishop acting in his capacity
17 as a lobbyist and provides those services.
18 He is associated with a law firm but this
19 contract is specifically with him.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
21 questions of Ms. Locurto?

22 (No verbal response.)

23 Is there any public comment?

24 (No verbal response.)

25 There being none, all those in

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16
2 favor of E-121 signify by saying aye.

3 (Aye.)

4 Any opposed?

5 (Nay.)

6 Item passes four to three.

7 The next item is E-122, a
8 personal services agreement between the
9 County of Nassau acting on behalf of the
10 Nassau County Department of Parks,
11 Recreation and Museums and Dean Karahalidis,
12 Inc.

13 Motion, please.

14 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

16 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
17 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
18 Nicolello. Who do we have?

19 MR. MCDERMOTT: Dennis McDermott
20 from the County Attorney's Office. This is
21 a contract between the county and Dean
22 Karahalidis for concert pops for the holiday
23 spectacular that was held last December.
24 The file had been routed and received all
25 approvals including the comptroller's

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 approval in December 2015.

3 At that point the file
4 disappeared and despite many diligent
5 efforts by parks and the County Executive's
6 Office, the file has never showed up.

7 Now we are here to get this
8 payment approved on a file that had already
9 been approved in December.

10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You lost me.
11 What happened here?

12 MR. MCDERMOTT: The contract was
13 completely approved and signed off even by
14 the comptroller's office. From that moment
15 on the file disappeared.

16 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: When did this
17 happen?

18 MR. MCDERMOTT: It was routed in
19 October and November and it was approved in
20 December by the comptroller which is the
21 final approval in December of 2015.

22 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: But, once
23 again, I think what you're saying now, if
24 I'm not mistaken here, is that it was
25 approved by the comptroller after it was

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 done, after the performance was done.

3 MR. MCDERMOTT: Well, all of the
4 other approvals, the comptroller is the last
5 one, and in their due diligence they take
6 approximately 30 days to approve it.

7 The last approval that was
8 required other than comptroller was county
9 attorney's office and that was done.

10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm going to
11 vote this time for it for only one reason
12 and that's because it's unfair to someone
13 who has already done work to start enforcing
14 something like this now.

15 But I've said it before and the
16 time is going to come when I'm going to vote
17 against every single time that a contract
18 comes before us for approval once the money
19 has been spent, once the service has been
20 rendered.

21 That kind of renders the entire
22 process over here pointless. This has to
23 stop.

24 MR. MCDERMOTT: It is out of the
25 ordinary. It doesn't happen -- in this

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 case, it disappeared well after it was out
3 of park's control.

4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: When did parks
5 approve it?

6 MR. MCDERMOTT: Parks approved it
7 November 14 and then budget approved it
8 October 23rd. County Attorney approved it
9 November 2nd. That is the last approval
10 that's required before comptroller,
11 December.

12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: There was one
13 performance.

14 MR. MCDERMOTT: Yes, one
15 performance, the Holiday Spectacular last
16 year.

17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Even so, don't
18 go and spend the money. If you didn't get
19 the approval, you can't spend the money.

20 MR. MCDERMOTT: They had the
21 approvals.

22 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: But they
23 didn't because we have to approve it. You
24 didn't have our approval.

25 MR. MCDERMOTT: At that time

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 legislative approval was not needed.

3 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Got it. As I
4 said, I only speak for myself, but going
5 forward I'm going to start voting against
6 all these.

7 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
8 Nicolello.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I
10 understand what you're saying, it wouldn't
11 have come to us because of the -- because we
12 were operating under a different threshold,
13 but especially with these performances and
14 advertising and that type of thing, there's
15 no reason whatsoever why they can't come to
16 us before these things actually take place.

17 I can understand a Public Works
18 project seasonal issues with getting started
19 et cetera et cetera, but you have to be able
20 to get to us a contract for someone
21 performing or someone advertising before
22 they actually do it.

23 There's no reason and I think
24 what we are asking you to do, obviously you
25 are up there, the messenger, to let the

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 administration know that these things have
3 to come to us in a timely fashion or they're
4 not going to get through.

5 MR. MCDERMOTT: I agree,
6 legislator, and every effort is being made
7 to do that but this is an unusual situation.
8 It was routed under previous guidelines
9 where it would not have needed legislative
10 approval and had actually received all of
11 the requisite approvals of the comptroller
12 well before the performance itself.

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: May I?

14 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Minority
15 Leader Abrahams.

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just really
17 quick. I mean, if I understand, this
18 contract still would have been a part of our
19 calendar for notifications, correct?

20 MR. MCDERMOTT: It would have
21 been, yes.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.

23 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public
24 comment?

25 (No verbal response.)

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 There being none, all those in
3 favor of E-122 signify by saying aye.

4 (Aye.)

5 Any opposed?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 Any abstentions?

8 (Abstain.)

9 Item passes four, zero, three.

10 Next is Item E-123, an amendment
11 to a special counsel contract between the
12 County of Nassau acting on behalf of the
13 Nassau County Attorney's Office and Bee
14 Ready Fishbein Hatter & Donovan, L.L.P.

15 Motion, please.

16 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
19 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
20 Nicolello.

21 MS. LOCURTO: Good afternoon.

22 This is a contract with special counsel to
23 represent and assist the Office of Labor
24 Relations and County Attorney's Office in
25 labor disputes and arbitration.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 The firm also provides legal
3 counsel on labor issues, negotiations, and
4 specific issues with the five labor unions
5 that the county has. This contract is for
6 \$900,000. It will cover work from the
7 period of January 2016 through December
8 31st, 2016.

9 Special counsel will be providing
10 legal services to specific county agencies
11 and departments. Again, those are the
12 Office of Labor Relations, Nassau County
13 Police Department, Nassau County
14 Correctional Facility and the County
15 Attorney's Office.

16 Each of the county departments
17 are contributing to the funding of this
18 contract. The counsel is required because
19 they do have an expertise and specialized
20 knowledge in the County Attorney's Office
21 and the departments would like to take
22 advantage of the CBA, collective bargaining
23 agreements with the various unions have had
24 extensive amendments and adjustments
25 overtime and the understanding of the

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 complexities of these contracts requires the
3 County Attorney's Office and the various
4 departments to consult with counsel.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
6 questions of Ms. LoCurto? Minority Leader.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you,
8 Madam Presiding Officer. The same question
9 I asked before, Ms. Locurto, I think it
10 would apply now, because this is a law firm,
11 correct?

12 MS. LOCURTO: Correct.

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Not in the
14 case of Mr. Bishop?

15 MS. LOCURTO: Correct.

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So, again,
17 I ask the question, has outside counsel
18 incurred more than \$50,000 in fees prior to
19 the legislative approval today?

20 MS. LOCURTO: I would have to go
21 back and look. I believe they have occurred
22 that for the period because the contract
23 covers January 2016 and this is May of 2016.
24 So, they would have accrued some billings
25 for that period of time.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Because the
3 reason I ask is because is the outside
4 counsel aware that NIFA has a policy that
5 states that fees above \$50,000 will not be
6 approved if they occurred prior to
7 legislative approval?

8 MS. LOCURTO: Yes, they have been
9 aware. The County Attorney's Office and
10 NIFA have been speaking about the
11 contracting process. They have been fully
12 brought into the scope of the discussion and
13 they are aware of what is being discussed
14 and required with these legal service
15 contracts.

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Are we
17 concerned about any danger that we could be
18 sued from your perspective?

19 MS. LOCURTO: By who?

20 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: By the law
21 firm. By the law firm, yes.

22 MS. LOCURTO: I don't think so at
23 this time. No.

24 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I mean this
25 is a policy by NIFA, it's not a law. If

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 somebody rendered services to this county, I
3 don't know, something to think about.

4 Sounds like to me we may ask the County
5 Attorney for a legal opinion.

6 MS. LOCURTO: I think I
7 understand your question, legislator. We
8 will be happy to provide whatever opinion
9 that you need or would like us to address
10 whatever questions that you have.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public
12 comment?

13 (No verbal response.)

14 There being none, all those in
15 favor of E-123 signify by saying aye.

16 (Aye.)

17 Any opposed?

18 (Nay.)

19 The item passes four to three.

20 Item U-16, an amendment to a
21 personal services agreement between the
22 County of Nassau acting on behalf of the
23 Office of the Nassau County Attorney and
24 Jackson Lewis, P.C.

25 Motion, please.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

4 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by

5 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator

6 Nicolello. Now I'm going to call for a

7 motion to table.

8 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

10 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by

11 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator

12 Nicolello. All those in favor of tabling

13 U-16 signify by saying aye.

14 (Aye.)

15 Any opposed?

16 (No verbal response.)

17 Any abstentions?

18 (No verbal response.)

19 The item has been tabled

20 unanimously.

21 The next item is U-18, an

22 amendment to a personal services agreement

23 between the County of Nassau acting on

24 behalf of the Nassau County Department of

25 Social Services and Long Island Cares, Inc.,

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 d/b/a The Harry Chapin Food Bank.

3 Motion, please.

4 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

5 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

6 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
7 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
8 Kopel. Who is here to speak on this item?

9 MR. BRODERICK: Legislators, good
10 afternoon. Paul Broderick, deputy
11 commissioner, Department of Social Services.

12 The item before you is a contract
13 with the Department of Social Services and
14 Long Island Cares. Essentially the
15 department had realized what the number of
16 individuals who are food insecure in Nassau
17 County.

18 The department wanted to help
19 support efforts to further assist residents
20 of Nassau County. The administration
21 supported us and the contract before you is
22 for \$24,500.

23 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
24 questions? Minority Leader.

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Broderick, this contract in our backup is
3 identified as the sole source, can you just
4 elaborate on what makes this a sole source?

5 MR. BRODERICK: It's an
6 established food bank.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I know the
8 Harry Chapin Food Bank very well and other
9 food banks as well.

10 MR. BRODERICK: Well, it's
11 similar to Island Harvest. The way we were
12 looking at it, they were like wholesalers.
13 The supply food banks in various
14 communities. It isn't as if they have one
15 centralized location. They're spread out.
16 They service a number of food pantries
17 throughout various neighborhoods in Nassau
18 County. So they're like a wholesaler that
19 procures food at the discounts, economies of
20 scale, and supports the others out in the
21 community.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There's no
23 other entity that you believe can do this?

24 MR. BRODERICK: I would say that
25 Island Harvest does that as well and we are

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 supporting them as well.

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public
4 comment on this item?

5 (No verbal response.)

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If I may?
7 Mr. Broderick, we are going to take the
8 position based on your commentary that this
9 will be considered a sole source and we plan
10 to vote for it. Thank you.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: There is
12 no public comment so all those in favor of
13 U-18 signify by saying aye.

14 (Aye.)

15 Any opposed?

16 (No verbal response.)

17 The item passes unanimously.

18 U-19, a personal services
19 agreement between the County of Nassau
20 acting on behalf of the Nassau County Fire
21 Marshal's Office and Fire Command Training.

22 Motion, please.

23 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
3 Nicolello.

4 ASSISTANT CHIEF PRIEST: Members,
5 John Priest, Assistant Chief Fire Marshal.
6 The item before you is in regard to a
7 contract with Fire Command Training.

8 Approximately two years ago, the
9 volunteer fire service asked to have a
10 course developed on leadership qualities,
11 Fire Marshal's Office, VEEB and OEM worked
12 together to develop a wonderful program
13 which is just finishing after nine months of
14 educational series and this contract is to
15 agree to have retired Battalion Chief John
16 Sulka, a renowned speaker on fire service
17 education is going to provide the keynote
18 speakers role at the commencement to be held
19 in two weeks.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
21 questions of Assistant Chief Priest?

22 (No verbal response.)

23 Is there any public comment?

24 (No verbal response.)

25 There being none, all those in

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16
2 favor of U-19 signify by saying aye.
3 (Aye.)
4 Any opposed?
5 (No verbal response.)
6 Any abstentions?
7 (Abstain.)
8 Four, zero, three.
9 It passes.
10 We have some items on the table,
11 I believe, the agenda. Two items that we
12 are going to untable and I'm going to ask
13 for a motion to untable both of them; E-93
14 and E-105. A motion to untable.
15 Motion, please.
16 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
18 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
19 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
20 Kopel. All those in favor of untabling E-93
21 and E-105 signify by saying aye.
22 (Aye.)
23 Any opposed?
24 (No verbal response.)
25 Any abstentions?

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 (No verbal response.)

3 The items are untabled.

4 The first one is E-93, an
5 amendment to a personal services agreement
6 between the County of Nassau and Service
7 Air, L.L.C.

8 Motion, please.

9 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
12 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
13 Kopel. Who is here to speak on this item?

14 MS. LORRAINE: Good afternoon,
15 MaryEllen Lorraine, Department of Health.

16 Item E-93-16 is a renewal to a
17 contract in the amount of \$384,639. This is
18 for Service Air.

19 This provides transportation
20 management and oversight to approximately
21 1,700 children with developmental delays.
22 We are mandated by Article 25 of the Public
23 Health Law to provide transportation to
24 those children.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 questions? Minority Leader.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you,
4 Madam Presiding Officer.

5 According to our back-up, it
6 seems like this contract took eight months
7 before it was presented to the Legislature;
8 am I accurate?

9 MS. LORRAINE: Yes, the contract
10 began in September. We entered into the
11 contract process, the Department of Health
12 with the vendor in May of 2015. The vendor
13 signed it in July. There was some forms
14 that needed to be updated. I don't have an
15 exact timeline as to when the contract went
16 back and forth with the forms.

17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Look, I
18 know it's not your fault. I do respect
19 that. However, again, similar to Legislator
20 Kopel's concerns, he had brought up we just
21 have to get this stuff in a more timely
22 manner. Obviously it almost becomes a moot
23 issue in terms of this vote.

24 That being said, if you can bring
25 that message back.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 MS. LORRAINE: I understand.

3 Yes, I will.

4 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
5 questions or comments?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 Is there any public comment?

8 (No verbal response.)

9 There being none, all those in
10 favor of E-93 signify by saying aye.

11 (Aye.)

12 Any opposed?

13 (No verbal response.)

14 Any abstentions?

15 (Abstain.)

16 The item passes four, zero,
17 three.

18 The last item is E-105, a
19 personal service agreement between the
20 County of Nassau acting on behalf of the
21 Nassau County Police Department and Aon
22 Consulting.

23 Motion, please.

24 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
3 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
4 Nicolello. Acting Commissioner Krumpter.

5 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

6 Good afternoon. This contract is with Aon.
7 It is for the next sergeants in the next
8 entrance exam. It's planned that the exam
9 will be given during the first quarter of
10 2017, with the entrance exam occurring in
11 the second quarter, the later part of the
12 second quarter in 2017.

13 The one item of note was on the
14 disclosure was Aon Corporation agreed to pay
15 \$1.76 million and then an additional related
16 \$14.5 million to the Department of Justice
17 on a case in December of 2011.

18 That case was related to the
19 Foreign Corruption Act related to payments
20 made to members of other governments that,
21 while legal in that country, were not legal
22 in this country. Under the Foreign
23 Corruption Act were in violation of federal
24 law, U.S. Law.

25 This consulting engagement is

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 also party to this particular agreement is
3 the Department of Justice. We are under a
4 federal consent decree since 1982. This is
5 the company that has been doing our exams
6 and has all of the validation information
7 dating back to the '90s. It was a company
8 that was acquired by Aon ultimately and
9 there have been a number of different
10 companies, but the original company goes
11 back in the validation.

12 The issue that we have is the
13 validation studies, some of them we own,
14 some of them the Department of Justice owns.
15 In this particular contract, we own all of
16 the validation materials which is something
17 the Assistant Commissioner Fox changed
18 during the negotiations.

19 The one item of note is that
20 while we were under a federal consent decree
21 since 1982 for hiring first line
22 supervisors, we are right now in the process
23 of working with the Department of Justice to
24 plan an exit of the Department of Justice
25 where they will join with us after the next

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 sergeant's exam to lift the consent decree.

3 So we expect this consent decree
4 that the department has been under since
5 1982, over 30 years, to be lifted after the
6 next sergeant's exam is given. It's a long
7 time coming and a lot of hard work by all
8 involved. Any questions?

9 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Minority
10 Leader.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you,
12 Madam Presiding Officer.

13 Commissioner Krumpter, thank you
14 for clearing up the question that we had in
15 regards to number 12 on the history form
16 when we noticed that Aon checked yes in
17 regards to the past five years has the
18 business and/or any of its owners or
19 officers are affiliated with the business
20 been the subject of a criminal
21 investigation. We thank you for clearing
22 that up.

23 To your knowledge, are you aware
24 of any other incidences within that last
25 five year period? We were able to identify

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 that one as well in Wikipedia, but were you
3 able to identify any others?

4 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

5 This information was provided by the vendor.
6 I actually did a search. I wasn't able to
7 locate any on the internet.

8 Just keep in mind, we are under a
9 federal consent decree. This is the civil
10 rights division out of Washington, D.C. that
11 has been party to this process, and they
12 never mentioned any issues with this
13 particular vendor. They have oversight.
14 They did not mention any issues with this
15 vendor.

16 We contract with the vendor, but
17 we are under federal oversight in this
18 particular case.

19 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: My question
20 is concerning because, obviously, I know it
21 falls outside the five year window, but
22 there was also, in 2004 and 2005, Spitzer
23 investigated, the New York Attorney
24 General's Office investigated them as well,
25 and then there was also a breach I guess in

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 their UK department on their financial
3 services authority.

4 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

5 That was 2011?

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: 2009. Then
7 there was a 2011. And then obviously the
8 investigations speak to potential bribery,
9 conflicts of interest on the part of Aon or
10 inadequate corruption controls on the part
11 of Aon. It's concerning for what we're
12 reviewing today.

13 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: I
14 can appreciate that and, to be quite honest
15 with you, this Aon acquired a company that
16 acquired a company, but basically the people
17 that have developed this test have been
18 doing it in this department since 1994. And
19 they have done a total of nine exams.

20 This is the only relationship
21 that I'm aware of that this police
22 department has with this vendor. I was --
23 other than the fact that they checked the
24 box and disclosed the findings, I was not
25 aware of anything prior to that and I'm not

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16
2 aware of anything other than what I provided
3 you. Now I'm aware of the items you brought
4 up.

5 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You are
6 aware that in December 2011 they agreed to
7 pay out \$1.76 million in criminal penalty to
8 resolve the violations of the Foreign
9 Corrupt Practices Act?

10 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: I
11 am aware of that because that's the one item
12 they disclosed within the five year window,
13 legislator.

14 I think it's important to realize
15 that Aon is one the largest -- it's a very
16 large company. I don't even know what their
17 revenue is, but I know it's big.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Last
19 question, Commissioner. Obviously I
20 understand the consent. I actually
21 appreciate it. I advocated for fair
22 practices in regards to the test design and
23 recruitment.

24 Can I ask you, has the PD been
25 successful in recruiting and promoting

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 minorities and female applicants as was
3 tried to set out many years ago when the
4 consent decree was established?

5 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

6 The consent decree -- the biggest problem we
7 face, legislator, is what we have seen on
8 this exam, for the first time ever, this
9 exam by legal definition did not have an
10 adverse impact on minorities.

11 Not only that, the minorities
12 were representative consistent with what the
13 population in Nassau County was with some
14 minority groups other than women. The women
15 were not represented on the exam, but with
16 the exception of the African American and
17 Hispanics were represented with the Hispanic
18 having a bigger percentage than the
19 population.

20 So we did a great job recruiting
21 and that, unfortunately, has not translated
22 into the same -- on the hiring.

23 The number one reason I attribute
24 that to is that a lot of the minorities that
25 are on this exam are being hired by the

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 village police departments. We are seeing a
3 significant amount.

4 One of the things that we focused
5 on going forward and will continue to have
6 an oversight sample on the next exam of
7 minorities. So, we have made huge strides
8 but nowhere near where we got to be.

9 But the Department of Justice on
10 the entrance exam is satisfied with the
11 entrance exam. To that end, they are going
12 to join us in removing us from the consent
13 decree. This has been 30 years. We have
14 been under oversight for the last 30 years.

15 We have been working with the
16 Department of Justice and on the next
17 entrance exam we expect to be making some
18 changes in order to address the Department
19 of Justice and our concerns.

20 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If you
21 could, Commissioner, provide us with any
22 type of stats that you may have that shows
23 like a trend. We're not looking for a I
24 gotcha, we're just trying to get an idea of
25 a trend.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: I
3 hate to say, there's not a gotcha, we're not
4 getting it done yet. We are going to
5 continue to work to get it done.

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What I'm
7 trying to say is, we're not trying to put
8 you into a gotcha, not trying to embarrass
9 anyone, we're just trying to find out what
10 the trend is so we get a better idea of what
11 we can be doing.

12 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:
13 There is a lot more work to do, legislator.
14 We have come a long way, but what we have to
15 now do is turn those people sitting in those
16 seats taking the entrance exam, we have to
17 turn that into actually minority members
18 taking the job.

19 What I will say is, that it is a
20 problem, one of the real positives now is,
21 since Commissioner Willet has been named, we
22 have right now three African Americans that
23 sit within critical positions within the
24 department as one deputy inspector, one
25 inspector, one deputy chief.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Additionally we have another
3 African-American lieutenant who will be
4 likely promoted off the current exam to
5 captain.

6 So there is a lot more work to do
7 and the work really is about getting the
8 minority applicants into the academy
9 classes. We will provide you all the data.
10 That's just so you are aware. That is
11 something that we report to the Department
12 of Justice on regular intervals. I don't
13 know if it's quarterly or annually. We do
14 report to them so we will provide you all
15 that data.

16 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
17 Nicolello.

18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I just
19 took a quick look on mine and it looks like
20 Aon is multi billion dollar company with
21 involvement in all sorts of enterprises and
22 insurance risk, et cetera. More or less in
23 lines of AIG.

24 I guess if you have one
25 department engaging in activities, it's

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 obviously been fined or potential criminal,
3 whatever, doesn't necessarily mean the one
4 we're dealing with -- have you been
5 satisfied with the services with whatever
6 branch of Aon you are dealing with?

7 ACTING COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER:

8 The service has actually been outstanding.
9 We have been nine exams. All nine exams
10 have met the standards set by the Department
11 of Justice. Remember, we are in federal
12 oversight.

13 One of the reasons the Department
14 of Justice gave for the settlement of \$1.76
15 million and an additional 14.5 to the
16 Securities and Exchange on this particular
17 case was how quickly and cooperative they
18 were in routing out these issues.

19 If you read Justice News which is
20 the actual press release, I have in my
21 possession, they actually cite how
22 cooperative they were in addressing the
23 issue.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any public

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16
2 comment?
3 (No verbal response.)
4 There being none, all those in
5 favor of E-105 signify by saying aye.
6 (Aye.)
7 Any opposed?
8 (No verbal response.)
9 Any abstentions?
10 (Abstain.)
11 Four, zero, three.
12 That takes care of the regular
13 agenda for Rules, but now we have an
14 addendum. Motion to suspend the rules.
15 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
17 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
18 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
19 Nicolello. All those in favor of suspending
20 the rules signify by saying aye.
21 (Aye.)
22 Any opposed?
23 (No verbal response.)
24 The rules are suspended.
25 The first item is A-9, a

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16
2 resolution authorizing the director of
3 Nassau County Office of Purchasing to award
4 and execute a contract between the County of
5 Nassau acting on behalf of the Nassau County
6 Correctional Center and Mercury Elevator
7 Corp.

8 Motion, please.

9 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

11 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
12 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
13 Nicolello. Who is here to speak on this
14 item?

15 MR. MAY: We have Ms. Donna Hall
16 from the correctional facility.

17 MS. HALL: Good afternoon,
18 Legislature. The item before you is to
19 authorize an award a purchase order for
20 elevator modernization and repair for the
21 Nassau County Correctional Center.

22 The solicitation was advertised
23 in Newsday and posted to the Nassau County
24 Bid Solicitation Board where 33 vendors were
25 notified electronically of the bid.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Minorities was given a copy of the bid and
3 the one bid was received. The cost of the
4 purchase will be \$317,000 from capital
5 funds. There was a typo on here. I know it
6 indicates grant. It was a typo through the
7 purchasing department. They read it and
8 thought it was grants but it's actual
9 capital.

10 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
11 questions? Minority Leader.

12 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are
13 you? On the business history form, the
14 vendor, question 17B, the response to the
15 conflict policy states that if a conflict
16 were to arise, the vendor would seek
17 guidance from the county, are you familiar
18 with that part of the form? That's the
19 response, I'm sorry.

20 I'm talking about the business
21 history form. I don't know if you see that
22 response on question 17B. The response
23 seems to be a nonresponse.

24 MS. HALL: No conflict exists, if
25 a conflict should arise, seek guidance from

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 the county. Okay. Do you want my
3 interpretation of that?

4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Well, I
5 guess our feeling is what procedures would
6 they use to see if there was a conflict? It
7 seems more reactive than preventive.

8 I think the questions weren't
9 designed towards preventative. Do you
10 agree?

11 MS. HALL: I can actually get
12 clarification on that but my interpretation
13 is that if they were told there was a
14 conflict they would seek to rectify it.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It just
16 seems that, I don't know, we've seen this
17 multiple times in the business history form
18 and we've asked for more clarity. I see
19 Ms. LoCurto is here, I hate to put her on
20 the spot. Seem to think we would get more
21 response.

22 MR. MAY: Legislator, it states
23 no conflict exists. If a conflict should
24 arise we will seek guidance from the county.
25 I don't understand why that is an

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 insufficient answer. If you would like to
3 tell us what you prefer to see on these
4 forms, but we have been playing this dance
5 for like three months now and we put an
6 answer down. First it was NA, that wasn't
7 acceptable. Then none is not acceptable.

8 Now we are saying, if there is a
9 conflict that exists, they would seek
10 guidance from the county, that can be from
11 the Board of Ethics, could be from the
12 County Attorney's Office. There are a
13 number of solutions there. We feel that is
14 a sufficient answer.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. May, if
16 I may, please describe any procedures your
17 firm has or would adopt to ensure the county
18 that a conflict of interest would not exist
19 for your firm in the future. And you're
20 saying because they say none exists now,
21 they're not concerned about anything
22 developing in the future?

23 MR. MAY: That's actually not
24 what they. They say that if a conflict
25 exists in the future, they will seek

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 guidance from the county. That could be
3 anything from a county attorney opinion, an
4 opinion from the Board of Ethics. There's a
5 number of avenues available to us should a
6 conflict arise.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So, you
8 accept, the administration accepts that
9 there is no policy or procedure they have in
10 place now to deal with it and you are
11 comfortable accepting the response that they
12 will seek guidance from the county if one
13 does arise?

14 MR. MAY: We are comfortable with
15 that otherwise we would not have presented
16 it to you, whether or not that is a
17 sufficient answer is up to this body.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. From
19 our standpoint, that's why we keep bringing
20 it up.

21 MR. MAY: I understand. We keep
22 trying to find the answer.

23 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, no.
24 What I think would help is if the question
25 was probably designed differently because

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 this gives the impression -- the way this
3 question reads, 17B, they should have
4 something in place already not seeking
5 guidance from the county and not running NA.

6 MR. MAY: They're not allowed to
7 write NA anymore, so that's out.

8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And it's
9 funny that, counsel just advised me, that
10 this response is actually written on every
11 contract. Is this something that you guys
12 tell them what to write?

13 MR. MAY: Not that I recall.

14 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It's almost
15 identical. We'll get to another one.

16 MR. MAY: NA was identical and
17 none was identical.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But NA and
19 none are pretty -- this is about a ten word
20 response which comes very close to what
21 we've seen.

22 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I'm going
23 to ask a question, if it's okay, is it okay?

24 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Sure.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: If the

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 contractor said no conflict exists, would
3 that have been sufficient to give this
4 contract to this contractor? Why would
5 anyone want to go on any further to say --
6 to incriminate themselves if any conflict
7 exists in the future then we will seek
8 guidance. I would think that it would have
9 been sufficient to say no conflict exists at
10 this time. Period.

11 MR. MAY: We would think that it
12 would be sufficient too. Just to address
13 the Minority Leader's question from before.
14 We had numerous conversations with majority
15 counsel on this and we've been working with
16 the vendors to state that the answer to this
17 question has to be, what would happen if a
18 conflict exists.

19 If they are coming up with
20 similar answers, there is not a whole lot we
21 can do about that. If you don't want to
22 accept it, there's really nothing I can do
23 about that either.

24 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I
25 understand that there is no conflict that

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 exists, but for them to determine if no
3 conflict exists, they have to have a
4 procedure that determines that. How did
5 they come to that conclusion? Who are they
6 speaking to? What is the process, the
7 procedure they must have to be able to
8 determine if there is no conflict within
9 their leadership?

10 MR. MAY: That's not really for
11 us to decide.

12 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But it is.

13 MR. MAY: No, it's not for us to
14 decide. This is their policy.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: They're
16 asking the questions.

17 MR. MAY: No, this is their
18 policy. They can say they don't have one.

19 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes. But
20 you're asking the question in 17B which says
21 please describe your procedures in your
22 firm. You have to care.

23 MR. MAY: I didn't say that I
24 don't care. It's actually not my response.
25 What I said is I have no control over their

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 response.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes, but we
4 do have control over what we will accept.

5 MR. MAY: Yes, you do have
6 control over what you accept.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We are
8 accepting that even though they say -- we
9 say please describe any procedures your firm
10 has or would adopt to ensure that the
11 county, that the conflict of interest would
12 not exist, they have to explain to you a
13 process or procedure on how to get to that
14 conclusion.

15 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Mr. May, I
16 would believe that there is an item after
17 17A that does require an answer seeking a
18 procedure that they may have in place, am I
19 correct?

20 MR. MAY: Yes. It says no
21 conflict exists. If a conflict should
22 arise, we would seek guidance from the
23 county. That is their procedure. They
24 would seek guidance from the county.

25 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: But they

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16
2 must have a procedure in place in order to
3 determine that there was no conflict, that
4 is the procedure. It's very confusing.

5 MR. MAY: Just to sort of
6 duck-tail on to the minority leader, perhaps
7 the question is inartfully drafted and we
8 can revise it.

9 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Maybe we
10 should.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I think you
12 should revise it.

13 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Here we go
14 again. Yes, please.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: My
16 suggestion, when you get to 17A, if they say
17 there's no conflict, you get rid of 17B,
18 something to drop down to the next question.

19 It is ridiculous to require them
20 to surmise or conjecture as to what they
21 would do in terms of a hypothetical
22 conflict. It's a completely unfair
23 question. If they say there is no conflict,
24 direct them to question number 18 and move
25 on.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 MR. MAY: I think that that would
3 be a perfectly reasonable solution to this
4 conundrum.

5 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: They do
6 that in a lot of questionnaires. If you
7 say no to this particular question, they
8 move on to let's say 18 in this case.

9 MR. MAY: We can do that.

10 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I've seen
11 that in a number of questionnaires. It's
12 not applicable, so, guess what, therefore,
13 move on.

14 MR. MAY: Well, yes, it is a new
15 process, right, and we are all sort of
16 feeling our way through it. I understand
17 the frustration that's involved, but we're
18 all trying to do the right thing here and
19 continue the transparency of the process.

20 The more input we have on this,
21 the better we can refine the process so that
22 it gets to all of the points that the
23 Legislature would like to see addressed but
24 also is clear enough for the vendors to fill
25 out without having to hire their own outside

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 counsel.

3 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: We just
4 gave you a suggestion. If there is no
5 conflict, there is need to go on to 17B or
6 whatever it is. Let's move on. I don't
7 know if there is any public comment.

8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I would
9 like to establish on the record and be quick
10 that, yes, we understand that if there is no
11 conflict, but, for me, I would like to know
12 that the vendors that we bring into the
13 county, it's a bit unacceptable that they
14 don't have a practice that can determine
15 conflict.

16 So, I would like to see a
17 practice that actually establishes whether
18 this conflict should arise.

19 I just want to focus your
20 attention, there was a contract, Mr. May,
21 counsel just presented to me E-123-16, this
22 is Bee Ready provides the response, the firm
23 utilizes both a manual and computer based
24 program to review for any conflict of issue
25 questions. That's a little bit better than

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 no conflict exists. If it does, we will
3 knock on the county door.

4 It just seems like you want
5 something that has a proactive -- I'm not
6 longing for a long dissertation. I'm not
7 looking for a book. I'm looking that they
8 do something.

9 MR. MAY: I certainly appreciate
10 that, legislator, but with absent guidance
11 from the county and what you would like to
12 see, taking shots in the dark I don't think
13 is fair to ask of any vendor looking to do
14 business with the county.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are we
16 taking shots in the dark?

17 MR. MAY: Because we're not
18 providing any guidance as to what we want to
19 see, so we're asking them, a fairly open
20 ended question to which there is apparently
21 no satisfactory answer.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I just gave
23 you, Bee Ready gave I think an established
24 good answer.

25 MR. MAY: Yes. And they're a law

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 firm and they have certain policies and
3 procedures already in place. Depending on
4 the firm, they may or may not have
5 encountered this kind of thing. You have
6 law firms that need to be aware of conflicts
7 of interest, generally considering
8 practicing in front of judges, and with each
9 other all the time.

10 So, absent guidance from the
11 county or from the County Legislature, we
12 are asking from the vendors this question,
13 and this is how they're responding.

14 If you would like us to provide
15 guidance, we need to know what that guidance
16 is. Otherwise we are asking them an
17 open-ended question with no sufficient
18 answer.

19 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I have
20 nothing further.

21 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: All those
22 in favor of A-9 signify by saying aye.

23 (Aye.)

24 Any opposed?

25 (No verbal response.)

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Any abstentions?

3 (Abstain.)

4 Four, zero, three.

5 Next item is B-18, a contract
6 between the County of Nassau acting on
7 behalf of the Nassau County Department of
8 Public Works and Commander Electric, Inc.

9 Motion, please.

10 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

11 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

12 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
13 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
14 Kopel. Who is here to speak on this item?

15 MR. LINDGREN: Good afternoon.
16 Jeff Lindgren from Public Works. This is a
17 bid contract between Nassau County and
18 Commander Electric that was opened on August
19 4th of 2015.

20 This is for the construction of
21 traffic signals along Roslyn Road, North
22 Country Road and the upgrade of our traffic
23 signal communications. This is a federal
24 aid project that will be funded within 80
25 percent subsidy from federal.

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
3 questions from the Legislature? Legislator
4 Nicolello.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Real
6 briefly, it says that the county costs will
7 be approximately \$949,000,

8 MR. LINDGREN: Yes.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: How would
10 that be paid for, is that a capital item?

11 MR. LINDGREN: It's in the
12 capital plan.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In the
14 capital plan that hasn't been passed yet?

15 MR. LINDGREN: The bond ordinance
16 has already been passed for this one.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: But it has
18 to be bonded, right?

19 MR. LINDGREN: It's already been
20 bonded. This was older.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Never
22 mind.

23 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Are there
24 any other comments or questions?

25 (No verbal response.)

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 Is there any public comment?

3 (No verbal response.)

4 There being none, all those in
5 favor of B-18 signify by saying aye.

6 (Aye.)

7 Any opposed?

8 (No verbal response.)

9 Any abstentions?

10 (Abstain.)

11 Four, zero, three.

12 The last item is U-10, a personal
13 service agreement between the County of
14 Nassau acting on behalf of the Traffic and
15 Parking Violations Agency and Claire I.
16 Weinberg.

17 Motion, please.

18 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
21 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
22 Kopel. Who is here to speak on this one?

23 MR. MAY: I'll be pinch hitting
24 for TPVA on this one. This is a JHO
25 contract from Judge Claire Weinberg. This

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 is to handle adjudications, the tickets that
3 go before the Traffic and Violations Agency.

4 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any
5 questions or comments?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 Is there any public comment?

8 (No verbal response.)

9 There being none, all those in
10 favor of U-10 signify by saying aye.

11 (Aye.)

12 Any opposed?

13 (No verbal response.)

14 Any abstentions?

15 (No verbal response.)

16 U-10 is unanimous.

17 Motion to adjourn.

18 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.

20 CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
21 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
22 Nicolello. All those in favor of adjourning
23 signify by saying aye.

24 (Aye.)

25 Any opposed?

1 Rules Committee/5-23-16

2 (No verbal response.)

3 (Whereupon, the Rules Committee

4 adjourned at 5:36 p.m.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and
Notary Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby stated:

THAT I attended at the time and place
above mentioned and took stenographic record
of the proceedings in the above-entitled
matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true
and accurate transcript of the same and the
whole thereof, according to the best of my
ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
my hand this 7th day of June, 2016.

FRANK GRAY